ATTESTATION ENGAGEMENT

Township of Black Creek

Luzerne County, Pennsylvania 40-202

Liquid Fuels Tax Fund
For the Period
January 1, 2021 to December 31, 2021

February 2023



Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General

Timothy L. DeFoor • Auditor General



Commonwealth of Pennsylvania
Department of the Auditor General
Harrisburg, PA 17120-0018
Facebook: Pennsylvania Auditor General
Twitter: @PAAuditorGen
www.PaAuditor.gov

TIMOTHY L. DEFOOR AUDITOR GENERAL

Independent Auditor's Report

Michael Carroll Acting Secretary Department of Transportation Harrisburg, PA 17120

We examined the accompanying Form MS-965 With Adjustments for the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund of the Township of Black Creek, Luzerne County, for the period January 1, 2021 to December 31, 2021. The municipality's management is responsible for presenting the Form MS-965 in accordance with the criteria described in the Background section of this report and the Department of Transportation's *Publication 9*. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Form MS-965 With Adjustments based on our examination.

Our examination was conducted in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants and the standards applicable to attestation engagements contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the examination to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the Form MS-965 is presented in accordance with the criteria described above, in all material respects. An examination involves performing procedures to obtain evidence about the Form MS-965. The nature, timing and extent of the procedures selected depend on our judgement, including an assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the Form MS-965, whether due to fraud or error. We believe that the evidence we obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

We are required to be independent and to meet our other ethical responsibilities in accordance with relevant ethical requirements relating to the engagement.

As described in the Auditor Description Of Select Transactions section of this report, the adjustments included on the Form MS-965 With Adjustments are made by the Department of the Auditor General.

As discussed in the Finding No. 2, the municipality expended \$14,153.00 on anti-skid without maintaining documentation for price quotations.

<u>Independent Auditor's Report (Continued)</u>

In our opinion, except for the matters discussed in the preceding paragraph, the Form MS-965 With Adjustments presents, in all material respects, the information required by the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation for the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund of the Township of Black Creek, Luzerne County, for the period January 1, 2021 to December 31, 2021, in accordance with the criteria described in the Background section of this report and the Department of Transportation's *Publication 9*.

In accordance with Government Auditing Standards, we are required to report all deficiencies that are considered to be significant deficiencies or material weaknesses in internal control; fraud and noncompliance with provisions of laws or regulations that have a material effect on the Form MS-965; and any other instances that warrant the attention of those charged with governance; noncompliance with provisions of contracts or grant agreements, and abuse that has a material effect on the Form MS-965. We are also required to obtain and report the views of responsible officials concerning the findings, conclusions, and recommendations, as well as any planned corrective actions. We performed our examination to express an opinion on whether the Form MS-965 is presented in accordance with the criteria described above and not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the internal control over reporting on the Form MS-965 or on compliance and other matters; accordingly, we express no such opinions.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the Form MS-965 will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose of expressing an opinion on whether the Form MS-965 is presented in accordance with the criteria described above and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies and therefore, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies may exist that were not identified. Given these limitations, during our engagement we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified. We did identify a certain deficiency in internal control, described in the finding listed below, that we consider to be a significant deficiency in internal control:

• Duplicate Payment Of Invoice.

Independent Auditor's Report (Continued)

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the Form MS-965 is free from material misstatement, we performed tests of the Township of Black Creek, Luzerne County's compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of amounts on the Form MS-965. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our engagement, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed the following instance of noncompliance that is required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*:

• Documentation For Price Quotations Was Not Available For Examination.

The purpose of this report is to determine whether the municipality's Liquid Fuels Tax Fund money is spent in accordance with the laws and regulations identified in the Background section of this report and the Department of Transportation's *Publication 9*. This report is not suitable for any other purpose.

We appreciate the courtesy extended by the Township of Black Creek, Luzerne County, to us during the course of our examination. If you have any questions, please feel free to contact the Bureau of County Audits at 717-787-1363.

Timothy L. DeFoor Auditor General

Timothy L. Detool

February 14, 2023

CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
Background	1
Financial Section:	
2021 Form MS-965 With Adjustments	5
Auditor Description Of Select Transactions	8
Findings And Recommendations:	
Finding No. 1 - Duplicate Payment Of Invoice	9
Finding No. 2 - Documentation For Price Quotations Was Not Available For Examination	11
Summary Of Exit Conference	13
Report Distribution	14

Background

The Liquid Fuels Tax Municipal Allocation Law, Act 655 of 1956, as amended, see also 72 P.S. § 2615.5, et sec., provides municipalities other than counties (i.e., townships, boroughs, cities, towns, home rule, and optional plan governments) with an annual allocation of liquid fuels taxes from the state's Motor License Fund to be used for the maintenance and repair of streets, roads, and bridges for which the municipality is responsible. The allocation of these funds to municipalities is based upon: (1) 50 percent on the municipality's proportion of local road mileage to the total local road mileage in the state; and (2) 50 percent on the proportion of a municipality's population to the total population in the state.

