COMPLIANCE AUDIT

City of Lock Haven Police Pension Plan

Clinton County, Pennsylvania
For the Period
January 1, 2016 to December 31, 2017

October 2018



Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General

Eugene A. DePasquale • Auditor General





Commonwealth of Pennsylvania
Department of the Auditor General
Harrisburg, PA 17120-0018
Facebook: Pennsylvania Auditor General
Twitter: @PAAuditorGen
www.PaAuditor.gov

EUGENE A. DEPASQUALE AUDITOR GENERAL

The Honorable Mayor and City Council City of Lock Haven Clinton County Lock Haven, PA 17745

We have conducted a compliance audit of the City of Lock Haven Police Pension Plan for the period January 1, 2016 to December 31, 2017. We also evaluated compliance with some requirements subsequent to that period when possible. The audit was conducted pursuant to authority derived from Section 402(j) of Act 205 and in accordance with the standards applicable to performance audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform our audit to obtain sufficient, appropriate evidence to provide a reasonable basis for our finding and conclusions based on our audit objectives. We believe that the evidence obtained provides a reasonable basis for our finding and conclusions based on our audit objectives.

The objectives of the audit were:

- 1. To determine if municipal officials took appropriate corrective action to address the finding contained in our prior audit report; and
- 2. To determine if the pension plan was administered in compliance with applicable state laws, regulations, contracts, administrative procedures, and local ordinances and policies.

Our audit was limited to the areas related to the objectives identified above. To determine if municipal officials took appropriate corrective action to address the finding contained in our prior audit report, we inquired of plan officials and evaluated supporting documentation provided by officials evidencing that the suggested corrective action has been appropriately taken. To determine whether the pension plan was administered in compliance with applicable state laws, regulations, contracts, administrative procedures, and local ordinances and policies, our methodology included the following:

We determined whether state aid was properly determined and deposited in accordance with Act 205 requirements by verifying the annual deposit date of state aid and determining whether deposits were made within 30 days of receipt for all years within the period under audit.

- We determined whether annual employer contributions were calculated and deposited in accordance with the plan's governing document and applicable laws and regulations by examining the municipality's calculation of the plan's annual financial requirements and minimum municipal obligation (MMO) and comparing these calculated amounts to amounts actually budgeted and deposited into the pension plan as evidenced by supporting documentation.
- We determined whether annual employee contributions were calculated, deducted, and deposited into the pension plan in accordance with the plan's governing document and applicable laws and regulations by testing total members' contributions on an annual basis using the rates obtained from the plan's governing document in effect for all years within the period under audit and examining documents evidencing the deposit of these employee contributions into the pension plan.
- We determined whether retirement benefits calculated for the plan member who elected to vest during the current audit period, represent payments to all (and only) those entitled to receive them and were properly determined in accordance with the plan's governing document, applicable laws and regulations by recalculating the amount of the pension benefit due to the retired individual and comparing these amounts to supporting documentation evidencing amounts determined.
- We determined whether the January 1, 2015 and January 1, 2017 actuarial valuation reports were prepared and submitted by March 31, 2016 and 2018, respectively, in accordance with Act 205 and whether selected information provided on these reports is accurate, complete, and in accordance with plan provisions to ensure compliance for participation in the state aid program by comparing selected information to supporting source documentation.
- We determined whether all annual special ad hoc postretirement reimbursements received by the municipality were authorized and appropriately deposited in accordance with Act 147 by tracing information to supporting documentation maintained by plan officials.

The City of Lock Haven contracted with an independent certified public accounting firm for annual audits of its basic financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2016, which is available at the city's offices. Those financial statements were not audited by us and, accordingly, we express no opinion or other form of assurance on them.

City officials are responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal controls to provide reasonable assurance that the City of Lock Haven Police Pension Plan is administered in compliance with applicable state laws, regulations, contracts, administrative procedures, and local ordinances and policies. In conducting our audit, we obtained an understanding of the city's internal controls as they relate to the city's compliance with those requirements and that we considered to be significant within the context of our audit objectives, and assessed whether those

significant controls were properly designed and implemented. Additionally and as previously described, we tested transactions, assessed official actions, performed analytical procedures, and interviewed selected officials to provide reasonable assurance of detecting instances of noncompliance with legal and regulatory requirements or noncompliance with provisions of contracts, administrative procedures, and local ordinances and policies that are significant within the context of the audit objectives.

