COMPLIANCE AUDIT

Marcus Hook Borough Police Pension Plan

Delaware County, Pennsylvania For the Period January 1, 2016 to December 31, 2019

October 2020



Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General

Eugene A. DePasquale • Auditor General





Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General Harrisburg, PA 17120-0018 Facebook: Pennsylvania Auditor General Twitter: @PAAuditorGen www.PaAuditor.gov

EUGENE A. DEPASQUALE AUDITOR GENERAL

The Honorable Mayor and Borough Council Marcus Hook Borough Delaware County Marcus Hook, PA 19061

We have conducted a compliance audit of the Marcus Hook Borough Police Pension Plan for the period January 1, 2016 to December 31, 2019. We also evaluated compliance with some requirements subsequent to that period when possible. The audit was conducted pursuant to authority derived from the Municipal Pension Plan Funding Standard and Recovery Act (Act 205 of 1984, as amended, 53 P.S. § 895.402(j)), which requires the Auditor General, as deemed necessary, to audit every municipality which receives general municipal pension system state aid and every municipal pension plan and fund in which general municipal pension system state aid is deposited. The audit was not conducted, nor was it required to be, in accordance with Government Auditing Standards issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. We planned and performed the audit to obtain sufficient, appropriate evidence to provide a reasonable basis for our conclusions based on our audit objective. We believe that the evidence obtained provides a reasonable basis for our conclusions based on our audit objective.

The objective of the audit was to determine if the pension plan was administered in compliance with applicable state laws, regulations, contracts, administrative procedures, and local ordinances and policies.

Our audit was limited to the areas related to the objective identified above. To determine whether the pension plan was administered in compliance with applicable state laws, regulations, contracts, administrative procedures, and local ordinances and policies, our methodology included the following:

 We determined whether state aid was properly determined and deposited in accordance with Act 205 requirements by verifying the annual deposit date of state aid and determining whether deposits were made within 30 days of receipt for all years within the period under audit.

- We determined whether annual employer contributions were calculated and deposited in accordance with the plan's governing document and applicable laws and regulations by examining the municipality's calculation of the plan's annual financial requirements and minimum municipal obligation (MMO) and comparing these calculated amounts to amounts actually budgeted and deposited into the pension plan as evidenced by supporting documentation.
- We determined whether annual employee contributions were calculated, deducted, and deposited into the pension plan in accordance with the plan's governing document and applicable laws and regulations by testing total members' contributions on an annual basis using the rates obtained from the plan's governing document in effect for all years within the period under audit and examining documents evidencing the deposit of these employee contributions into the pension plan.
- · We determined that there were no benefit calculations prepared for the years covered by our audit period.
- We determined whether the January 1, 2015, January 1, 2017, and January 1, 2019 actuarial valuation reports were prepared and submitted by March 31, 2016, 2018, and 2020, respectively, in accordance with Act 205 and whether selected information provided on these reports is accurate, complete, and in accordance with plan provisions to ensure compliance for participation in the state aid program by comparing selected information to supporting source documentation.
- · We determined whether provisions of the Deferred Retirement Option Plan (DROP) were in accordance with the provisions of Act 205 by examining provisions stated in the plan's governing documents.

Borough officials are responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal controls to provide reasonable assurance that the Marcus Hook Borough Police Pension Plan is administered in compliance with applicable state laws, regulations, contracts, administrative procedures, and local ordinances and policies. As previously described, we tested transactions, interviewed selected officials, and performed procedures to the extent necessary to provide reasonable assurance of detecting instances of noncompliance with legal and regulatory requirements or noncompliance with provisions of contracts, administrative procedures, and local ordinances and policies that are significant within the context of the audit objective.

The results of our procedures indicated that, in all significant respects, the Marcus Hook Borough Police Pension Plan was administered in compliance with applicable state laws, regulations, contracts, administrative procedures, and local ordinances and policies.

The accompanying supplementary information is presented for purposes of additional analysis. We did not audit the information or conclude on it and, accordingly, express no form of assurance on it.

The contents of this report were discussed with officials of Marcus Hook Borough and, where appropriate, their responses have been included in the report. We would like to thank borough officials for the cooperation extended to us during the conduct of the audit.

September 30, 2020

EUGENE A. DEPASQUALE

Auditor General

CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
Background	1
Status of Prior Audit Recommendation	2
Supplementary Information	4
Report Distribution List	8

ABBREVIATION

PSABMRT - Pennsylvania State Association of Boroughs Municipal Retirement Trust

BACKGROUND

On December 18, 1984, the Pennsylvania Legislature adopted the Municipal Pension Plan Funding Standard and Recovery Act (P.L. 1005, No. 205, as amended, 53 P.S. § 895.101 et seq.). The Act established mandatory actuarial reporting and funding requirements and a uniform basis for the distribution of state aid to Pennsylvania's public pension plans.

