# LIMITED PROCEDURES ENGAGEMENT

# Ashland Borough Police Pension Plan

Schuylkill County, Pennsylvania For the Period January 1, 2014 to December 31, 2017

November 2018



Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General

Eugene A. DePasquale • Auditor General





Commonwealth of Pennsylvania
Department of the Auditor General
Harrisburg, PA 17120-0018
Facebook: Pennsylvania Auditor General
Twitter: @PAAuditorGen
www.PaAuditor.gov

EUGENE A. DEPASQUALE AUDITOR GENERAL

The Honorable Mayor and Borough Council Ashland Borough Schuylkill County Ashland, PA 17921

We conducted a Limited Procedures Engagement (LPE) of the Ashland Borough Police Pension Plan for the period January 1, 2014 to December 31, 2017 to determine its compliance with applicable state laws, regulations, contracts, administrative procedures, and local ordinances and policies. We also evaluated compliance with some requirements subsequent to that period when possible. The LPE was conducted pursuant to authority derived from Section 402(j) of the Municipal Pension Plan Funding Standard and Recovery Act (P.L. 1005, No. 205, as amended, 53 P.S. § 895.101 et seq.) but was not conducted in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. The act established mandatory actuarial reporting and funding requirements and a uniform basis for the distribution of state aid to Pennsylvania's public pension plans. We believe that the evidence obtained provides a reasonable basis to support our LPE results.

#### Our LPE was limited to determining the following:

- · Whether state aid was properly determined and deposited in accordance with Act 205 requirements by verifying the annual deposit date of state aid and determining whether deposits were made within 30 days of receipt for all years within the engagement period.
- Whether annual employer contributions were calculated and deposited in accordance with the plan's governing document and applicable laws and regulations by examining the municipality's calculation of the plan's annual financial requirements and minimum municipal obligation (MMO) and comparing these calculated amounts to amounts actually budgeted and deposited into the pension plan as evidenced by supporting documentation.
- Whether annual employee contributions were required during the engagement period and, if so, were calculated, deducted, and deposited into the pension plan in accordance with the plan's governing document and applicable laws and regulations by testing total members' contributions on an annual basis using the rates obtained from the plan's governing document in effect for all years within the engagement period and examining documents evidencing the deposit of these employee contributions into the pension plan.

- Whether retirement benefits calculated for plan members who retired during the engagement period represent payments to all (and only) those entitled to receive them and were properly determined and disbursed in accordance with the plan's governing document, applicable laws and regulations by recalculating the amount of the monthly pension benefit due to retired individuals and comparing these amounts to supporting documentation evidencing amounts determined and actually paid to recipients.
- Whether the January 1, 2013, January 1, 2015 and January 1, 2017 actuarial valuation reports were prepared and submitted by March 31, 2014, 2016 and 2018, respectively, in accordance with Act 205 and whether selected information provided on these reports is accurate, complete, and in accordance with plan provisions to ensure compliance for participation in the state aid program by comparing selected information to supporting source documentation.

Based on the results of our procedures performed during our LPE, nothing came to our attention indicating that the Ashland Borough Police Pension Plan was not being administered in compliance with applicable state laws, regulations, contracts, administrative procedures, and local ordinances and policies.

Our determination to perform a LPE for this engagement period does not preclude the Department from conducting an audit in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* of the pension plan in subsequent periods. The borough should continue to maintain documentation related to this pension plan.

The accompanying supplementary information is presented for purposes of additional analysis. We did not audit the information or conclude on it and, accordingly, express no form of assurance on it.

The contents of this report were discussed with officials of Ashland Borough and, where appropriate, their responses have been included in this report. We would like to thank borough officials for the cooperation extended to us during the conduct of this LPE. Include this final sentence when applicable.

November 8, 2018

EUGENE A. DEPASQUALE

Eugrafi O-Pagur

Auditor General

# CONTENTS

<u>Pa</u>	ge
Supplementary Information	. 1
Report Distribution List	. 7

The supplementary information contained on Pages 1 through 3 reflects the implementation of GASB Statement No. 67, *Financial Reporting for Pension Plans*. The objective of this statement is to improve financial reporting by state and local governmental pension plans.

# SCHEDULE OF CHANGES IN THE NET PENSION LIABILITY AND RELATED RATIOS FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2015, 2016, AND 2017

	<u>2015</u>	<u>2016</u>	<u>2017</u>
Total Pension Liability			
Service cost	\$ 18,715	\$ 19,651	\$ 29,575
Interest	164,472	166,577	167,387
Difference between expected and actual experience	-	-	(39,768)
Changes of assumptions	-	-	8,164
Benefit payments, including refunds of member			
contributions	(151,746)	(151,746)	(152,407)
Net Change in Total Pension Liability	31,441	34,482	12,951
Total Pension Liability – Beginning	2,587,498	2,618,939	2,653,421
Total Pension Liability – Ending (a)	\$ 2,618,939	\$ 2,653,421	\$ 2,666,372
Plan Fiduciary Net Position			
Contributions – Employer	\$ -	\$ 18,527	\$ 20,357
Contributions – State Aid	33,136	48,121	55,059
Contributions – Member	1,333	3,281	4,267
Net investment income	(33,778)	105,087	258,472
Benefit payments, including refunds of member	, ,	·	·
contributions	(151,746)	(151,746)	(152,407)
Administrative expense	(4,925)	(825)	(5,050)
Net Change in Plan Fiduciary Net Position	(155,980)	22,445	180,698
Plan Fiduciary Net Position – Beginning	2,305,343	2,149,363	2,171,808
Plan Fiduciary Net Position – Ending (b)	\$ 2,149,363	\$ 2,171,808	\$ 2,352,506
Net Pension Liability – Ending (a-b)	\$ 469,576	\$ 481,613	\$ 313,866
, ,			
Plan Fiduciary Net Position as a Percentage of the Total			
Pension Liability	82.1%	81.8%	88.2%
Estimated Covered Employee Payroll	\$ 71,159	\$ 71,747	\$ 113,303
Net Pension Liability as a Percentage of Covered			
Employee Payroll	659.9%	671.3%	277.0%
1 3		- · - · <del>-</del> · -	