The results of our procedures indicated that, in all significant respects, the City of Lock Haven Police Pension Plan was administered in compliance with applicable state laws, regulations, contracts, administrative procedures, and local ordinances and policies, except as noted in the following findings further discussed later in this report:

Finding No. 1 – Noncompliance With Prior Audit Recommendation - Inconsistent Member Contribution Provision

Finding No. 2 - Awarding of Professional Services Contract Inconsistent With Provisions of Act 205

Finding No. 1 contained in this audit report repeats a condition that was cited in our previous audit report that has not been corrected by city officials. We are concerned by the city's failure to correct this previously reported audit finding and strongly encourage timely implementation of the recommendation noted in this audit report.

The accompanying supplementary information is presented for purposes of additional analysis. We did not audit the information or conclude on it and, accordingly, express no form of assurance on it.

The contents of this report were discussed with officials of City of Lock Haven and, where appropriate, their responses have been included in the report. We would like to thank city officials for the cooperation extended to us during the conduct of the audit.

September 24, 2018

EUGENE A. DEPASQUALE

Eugraf. O-Pagur

Auditor General

CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
Background	1
Status of Prior Finding	3
Finding and Recommendation:	
Finding No.1 – Noncompliance With Prior Audit Recommendation – Inconsistent Member Contribution Provision	4
Finding No. 2 – Awarding of Professional Services Contract Inconsistent With Provisions of Act 205	5
Supplementary Information	8
Report Distribution List	14

BACKGROUND

On December 18, 1984, the Pennsylvania Legislature adopted the Municipal Pension Plan Funding Standard and Recovery Act (P.L. 1005, No. 205, as amended, 53 P.S. § 895.101 et seq.). The Act established mandatory actuarial reporting and funding requirements and a uniform basis for the distribution of state aid to Pennsylvania's public pension plans. Section 402(j) of Act 205 specifically requires the Auditor General, as deemed necessary, to make an audit of every municipality which receives general municipal pension system state aid and of every municipal pension plan and fund in which general municipal pension system state aid is deposited.

Annual state aid allocations are provided from a 2 percent foreign (out-of-state) casualty insurance premium tax, a portion of the foreign (out-of-state) fire insurance tax designated for paid firefighters and any investment income earned on the collection of these taxes. Generally, municipal pension plans established prior to December 18, 1984, are eligible for state aid. For municipal pension plans established after that date, the sponsoring municipality must fund the plan for three plan years before it becomes eligible for state aid. In accordance with Act 205, a municipality's annual state aid allocation cannot exceed its actual pension costs.

In addition to Act 205, the City of Lock Haven Police Pension Plan is also governed by implementing regulations adopted by the former Public Employee Retirement Commission published at Title 16, Part IV of the Pennsylvania Code and applicable provisions of various other state statutes, including, but not limited to, the following:

Act 399 - Optional Third Class City Charter Law, Act of July 15, 1957 (P.L. 901, No. 399), as amended, 53 P.S. § 41101 et seq.

The City of Lock Haven Police Pension Plan is a single-employer defined benefit pension plan locally controlled by the provisions of Chapter 1, Part 8A of the city's codified ordinances. The plan is also affected by the provisions of collective bargaining agreements between the city and its police officers. The plan was established November 15, 1943. Active members are required to contribute 0.5 percent of base pay, plus 1.0 percent of total W-2 wages, plus \$1 per month to the plan. As of December 31, 2017, the plan had 12 active members, 1 terminated member eligible for vested benefits in the future, and 18 retirees receiving pension benefits from the plan.

BACKGROUND – (Continued)

As of December 31, 2017, selected plan benefit provisions are as follows:

Eligibility Requirements:

Normal Retirement Age 50 and 20 years of service

Early Retirement None

Vesting A member is 100% vested after 12 years of service.

Retirement Benefit:

Benefit equals 50% of the highest one year's W-2 earnings during the last three years of service preceding retirement, plus a monthly service increment equal to $1/40^{th}$ of the retirement allowance for each year of service, in excess of 20 years, up to a maximum of \$100 per month.

Survivor Benefit:

Before Retirement Eligibility Refund of member contributions without interest.

After Retirement Eligibility A monthly benefit equal to 50% of the pension the

member was receiving or was entitled to receive on the

day of the member's death.

Service Related Disability Benefit:

Benefit equals 2.5% of final monthly salary times years of service, not to exceed 50% of the member's final monthly salary.