Title 75 Pa.C.S. § 9511 of the Pennsylvania Vehicle Code provides municipalities with annual maintenance payments to be received from the Motor License Fund for functionally local highways that were transferred to a municipality from the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.

Each municipality must deposit the allocation of Liquid Fuels Tax funds and annual maintenance payments that it receives into a special fund called either the Municipal Liquid Fuels Tax Fund or State Fund. A municipality may not deposit any other monies into this fund except when the municipality does not have enough money in the special fund to meet the payments called for by its current annual budget for road and bridge purposes. In such a case, the municipality may borrow money or transfer money from its General Fund to its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund.

The Department of Transportation has been given the regulatory authority for the administration of these funds. Department of Transportation's *Publication 9* includes the policies and procedures for the administration of Act 655, as amended, and the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund money. However, if there is a difference between *Publication 9* and any legislation, the legislation shall govern.

To qualify for the annual allocation of Liquid Fuels Tax funds, *Publication 9* indicates that each municipality shall:

- 1. Submit annual reports (MS-965, Actual Use Report, MS-965P, Project and Miscellaneous Receipts, and MS-965S, Record of Checks).
- 2. Make deposits and payments or expenditures in compliance with Act 655 of 1956, as amended. Failure to do so may result in not receiving allocations from PennDOT until all discrepancies are resolved. *Publication 9*, Section 2.6, includes information about investing Liquid Fuels Tax monies, using loan or bond proceeds, and types of receipts into the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund.

Background (Continued)

- 3. Submit the Pennsylvania Department of Community and Economic Development's (DCED) Report of Elected and Appointed Officials by January 31st and the Survey of Financial Condition By March 15th.
- 4. Ensure resolution of all Contractor Responsibility Program (CRP) holds and blocks imposed by the Department of Revenue and the Department of Labor and Industry.
- 5. Ensure resolution of all reimbursements required as a result of audits performed by the Department of the Auditor General or monitoring reviews performed by the Department of Transportation's Financial Consultants.

Criteria

The criteria for the Form MS-965 With Adjustment are described below.

Section 1 of Form MS-965 With Adjustments provides a summary of Liquid Fuels Tax Fund expenditures by category. Categories requiring explanation include:

• Major equipment purchases are purchases of road machinery and road equipment with varying yearly costs in excess of the amounts indicated below:

 2018	2019	2020	2021
Ф10 000 00	ф11 100 00	ф11 200 00	ф11 5 00 00
 \$10,900.00	\$11,100.00	\$11,300.00	\$11,500.00

• Minor equipment purchases are purchases of road machinery and road equipment with varying yearly costs that are less than or equal to the amounts indicated below:

2018	2019	2020	2021
 \$10,900.00	\$11,100.00	\$11,300.00	\$11,500.00

• Agility projects are exchanges of services with the Department of Transportation.

Background (Continued)

Section 2 of Form MS-965 With Adjustments provides information on the fund balance. Categories requiring explanation include:

- The state allocation is available from the Department of Transportation in March of each year. The amount the municipality receives is based half on its population and half on its road mileage.
- Municipalities that transferred roads from the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania to the municipality through the Highway Transfer Program receive annual turnback allocations in March of each year from the Department of Transportation. Turnback allocations are based on the mileage of the roads transferred.
- Expenditures include the total transferred from Section 1.

Section 3 of Form MS-965 With Adjustments determines if the municipality expended Liquid Fuels Tax Fund money in excess of the permissible amount for equipment and the balance that the municipality may carry forward for the purchase of equipment to the subsequent year.

Department of Transportation *Publication 9* requires that the amount expended for equipment purchases in a given year not exceed the sum of the equipment balance carried forward from the previous year and 20 percent of the current year's Liquid Fuels Tax Fund allocation and, if applicable, 20 percent of the turnback allocation plus other Department of Transportation approved adjustments.

If the municipality spent in excess of the amount listed on Line 4, the excess must be reimbursed to the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund.

The equipment balance to be carried forward for the subsequent year is the lesser of the amount on Line 6 or the ending fund balance on Line 6 of Section 2, but not less than zero.

Background (Continued)

Basis of Presentation

The financial activities of the municipality are accounted for in separate funds. The Liquid Fuels Tax Fund is used to account for state aid revenues from the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation used primarily for building and improving local roads and bridges. The Form MS-965 has been prepared in accordance with reporting requirements prescribed by the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation as a result of the Fuels Tax Act 655, dated 1956 and as amended, which does not constitute a complete presentation of the entity's assets, liabilities, expenses, and fund balance. Accordingly, the presentation of Form MS-965 With Adjustments is restricted to the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund, which represents a segment of the entity.