# Sensitivity of the Net Pension Liability to Changes in the Discount Rate

The following presents the net pension liability of the borough as of December 31, 2015, 2016 and 2017, calculated using the discount rate of 6.50%, as well as what the borough's net pension liability would be if it were calculated using a discount rate that is 1 percentage-point lower or 1 percentage-point higher than the current rate:

	Decrease (5.50%)	Disc	Current count Rate (6.50%)	% Increase (7.50%)
Net Pension Liability – 12/31/15	\$ 756,225	\$	469,576	\$ 227,823
Net Pension Liability – 12/31/16	\$ 764,300	\$	481,613	\$ 242,764
Net Pension Liability – 12/31/17	\$ 595,508	\$	313,866	\$ 75,711

#### SCHEDULE OF CONTRIBUTIONS

						. 41	G 1	Contributions as a Percentage of
X		tuarially				tribution	Covered-	Covered-
Year Ended		termined		Actual		ficiency	Employee	Employee
December 31	Cor	ntribution	Con	tributions	(E	Excess)	Payroll	Payroll
2008	\$	68,228	\$	68,228	\$	-	\$ 280,207	24.3%
2009		61,080		61,080		-	236,279	25.9%
2010		97,950		97,950		-	177,798	55.1%
2011		98,497		98,497		-	181,262	54.3%
2012		130,642		130,642		-	184,145	70.9%
2013		126,476		126,476		-	151,827	83.3%
2014		91,650		91,650		-	131,066	69.9%
2015		33,136		33,136		-	71,159	46.6%
2016		66,648		66,648		-	71,747	92.9%
2017		75,416		75,416		-	113,303	66.6%

# SCHEDULE OF INVESTMENT RETURNS

Annual Money-Weighted Rate of Return, Net of Investment Expense:

2017	12.12%
2016	5.01%
2015	(1.47%)

#### SCHEDULE OF FUNDING PROGRESS

Historical trend information about the plan is presented herewith as supplementary information. It is intended to help users assess the plan's funding status on a going-concern basis, assess progress made in accumulating assets to pay benefits when due, and make comparisons with other state and local government retirement systems.

The actuarial information is required by Act 205 biennially. The historical information, beginning as of January 1, 2013, is as follows:

(1)		(2)	(3)	(4)
			Unfunded	
		Actuarial	(Assets in	
		Accrued	Excess of)	
	Actuarial	Liability	Actuarial	
Actuarial	Value of	(AAL) -	Accrued	Funded
Valuation	Assets	Entry Age	Liability	Ratio
Date	(a)	(b)	(b) - (a)	(a)/(b)
01-01-13	\$ 2,240,546	\$ 2,375,254	\$ 134,708	94.3%
01-01-15	2,393,982	2,587,498	193,516	92.5%
01-01-17	2,308,167	2,621,817	313,650	88.0%

Note: The market values of the plan's assets at 01-01-13, 01-01-15, and 01-01-17 have been adjusted to reflect the smoothing of gains and/or losses over a 4-year averaging period. This method will lower contributions in years of less than expected returns and increase contributions in years of greater than expected returns. The net effect over long periods of time is to have less variance in contribution levels from year to year.

The comparability of trend information is affected by changes in actuarial assumptions, benefit provisions, actuarial funding methods, accounting policies, and other changes. Those changes usually affect trends in contribution requirements and in ratios that use the actuarial accrued liability as a factor.

Analysis of the dollar amount of the actuarial value of assets, actuarial accrued liability, and unfunded (assets in excess of) actuarial accrued liability in isolation can be misleading. Expressing the actuarial value of assets as a percentage of the actuarial accrued liability (Column 4) provides one indication of the plan's funding status on a going-concern basis. Analysis of this percentage, over time, indicates whether the system is becoming financially stronger or weaker. Generally, the greater this percentage, the stronger the plan.

# ASHLAND BOROUGH POLICE PENSION PLAN SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION NOTES TO SUPPLEMENTARY SCHEDULES (UNAUDITED)

The information presented in the supplementary schedules was determined as part of the actuarial valuation at the date indicated. Additional information as of the latest actuarial valuation date follows:

Actuarial valuation date January 1, 2017

Actuarial cost method Entry age normal

Amortization method Level dollar

Remaining amortization period 6 years

Asset valuation method Fair value, 4-year smoothing

Actuarial assumptions:

Investment rate of return 6.5%

Projected salary increases 5.0%

Cost-of-living adjustments Each year based on annual

percentage increase in the Consumer Price Index provided that the total retirement benefit does not exceed 75% of officer's salary for computing retirement benefits or the total cost of living does not exceed

30%

#### ASHLAND BOROUGH POLICE PENSION PLAN REPORT DISTRIBUTION LIST

This report was initially distributed to the following:

The Honorable Tom W. Wolf

Governor Commonwealth of Pennsylvania

The Honorable Ray Walacavage Mayor

> Ms. Ann Marie Groody Council President

> > Mr. Ray Jones, Jr. Borough Manager

**Ms. Patricia Moyer**Treasurer

This report is a matter of public record and is available online at <a href="www.PaAuditor.gov">www.PaAuditor.gov</a>. Media questions about the report can be directed to the Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General, Office of Communications, 229 Finance Building, Harrisburg, PA 17120; via email to: news@PaAuditor.gov.