CITY OF LOCK HAVEN POLICE PENSION PLAN STATUS OF PRIOR FINDING

Noncompliance With Prior Audit Recommendation

The City of Lock Haven has not complied with the prior audit recommendation concerning the following as further discussed in the Findings and Recommendations section of this report:

· Inconsistent Member Contribution Provision

<u>Finding No 1 – Noncompliance With Prior Audit Recommendation – Inconsistent Member Contribution Provision</u>

<u>Condition</u>: As disclosed in the prior audit report, the pension plan's governing document, Chapter 1, Part 8A, 6B of the city's codified ordinances contains a member contribution provision that conflicts with the 2014-2017 collective bargaining agreement between the police officers and the city. In addition, the provision remains inconsistent with the recently negotiated 2018-2021 collective bargaining agreement between the police officers and the city, as follows:

Benefit Provision	Governing Document	Collective Bargaining Agreements		
Employee contributions	Each contributor, from and after the effective date of this amendment, shall pay into the retirement fund a monthly sum for the service increment contribution which shall be equal to ½% of his or her salary.	Beginning on January 1, 2012, each employee covered by this contract will contribute towards the police pension fund in the amount of one percent (1%) of his total W-2 salary. This contribution is in addition to any contribution for any other benefits paid under the pension fund, including widow and spouse benefits and longevity benefits.		

In addition, the plan's actuarial valuation reports dated January 1, 2015 and 2017, reported the provision included in the collective bargaining agreements.

<u>Criteria</u>: A governing document which contains clearly defined and updated benefit provisions is a prerequisite for the consistent, sound administration of retirement benefits. The plan's governing document and the collective bargaining agreement should contain consistent benefit provisions to ensure the sound administration of retirement benefits.

<u>Cause</u>: Municipal officials again failed to establish adequate internal control procedures to ensure the member contribution provision contained in the varying plan documents was consistent as previously recommended.

<u>Effect</u>: An inconsistent member contribution provision among the varying plan documents could result in an improper amount of contributions being deducted.

Finding No. 1 – (Continued)

<u>Recommendation</u>: We again recommend that municipal officials take appropriate action to ensure the plan's governing document and the collective bargaining agreement contain consistent benefit provisions at their earliest opportunity to do so.

<u>Management's Response</u>: Municipal officials agreed with the finding without exception. City officials indicate they intend to correct the inconsistency in the governing plan document and to adopt the new codification by the end of 2018.

<u>Auditor's Conclusion</u>: Based on the management response, it appears municipal officials intend to comply with the finding recommendation. Compliance will be evaluated during our next audit of the plan.

<u>Finding No. 2 – Awarding Of Professional Services Contract Inconsistent With Provisions Of Act 205</u>

<u>Condition</u>: In 2016, the city changed investment and advisory services contractors for its police pension plan. However, there was no substantive evidence provided to support that the change in service provider was conducted in accordance with provisions of Act 205 and/or that the change was properly approved by City Council.

Criteria: Section 701-A of Act 205, defines a "Professional Services Contract", as follows:

- "Professional services contract." A contract to which the municipal pension system is a party that is:
- (1) for the purchase or provision of professional services, including investment services, legal services, real estate services and other consulting services; and
- (2) not subject to a requirement that the lowest bid be accepted.

In addition, Section 702-A (a) of Act 205 states in part:

Each municipal pension system ... shall develop procedures to select the most qualified person to enter into a professional services contract. The procedures shall ensure that the availability of a professional services contract is advertised to potential participants in a timely and efficient manner. Procedures shall include applications and disclosure forms to be used to submit a proposal for review and to receive the award of a professional services contract.

Finding No. 2 – (Continued)

Additionally, Section 702-A (c), (e), (f), and (h) state, in part:

Review. Procedures to select the most qualified person shall include a review of the person's qualifications, experience and expertise and the compensation to be charged.

Conflict of interest – The municipal pension system shall adopt policies relating to potential conflicts of interest in the review of a proposal or the negotiation of a contract.

Public information. Following the award of a professional services contract, all applications and disclosure forms shall be public except for proprietary information or other information protected by law.

Notice and summary. The relevant factors that resulted in the award of the professional services contract must be summarized in a written statement to be included in or attached to the documents awarding the contract. Within ten days of the award of the processional services contract, the original application, a summary of the basis for the award and all required disclosure forms must be transmitted to all unsuccessful applications and posted on the municipal pension system's Internet website, if an Internet website is maintained, at least seven days prior to the execution of the professional services contract.

Section 703-A (c) states in part:

Upon the advertisement for a professional services contract by the municipal pension system, the contractor may not cause or agree to allow a third party to communicate with officials or employees of the municipal pension system except for requests for technical clarification.

Furthermore, Resolution No. 1192, enacted by the city on March 4, 2013, established procedures for the procurement of professional services for the municipal pension system in accordance with Act 205 provisions.

<u>Cause</u>: Plan officials failed to establish adequate procedures to properly follow and document adherence with each requirement prescribed under the provisions of Act 205 and its own procurement procedures in the awarding of the professional services contract for the investment and advisory services for the city's pension plans.