Basis Of Accounting

The accompanying Form MS-965 With Adjustments is prepared in accordance with reporting requirements prescribed by the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation. Under this method, revenues are recognized when received and expenditures are recorded when paid.

General Fixed Assets

General fixed assets are recognized as expenditures at the time of purchase. No depreciation has been provided on the heavy equipment used to maintain and repair roads and bridges.

TOWNSHIP OF BLACK CREEK LUZERNE COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND 2021 FORM MS-965 – SECTION 1 WITH ADJUSTMENTS

Expenditure Summary	Reported		Adjustments		Adjusted Amount	
Minor equipment purchases	\$	16,200.00	\$	-	\$	16,200.00
Computer/Computer related training		-		-		-
Major equipment purchases		-		-		-
Agility projects		-		-		-
Cleaning streets and gutters		3,483.00		-		3,483.00
Winter maintenance services		69,428.16		-		69,428.16
Traffic control devices		-		-		_
Street lighting		-		-		-
Storm sewers and drains		2,832.75		2,175.10		5,007.85
Repairs of tools and machinery		22,346.34		-		22,346.34
Maintenance and repair of						
roads and bridges		73,026.97		(2,175.10)		70,851.87
Highway construction and						
rebuilding projects		-		-		_
Miscellaneous						-
Total (To Section 2, Line 5)	\$	187,317.22	\$		\$	187,317.22

TOWNSHIP OF BLACK CREEK LUZERNE COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND 2021 FORM MS-965 – SECTION 2 WITH ADJUSTMENTS

Fund Balance	Reported		Reported Adjustments		Adjusted Amount	
1. Balance, January 1, 2021	\$ 49,312.80		\$	-	\$	49,312.80
Receipts:						
2. State allocation		117,152.49		-		117,152.49
2a. Turnback allocation		20,680.00		-		20,680.00
2b. Interest on investments		7.40		-		7.40
2c. Miscellaneous		307.81				307.81
3. Total receipts		138,147.70				138,147.70
4. Total funds available		187,460.50				187,460.50
5. Expenditures (Section 1)	187,317.22		187,317.22 -			187,317.22
6. Balance, December 31, 2021	\$	143.28	\$		\$	143.28

TOWNSHIP OF BLACK CREEK LUZERNE COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND 2021 FORM MS-965 – SECTION 3 WITH ADJUSTMENTS

Equipment Balance	 Reported	Adju	ıstments	 Adjusted Amount
1. Prior year equipment balance	\$ 49,312.80	\$	-	\$ 49,312.80
2. Add: Current year equipment allocation (20% of Lines 2 + 2a, Section 2)	27,566.50		-	27,566.50
3. PENNDOT approved adjustments	 			
4. Total funds available for equipment acquisition	76,879.30		-	76,879.30
5. Less: Major equipment expenditures	 			
6. Remainder	 76,879.30			 76,879.30
7. Equipment balance available for subsequent year (Lesser of Line 6 or Section 2 balance, but not less than zero)	\$ 143.28	\$	<u>-</u>	\$ 143.28

TOWNSHIP OF BLACK CREEK LUZERNE COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND AUDITOR DESCRIPTION OF SELECT TRANSACTIONS FOR THE PERIOD JANUARY 1, 2021 TO DECEMBER 31, 2021

The following information relates to certain types of transactions for which the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation requested that we provide additional detail:

Adjustments

Section 1

Adjustments were made to "Storm sewers and drains" and "Maintenance and repair of roads and bridges" because expenditures of \$2,175.10 were misclassified.

Finding No. 1 - Duplicate Payment Of Invoice

Our examination disclosed that the municipality paid the following invoice twice:

Invoice <u>Number</u>	First <u>Check No.</u>	First <u>Date Paid</u>	Second Check No.	Second Date Paid	Invoice Amount
906593	1049	02/08/21	1055	02/22/21	\$1,948.60

The vendor credited the municipality \$1,640.79 on subsequent invoices which would have been paid by the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund. On October 5, 2021, the General Fund reimbursed the difference of \$307.81 to the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund. Although this money was credited or reimbursed to the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund, the primary concern is the inadequate internal controls which enabled the duplicate payment of \$1,948.60 to occur.

Good internal controls ensure that all invoices are reviewed timely to avoid duplicate payments. The failure to follow this procedure increases the possibility that duplicate payments can be made on vendor invoices and go undetected for long periods of time.

This condition occurred because of an error.

Recommendation

We recommend that the municipality establish and implement internal controls to ensure vendor invoices are reviewed timely to avoid duplicate payments.

Management's Response

The secretary stated:

The previous secretary paid a bill twice. The vendor used the overpayment toward other purchases, and one was not for a Liquid Fuels Tax Fund expenditure. The previous secretary paid this money back to the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund account the amount that was wrongfully credited to the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund account rather than the General Fund.