Annual state aid allocations are provided from a 2 percent foreign (out-of-state) casualty insurance premium tax, a portion of the foreign (out-of-state) fire insurance tax designated for paid firefighters and any investment income earned on the collection of these taxes. Generally, municipal pension plans established prior to December 18, 1984, are eligible for state aid. For municipal pension plans established after that date, the sponsoring municipality must fund the plan for three plan years before it becomes eligible for state aid. In accordance with Act 205, a municipality's annual state aid allocation cannot exceed its actual pension costs.

In addition to Act 205, the Marcus Hook Borough Police Pension Plan is also governed by implementing regulations published at Title 16, Part IV of the Pennsylvania Code and applicable provisions of various other state statutes including, but not limited to, the following:

Act 600 - Police Pension Fund Act, Act of May 29, 1956 (P.L. 1804, No. 600), as amended, 53 P.S. § 767 et seq.

The Marcus Hook Borough Police Pension Plan is a single-employer defined benefit pension plan locally controlled by the provisions of Resolution No. R-12-9, which adopts a joinder agreement with PSABMRT effective January 1, 2013, adopted pursuant to Act 600. The plan is also affected by the provisions of collective bargaining agreements between the borough and its police officers. The plan was established January 1, 1963. Active members are required to contribute 5 percent of compensation to the plan. As of December 31, 2019, the plan had 5 active members, no terminated members eligible for vested benefits in the future, and 6 retirees receiving pension benefits from the plan.

MARCUS HOOK BOROUGH POLICE PENSION PLAN STATUS OF PRIOR AUDIT RECOMMEDATION

Unauthorized Nonservice-Related Disability Benefit Provision

Prior audit reports of the police pension plan contained a finding disclosing that the collective bargaining agreement between the borough and its police officers provides a nonservicerelated disability benefit, which is not authorized by Act 600. Prior to 1999, the plan participated in the Pennsylvania Municipal Retirement System (PMRS), and nonservicerelated disability benefits were authorized pursuant to Pennsylvania Municipal Retirement Law, Act of February 1, 1974 (P.L. 34, No. 15), as amended, 53 P.S. § 881.101 et seq. (Act 15). Since 1999, the pension plan has been administered by the PSABMRT and is subject to the provisions of Act 600, which does not allow nonservice-related disability benefits. The borough continued to provide for a nonservice-related disability benefit through the collective bargaining agreement after the plan became subject to Act 600. The audit report covering the period January 1, 2009 to December 31, 2010 disclosed that the borough indicated the benefit was being funded through insurance policies, with insurance premiums being paid from the borough's general fund with no costs assumed by the police pension plan, and, as such, the benefit was no longer reported in the plan's biennial actuarial valuation reports and, therefore, was not being funded as a plan benefit. That audit report concluded that the borough was not receiving state aid attributable to the unauthorized benefit provision and recommended that the borough comply with Act 600 upon the renewal, extension, or renegotiation of the collective bargaining agreement.

Subsequently, during the current audit, it was determined that the collective bargaining agreement continues to provide a nonservice-related disability benefit. However, municipal officials also indicated that the nonservice-related disability benefit is not being funded through insurance policies, and the plan's actuary indicated that if a nonservice-related disability occurred it would generate a loss to the plan.

Section 5(a) of Act 600 states:

Payments made under the provisions of this act shall not be a charge on any other fund in the treasury of any borough, town, township or regional police department, or under its control, save the police pension fund herein provided for.

Since Act 600 requires all pension benefits to be paid only from the pension plan, all pension benefits should be included on the plan's actuarial valuation report and funded accordingly, in accordance with the funding standards prescribed under Act 205. The non-service related disability plan provision does not currently appear on the actuarial valuation reports filed by the borough during the current audit period.

MARCUS HOOK BOROUGH POLICE PENSION PLAN STATUS OF PRIOR AUDIT RECOMMEDATION

Since the nonservice-related disability benefit is not being reported on the plan's actuarial valuation reports and, therefore, is not being funded as a plan benefit, the borough is not receiving excess state aid attributable to the unauthorized benefit provision; however, the potential obligation to pay an unauthorized benefit to a plan member who incurs a nonservice-related disability which the plan has not been actuarially assuming could be detrimental to the plan's financial health.