Finding No. 2 – (Continued)

<u>Effect</u>: We were unable to determine whether the city complied in all respects with the provisions stipulated in Act 205 and its own governing policies and procedures for the procuring of professional investment and advisory services for the city's police pension plan. Also, by failing to maintain appropriate substantive supporting documentation evidencing adherence with each requirement prescribed under Act 205 and the city's own procedures in the awarding of the professional services contract, it denotes a general lack of overall transparency of the actions taken by plan officials relative to the awarding of the investment and advisory services contract for the city's pension plans.

<u>Recommendation</u>: We recommend the city follow procedures developed and implemented by the city in Resolution No. 1192 of 2013 for the procurement of professional services for the city's pension plans and ensure compliance with Act 205 provisions while maintaining appropriate and sufficient supporting documentation. This documentation should evidence every phase of the process in accordance with the city's policies and procedures in the awarding of future professional services contracts for the city's pension plans.

In addition, since we were unable to conclude whether the city complied in all respects with the provisions stipulated in Act 205 and its own governing policies and procedures relative to the procurement of the professional investment and advisory services for the city's pension plans awarded in 2016, we recommend that plan officials, along with current city officials, collectively review the process and familiarize themselves with the procedures enacted by the city while closely examining and identifying ways to improve the process as a whole, thus ensuring transparency for plan members as well as the citizens of the City of Lock Haven and the avoidance of any confusion pertaining to the proper administration of the city's pension plans.

Management Response: Municipal officials agreed with the finding without exception.

Auditor Conclusion: Compliance will be evaluated during our next audit of the plan.

The supplementary information contained on Pages 8 through 10 reflects the implementation of GASB Statement No. 67, *Financial Reporting for Pension Plans*. The objective of this statement is to improve financial reporting by state and local governmental pension plans.

SCHEDULE OF CHANGES IN THE NET PENSION LIABILITY AND RELATED RATIOS FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014, 2015, AND 2016

		<u>2014</u>		<u>2015</u>		<u>2016</u>
Total Pension Liability						
Service cost	\$	118,381	\$	167,226	\$	149,903
Interest		345,026		354,712		361,769
Difference between expected and actual experience		-		(40,423)		-
Changes of assumptions		-		32,433		-
Benefit payments, including refunds of member						
contributions		(357,183)		(368,357)		(404,727)
Net Change in Total Pension Liability		106,224		145,591		106,945
Total Pension Liability - Beginning		5,383,182		5,489,406		5,634,997
Total Pension Liability - Ending (a)	\$	5,489,406	\$	5,634,997	\$	5,741,942
DI THE VENT OF THE					-	
Plan Fiduciary Net Position	Φ	152.210	Ф	101 162	Ф	102 (25
Contributions – employer*	\$	152,210	\$	191,163	\$	182,625
Contributions - member		10,323		13,949		11,216
Other contributions		350,170		-		327,091
Net investment income		-		(32,582)		-
Benefit payments, including refunds of member						
contributions		(357,183)		(368,357)		(404,727)
Administrative expense		(19,552)		(16,313)		(14,916)
Net Change in Plan Fiduciary Net Position		135,968		(212,140)		101,289
Plan Fiduciary Net Position - Beginning		5,425,919		5,561,887		5,349,747
Plan Fiduciary Net Position - Ending (b)	\$	5,561,887	\$	5,349,747	\$	5,451,036
Net Pension Liability - Ending (a-b)	\$	(72,481)	\$	285,250	\$	290,906
Plan Fiduciary Net Position as a Percentage of the Total Pension						
Liability		101.3%		94.94%		94.93%
•						
Estimated Covered Employee Payroll	\$	660,022	\$	792,288	\$	755,035
Net Pension Liability as a Percentage of Covered Employee						
Payroll		(11.0%)		36.0%		38.5%
•		. ,				

^{*} Includes \$100 of member contributions in error.

Sensitivity of the Net Pension Liability to Changes in the Discount Rate

The following presents the net pension liability of the city as of December 31, 2014, 2015 and 2016 calculated using the then discount rate of 6.5%, as well as what the city's net pension liability would be if it were calculated using a discount rate that is 1 percentage-point lower or 1 percentage-point higher than the current rate:

	1%	6 Decrease (5.5%)	Dis	Current scount Rate (6.5%)*	1% Increase (7.5%)	
Net Pension Liability - 12/31/14	\$	573,578	\$	(72,481)	\$	(610,558)
Net Pension Liability - 12/31/15	\$	969,668	\$	285,250	\$	(208,645)
Net Pension Liability - 12/31/16	\$	1,002,008	\$	290,906	\$	(296,387)

^{*} This rate differs from the 7.0% used in the plan's January 1, 2015 and January 1, 2017, actuarial valuation reports.