Finding No. 1 - Duplicate Payment Of Invoice (Continued)

Auditor's Conclusion

During our next examination, we will determine if the municipality complied with our recommendation.

Finding No. 2 - Documentation For Price Quotations Was Not Available For Examination

Our examination disclosed that the township expended \$14,153.00 during 2021 from the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund for the purchase of anti-skid. The township was required to obtain three written or telephonic price quotations for these expenditures. However, documentation for price quotations was not available for examination. These purchases were as follows:

Invoice	Check	Check	
<u>Date</u>	<u>Number</u>	<u>Date</u>	Amount
01/09/21	1046	01/22/21	1,352.00
01/29/21	1048	01/29/21	1,387.80
02/05/21	1056	02/22/21	902.40
02/06/21	1056	02/22/21	1,792.00
02/12/21	1061	03/10/21	2,304.20
02/13/21	1061	03/10/21	1,372.40
02/19/21	1061	03/10/21	1,839.40
02/25/21	1061	03/10/21	2,741.20
02/26/21	1061	03/10/21	461.60
			\$14,153.00
	Date 01/09/21 01/29/21 02/05/21 02/06/21 02/12/21 02/13/21 02/19/21 02/25/21	Date Number 01/09/21 1046 01/29/21 1048 02/05/21 1056 02/06/21 1056 02/12/21 1061 02/13/21 1061 02/19/21 1061 02/25/21 1061	Date Number Date 01/09/21 1046 01/22/21 01/29/21 1048 01/29/21 02/05/21 1056 02/22/21 02/06/21 1056 02/22/21 02/12/21 1061 03/10/21 02/13/21 1061 03/10/21 02/19/21 1061 03/10/21 02/25/21 1061 03/10/21

The above expenditures were not made in compliance with the contract requirements of *The Second Class Township Code*, 53 P.S. § 68102(b), (also found at § 3102(b) of *The Second Class Township Code* as published by the Local Government Commission), which states, in part:

Written or telephonic price quotations from at least three qualified and responsible contractors shall be requested for all contracts [during 2012] that exceed ten thousand dollars (\$10,000.00) but are less than the amount [in excess of \$18,500.00] requiring advertisement and competitive bidding or, in lieu of price quotations, a memorandum shall be kept on file showing that fewer than three qualified contractors exist in the market area within which it is practicable to obtain quotations. A written record of telephonic price quotations shall be made and shall contain at least the date of the quotation, the name of the contractor and the contractor's representative, the construction, reconstruction, repair, maintenance, or work which was the subject of the quotation and the price. Written price quotations, written records of telephonic price quotations and memoranda shall be retained for a period of three years.

Finding No. 2 - Documentation For Price Quotations Was Not Available For Examination (Continued)

The thresholds for obtaining price quotations increased to purchases between \$11,500.00 and \$21,300.00 for 2021 and \$11,800.00 and \$21,900.00 for 2022.

This condition occurred because the township officials were under the impression that they were purchasing the material off the Costars program but did not receive Costars pricing and had no proof of any Costars contract with the vendor.

The failure to comply with *The Second Class Township Code* could result in the township having to reimburse \$14,153.00 to its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund.

Recommendations

We recommend that the township reimburse \$14,153.00 to its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund upon official notification by the Department of Transportation.

We further recommend that, in the future, the township complies with *The Second Class Township Code* as noted in this finding.

Management's Response

The secretary stated:

The township was under the impression that they were receiving Costars pricing. The vendor did not give them Costars pricing. The township did not realize antiskid needed to be put-out for bid.

Auditor's Conclusion

During our next examination, we will determine if the municipality complied with our recommendations.

TOWNSHIP OF BLACK CREEK LUZERNE COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND SUMMARY OF EXIT CONFERENCE FOR THE PERIOD JANUARY 1, 2021 TO DECEMBER 31, 2021

An exit conference was held September 8, 2022. Those participating were:

TOWNSHIP OF BLACK CREEK

Ms. Rachel Lipski, Secretary

DEPARTMENT OF THE AUDITOR GENERAL

Mr. Corey Tomasetti, Auditor

The results of the examination were presented and discussed in their entirety.

This report was initially distributed to:

Michael Carroll

Acting Secretary
Department of Transportation

Township of Black Creek

Luzerne County 1980 Tomhicken Road Bloomsburg, PA 17815

The Honorable Saura Rohrbach

Chairperson of the Board of Supervisors

Ms. Rachel Lipski

Secretary

This report is a matter of public record and is available online at www.PaAuditor.gov. Media questions about the report can be directed to the Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General, Office of Communications, 229 Finance Building, Harrisburg, PA 17120; via email to: news@PaAuditor.gov.