We again recommend that the borough comply with Act 600 upon the renewal, extension, or renegotiation of the collective bargaining agreement. To the extent that the borough is not in compliance with Act 600 and/or is contractually obligated to pay benefits to existing retirees in excess of those authorized by Act 600, the excess benefits must be reflected in the Act 205 actuarial valuation reports for the plan and funded in accordance with Act 205 funding standards. Furthermore, such benefits will be deemed ineligible for funding with state pension aid. In such case, the plan's actuary may be required to determine the impact, if any, of the excess benefits on the borough's future state aid allocations and submit this information to the Department. If it is determined the excess benefits had an impact on the borough's future state aid allocations after the submission of this information, the plan's actuary would then be required to contact the Department to verify the overpayment of state aid received. Plan officials would then be required to reimburse the overpayment to the Commonwealth. The Department will continue to monitor the effect of the unauthorized nonservice-related disability benefit provision on the borough's state aid allocations during future audits of the plan.

MARCUS HOOK BOROUGH POLICE PENSION PLAN SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION (UNAUDITED)

SCHEDULE OF FUNDING PROGRESS

Historical trend information about the plan is presented herewith as supplementary information. It is intended to help users assess the plan's funding status on a going-concern basis, assess progress made in accumulating assets to pay benefits when due, and make comparisons with other state and local government retirement systems.

The actuarial information is required by Act 205 biennially. The historical information, beginning as of January 1, 2015, is as follows:

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
			Unfunded	
		Actuarial	(Assets in	
		Accrued	Excess of)	
	Actuarial	Liability	Actuarial	
Actuarial	Value of	(AAL) -	Accrued	Funded
Valuation	Assets	Entry Age	Liability	Ratio
Date	(a)	(b)	(b) - (a)	(a)/(b)
01-01-15	\$ 2,646,989	\$ 3,922,194	\$ 1,275,205	67.5%
01-01-17	2,798,982	4,217,945	1,418,963	66.4%
01-01-19	3,105,577	4,351,116	1,245,539	71.4%

Note: The market values of the plan's assets at 01-01-15, 01-01-17, and 01-01-19 have been adjusted to reflect the smoothing of gains and/or losses subject to a ceiling of 120 percent of the market value of assets. These methods will lower contributions in years of less than expected returns and increase contributions in years of greater than expected returns. The net effect over long periods of time is to have less variance in contribution levels from year to year.

MARCUS HOOK BOROUGH POLICE PENSION PLAN SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION (UNAUDITED)

The comparability of trend information is affected by changes in actuarial assumptions, benefit provisions, actuarial funding methods, accounting policies, and other changes. Those changes usually affect trends in contribution requirements and in ratios that use the actuarial accrued liability as a factor.

Analysis of the dollar amount of the actuarial value of assets, actuarial accrued liability, and unfunded (assets in excess of) actuarial accrued liability in isolation can be misleading. Expressing the actuarial value of assets as a percentage of the actuarial accrued liability (Column 4) provides one indication of the plan's funding status on a going-concern basis. Analysis of this percentage, over time, indicates whether the system is becoming financially stronger or weaker. Generally, the greater this percentage, the stronger the plan.

MARCUS HOOK BOROUGH POLICE PENSION PLAN SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION (UNAUDITED)

SCHEDULE OF CONTRIBUTIONS

						Contributions as
						a Percentage of
	Actuarially	•		Contribution	Covered-	Covered-
Year Ended	Determined	l A	ctual	Deficiency	Employee	Employee
December 31	Contribution	n Cont	ributions	(Excess)	Payroll	Payroll
2014	\$ 310,08	1 \$	310,081	\$ -	\$ 372,914	83.15%
2015	294,78	1	294,781	-	277,946	106.06%
2016	273,64	7	273,647	-	332,574	82.28%
2017	275,28	1	275,281	-	342,508	80.37%
2018	330,17	3	330,173	-	434,864	75.93%
2019	331,02	2	500,000	(168,978)	440,000	113.64%

MARCUS HOOK BOROUGH POLICE PENSION PLAN SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION NOTES TO SUPPLEMANTARY SCHEDULES (UNAUDITED)

The information presented in the supplementary schedules was determined as part of the actuarial valuation at the date indicated. Additional information as of the latest actuarial valuation date follows:

Actuarial valuation date January 1, 2019

Actuarial cost method Entry age normal

Amortization method Level dollar

Remaining amortization period 9 years

Asset valuation method Plan assets are valued using the method

described in Section 210 of Act 205, as amended, subject to a ceiling of 120%

of the market value of assets.

Actuarial assumptions:

Investment rate of return 7.50%

Projected salary increases 5.50%

MARCUS HOOK BOROUGH POLICE PENSION PLAN REPORT DISTRIBUTION LIST

This report was initially distributed to the following:

The Honorable Tom W. Wolf
Governor

Commonwealth of Pennsylvania

The Honorable Gene Taylor Mayor

Ms. Josephine M. Laird Council President

Mr. Andrew Weldon, CPA
Borough Manager

This report is a matter of public record and is available online at www.PaAuditor.gov. Media questions about the report can be directed to the Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General, Office of Communications, 229 Finance Building, Harrisburg, PA 17120; via email to: news@PaAuditor.gov.