LIMITED PROCEDURES ENGAGEMENT

Leetsdale Borough Non-Uniformed Pension Plan

Allegheny County, Pennsylvania For the Period January 1, 2016 to December 31, 2018

March 2020



Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General

Eugene A. DePasquale • Auditor General





Commonwealth of Pennsylvania
Department of the Auditor General
Harrisburg, PA 17120-0018
Facebook: Pennsylvania Auditor General
Twitter: @PAAuditorGen
www.PaAuditor.gov

EUGENE A. DEPASQUALE AUDITOR GENERAL

The Honorable Mayor and Borough Council Leetsdale Borough Allegheny County Leetsdale, PA 15056

We conducted a Limited Procedures Engagement (LPE) of the Leetsdale Borough Non-Uniformed Pension Plan for the period January 1, 2016 to December 31, 2018 to determine its compliance with applicable state laws, regulations, contracts, administrative procedures, and local ordinances and policies. We also evaluated compliance with some requirements subsequent to that period when possible. The LPE was conducted pursuant to authority derived from Section 402(j) of the Municipal Pension Plan Funding Standard and Recovery Act (P.L. 1005, No. 205, as amended, 53 P.S. § 895.101 et seq.) but was not conducted in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. The act established mandatory actuarial reporting and funding requirements and a uniform basis for the distribution of state aid to Pennsylvania's public pension plans. We believe that the evidence obtained provides a reasonable basis to support our LPE results.

Our LPE was limited to determining the following:

- · Whether state aid was properly determined and deposited in accordance with Act 205 requirements by verifying the annual deposit date of state aid and determining whether deposits were made within 30 days of receipt for all years within the engagement period.
- Whether annual employer contributions were calculated and deposited in accordance with the plan's governing document and applicable laws and regulations by examining the municipality's calculation of the plan's annual financial requirements and minimum municipal obligation (MMO) and comparing these calculated amounts to amounts actually budgeted and deposited into the pension plan as evidenced by supporting documentation.
- Whether annual employee contributions were required during the engagement period and, if so, were calculated, deducted, and deposited into the pension plan in accordance with the plan's governing document and applicable laws and regulations by testing total members' contributions on an annual basis using the rates obtained from the plan's governing document in effect for all years within the engagement period and examining documents evidencing the deposit of these employee contributions into the pension plan.

Whether the January 1, 2015 and January 1, 2017 actuarial valuation reports were prepared and submitted by March 31, 2016 and 2018, respectively, in accordance with Act 205 and whether selected information provided on these reports is accurate, complete, and in accordance with plan provisions to ensure compliance for participation in the state aid program by comparing selected information to supporting source documentation.

Based on the results of our procedures performed during our LPE, nothing came to our attention indicating that the Leetsdale Borough Non-Uniformed Pension Plan was not being administered in compliance with applicable state laws, regulations, contracts, administrative procedures, and local ordinances and policies, except as noted in the following finding further discussed later in this report:

Finding - Incorrect Data On Certification Form AG 385 Resulting In An Underpayment Of State Aid

Our determination to perform a LPE for this engagement period does not preclude the Department from conducting an audit in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* of the pension plan in subsequent periods. The borough should continue to maintain documentation related to this pension plan.

The accompanying supplementary information is presented for purposes of additional analysis. We did not audit the information or conclude on it and, accordingly, express no form of assurance on it.

The contents of this report were discussed with officials of Leetsdale Borough and, where appropriate, their responses have been included in this report. We would like to thank borough officials for the cooperation extended to us during the conduct of this LPE.

February 11, 2020

EUGENE A. DEPASQUALE

Eugent: O-Pager

Auditor General

CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
Finding And Recommendation:	
Finding - Incorrect Data On Certification Form AG 385 Resulting In An Underpayment Of State Aid	1
Supplementary Information	2
Report Distribution List	8

LEETSDALE BOROUGH NON-UNIFORMED PENSION PLAN FINDING AND RECOMMENDATION

<u>Finding – Incorrect Data On Certification Form AG 385 Resulting In An Underpayment Of State Aid</u>

<u>Condition</u>: The borough failed to certify 1 eligible non-uniformed employee (1 unit) and understated payroll by \$23,361 on the Certification Form AG 385 filed in 2019. The data contained on this certification form is based on prior calendar year information.

<u>Criteria</u>: Pursuant to Act 205, at Section 402(e)(2), an employee who has been employed on a full-time basis for at least six consecutive months and has been participating in a pension plan during the certification year is eligible for certification.