SCHEDULE OF CONTRIBUTIONS

Year Ended December 31	De	tuarially termined ntribution		Actual tributions	D	ntribution eficiency Excess)	Covered- Employee Payroll	Contributions as a Percentage of Covered- Employee Payroll
2008	\$	40,011	\$	41,280	\$	(1,269)	\$ 620,136	6.66%
2009	,	37,722	•	37,722		-	587,311	6.42%
2010		50,572		84,106		(33,534)	678,000	12.41%
2011		140,351		147,379		(7,028)	653,400	22.56%
2012		156,821		156,821		-	728,150	21.54%
2013		155,503		155,503		-	686,000	22.67%
2014		145,654		152,210		(6,556)	660,022	23.06%
2015		191,163		191,163		_	792,288	24.13%
2016		182,213		182,625		(412)	755,035	24.19%
2017		182,904		182,904		-	*	*

^{*} Due to the timing of this audit, covered-employee payroll for 2017 was not provided in this schedule.

SCHEDULE OF INVESTMENT RETURNS

Annual Money-Weighted Rate of Return, Net of Investment Expense:

2016	6.2%
2015	(0.5)%
2014	6.5%

SCHEDULE OF FUNDING PROGRESS

Historical trend information about the plan is presented herewith as supplementary information. It is intended to help users assess the plan's funding status on a going-concern basis, assess progress made in accumulating assets to pay benefits when due, and make comparisons with other state and local government retirement systems.

The actuarial information is required by Act 205 biennially. The historical information, beginning as of January 1, 2013, is as follows:

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
			Unfunded	
		Actuarial	(Assets in	
		Accrued	Excess of)	
	Actuarial	Liability	Actuarial	
Actuarial	Value of	(AAL) -	Accrued	Funded
Valuation	Assets	Entry Age	Liability	Ratio
Date	(a)	(b)	(b) - (a)	(a)/(b)
01-01-13	\$ 4,526,703	\$ 4,762,090	\$ 235,387	95.1%
01-01-15	5,162,314	5,219,864	57,550	98.9%
01-01-17	5,569,187	5,588,665	19,478	99.7%

Note: The market values of the plan's assets at 01-01-13, 01-01-15, and 01-01-17 have been adjusted to reflect the smoothing of gains and/or losses over a 4-year averaging period. This method will lower contributions in years of less than expected returns and increase contributions in years of greater than expected returns. The net effect over long periods of time is to have less variance in contribution levels from year to year.

The comparability of trend information is affected by changes in actuarial assumptions, benefit provisions, actuarial funding methods, accounting policies, and other changes. Those changes usually affect trends in contribution requirements and in ratios that use the actuarial accrued liability as a factor.

Analysis of the dollar amount of the actuarial value of assets, actuarial accrued liability, and unfunded (assets in excess of) actuarial accrued liability in isolation can be misleading. Expressing the actuarial value of assets as a percentage of the actuarial accrued liability (Column 4) provides one indication of the plan's funding status on a going-concern basis. Analysis of this percentage, over time, indicates whether the system is becoming financially stronger or weaker. Generally, the greater this percentage, the stronger the plan.

The information presented in the supplementary schedules was determined as part of the actuarial valuation at the date indicated. Additional information as of the latest actuarial valuation date follows:

Actuarial valuation date January 1, 2017

Actuarial cost method Entry age normal

Amortization method Level dollar

Remaining amortization period 10 years

Asset valuation method Fair value, 4-year smoothing

Actuarial assumptions:

Investment rate of return 7.0%

Projected salary increases * 4.0%

Cost-of-living adjustments None assumed

^{*} Includes inflation at 2.5%

CITY OF LOCK HAVEN POLICE PENSION PLAN REPORT DISTRIBUTION LIST

This report was initially distributed to the following:

The Honorable Tom W. Wolf

Governor Commonwealth of Pennsylvania

The Honorable William E. Baney, III
Mayor

Mr. Stephen L. Stevenson Council Vice-President

Mr. Douglas T. Byerly
Councilman

Mr. Richard L. Conklin Councilman

Mr. Joel Long
Councilman

Mr. William Mincer Councilman

Mr. Gregory WilsonCity Manager

This report is a matter of public record and is available online at www.PaAuditor.gov. Media questions about the report can be directed to the Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General, Office of Communications, 229 Finance Building, Harrisburg, PA 17120; via email to: news@PaAuditor.gov.