<u>Cause</u>: Plan officials failed to establish adequate internal control procedures such as having appropriate supervisory overview of the data certified to ensure compliance with the instructions that accompany Certification Form AG 385 and to assist in accurately reporting the pension data.

<u>Effect</u>: The data submitted on this certification form is used, in part, to calculate the state aid due to the municipality for distribution to its pension plans. Because the borough's state aid allocation was based on unit value, the borough received an underpayment of state aid of \$5,121 as identified below:

T 7	Units	Unit		ate Aid
Year	Understated	Value	Unde	rpayment
2019	1	\$ 5,121	\$	5,121

Although the additional state aid will be allocated to the borough, the full amount of the 2019 state aid allocation was not available to be deposited timely and therefore was not available to pay operating expenses or for investment.

<u>Recommendation</u>: We recommend that in the future, plan officials establish adequate internal control procedures, such as having at least two people review the data certified, to ensure compliance with the instructions that accompany Certification Form AG 385 to assist them in accurately reporting the required pension data.

Management's Response: Municipal officials agreed with the finding without exception.

Auditor's Conclusion: Compliance will be evaluated during our next engagement of the plan.

The supplementary information contained on Pages 2 through 4 reflects the implementation of GASB Statement No. 67, *Financial Reporting for Pension Plans*. The objective of this statement is to improve financial reporting by state and local governmental pension plans.

SCHEDULE OF CHANGES IN THE NET PENSION LIABILITY AND RELATED RATIOS FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017, AND 2018

		<u>2014</u>		<u>2015</u>		<u>2016</u>		<u>2017</u>		<u>2018</u>
Total Pension Liability										
Service cost	\$	10,709	\$	14,498	\$	15,513	\$	20,099	\$	21,506
Interest		32,645		32,148		33,261		33,872		35,617
Difference between expected and actual experience		4,380		-		(11,747)		-		(31,109)
Changes of assumptions		-		-		-		-		17,416
Benefit payments, including refunds of member contributions		(17,840)		(33,451)		(29,048)		(32,131)		(27,366)
Net Change in Total Pension Liability		29,894		13,195		7,979		21,840		16,064
Total Pension Liability - Beginning		438,834		468,728		481,923		489,902		511,742
Total Pension Liability - Ending (a)	\$	468,728	\$	481,923	\$	489,902	\$	511,742	\$	527,806
Plan Fiduciary Net Position										
Contributions – employer	\$	29,923	\$	27,297	\$	34,795	\$	33,919	\$	25,996
Contributions – employee	*	5,166	_	5,087	*	5,521	-	4,076	*	4,279
Actuarial Costs		(2,245)		(5,650)		-		(6,835)		-
Net investment income		19,979		(977)		20,969		61,480		(5,759)
Benefit payments		(17,840)		(33,451)		(29,048)		(32,131)		(27,366)
Administrative expense		(3,252)		(1,254)		-		-		(12,375)
Net Change in Plan Fiduciary Net Position		31,731		(8,948)		32,237	-	60,509	-	(15,225)
Plan Fiduciary Net Position - Beginning		369,228		400,959		392,011		424,248		484,757
Plan Fiduciary Net Position - Ending (b)	\$	400,959	\$	392,011	\$	424,248	\$	484,757	\$	469,532
	Φ.	(7.7(0)	ф	00.012	Φ.	(5, (5,4	ф	26.005	ф.	50.074
Net Pension Liability - Ending (a-b)		67,769	\$	89,912	\$	65,654	\$	26,985	\$	58,274
Plan Fiduciary Net Position as a Percentage of the Total Pension Liability		85.54%		81.34%		86.60%		94.73%		88.96%
Estimated Covered Employee Payroll	\$	189,808	\$	255,307	\$	264,072	\$	173,595	\$	237,931
Net Pension Liability as a Percentage of Covered Employee Payroll		35.70%		35.22%		24.86%		15.54%		24.49%

Sensitivity Of The Net Pension Liability To Changes In the Discount Rate

The following presents the net pension liability of the borough as of December 31, 2015, 2016, 2017, and 2018 calculated using the discount rate of 7.0%, as well as what the borough's net pension liability would be if it were calculated using a discount rate that is 1 percentage-point lower or 1 percentage-point higher than the current rate:

	1%	% Decrease (6.0%)	1%	1% Increase (8.0%)		
Net Pension Liability - 12/31/15	\$	146,806	\$ 89,912	\$	42,070	
Net Pension Liability - 12/31/16	\$	124,645	\$ 65,654	\$	16,016	
Net Pension Liability - 12/31/17	\$	88,606	\$ 26,985	\$	(24,866)	
Net Pension Liability - 12/31/18	\$	125,509	\$ 58,274	\$	2,183	

SCHEDULE OF CONTRIBUTIONS

								Contributions as a Percentage of
		tuarially				tribution	Covered-	Covered-
Year Ended	Det	ermined	Α	Actual	Det	ficiency	Employee	Employee
December 31	Con	tribution	Cont	ributions	(E	(xcess)	Payroll	Payroll
2014	\$	29,923	\$	29,923	\$	-	\$ 189,808	15.76%
2015		27,297		27,297		-	255,307	10.69%
2016		34,795		34,795		-	264,072	13.18%
2017		33,919		33,919		-	173,595	19.54%
2018		25,996		25,996		-	237,931	10.93%

SCHEDULE OF INVESTMENT RETURNS

Annual Money-Weighted Rate of Return, Net of Investment Expense:

2018	(3.55)%
2017	15.10%
2016	6.14%
2015	(0.66)%
2014	6.44%

SCHEDULE OF FUNDING PROGRESS

Historical trend information about the plan is presented herewith as supplementary information. It is intended to help users assess the plan's funding status on a going-concern basis, assess progress made in accumulating assets to pay benefits when due, and make comparisons with other state and local government retirement systems.

The actuarial information is required by Act 205 biennially. The historical information, beginning as of January 1, 2013, is as follows:

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
			Unfunded	
		Actuarial	(Assets in	
		Accrued	Excess of)	
	Actuarial	Liability	Actuarial	
Actuarial	Value of	(AAL) -	Accrued	Funded
Valuation	Assets	Entry Age	Liability	Ratio
Date	(a)	(b)	(b) - (a)	(a)/(b)
01-01-13	\$ 330,323	\$ 417,374	\$ 87,051	79.1%
01-01-15	393,726	468,728	75,002	84.0%
01-01-17	439,382	489,902	50,520	89.7%

Note: The market values of the plan's assets at 01-01-13, 01-01-15, and 01-01-17 have been adjusted to reflect the smoothing of gains and/or losses over a 4-year averaging period. This method will lower contributions in years of less than expected returns and increase contributions in years of greater than expected returns. The net effect over long periods of time is to have less variance in contribution levels from year to year.

The comparability of trend information is affected by changes in actuarial assumptions, benefit provisions, actuarial funding methods, accounting policies, and other changes. Those changes usually affect trends in contribution requirements and in ratios that use the actuarial accrued liability as a factor.

Analysis of the dollar amount of the actuarial value of assets, actuarial accrued liability, and unfunded (assets in excess of) actuarial accrued liability in isolation can be misleading. Expressing the actuarial value of assets as a percentage of the actuarial accrued liability (Column 4) provides one indication of the plan's funding status on a going-concern basis. Analysis of this percentage, over time, indicates whether the system is becoming financially stronger or weaker. Generally, the greater this percentage, the stronger the plan.

LEETSDALE BOROUGH NON-UNIFORMED PENSION PLAN SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION NOTES TO SUPPLEMENTARY SCHEDULES (UNAUDITED)

The information presented in the supplementary schedules was determined as part of the actuarial valuation at the date indicated. Additional information as of the latest actuarial valuation date follows:

Actuarial valuation date January 1, 2017

Actuarial cost method Entry age normal

Amortization method Level dollar, closed

Remaining amortization period 4 years

Asset valuation method Fair value, 4-year smoothing

Actuarial assumptions:

Investment rate of return * 7.0%

Projected salary increases * 4.0%

^{*} Includes inflation at 2.5%

LEETSDALE BOROUGH NON-UNIFORMED PENSION PLAN REPORT DISTRIBUTION LIST

This report was initially distributed to the following:

The Honorable Tom W. Wolf

Governor Commonwealth of Pennsylvania

The Honorable Peter A. Poninsky Mayor

> Mr. Jeffery Weatherby Council President

Mr. Benjimen Frederick Council Vice President

Ms. Jennifer Simek
Borough Secretary

This report is a matter of public record and is available online at www.PaAuditor.gov. Media questions about the report can be directed to the Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General, Office of Communications, 229 Finance Building, Harrisburg, PA 17120; via email to: news@PaAuditor.gov.