ATTESTATION ENGAGEMENT

Clerk of the Court of Common Pleas

Fayette County, Pennsylvania
For the Period
January 1, 2014 to December 31, 2017

September 2018



Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General

Eugene A. DePasquale • Auditor General



Commonwealth of Pennsylvania
Department of the Auditor General
Harrisburg, PA 17120-0018
Facebook: Pennsylvania Auditor General
Twitter: @PAAuditorGen
www.PaAuditor.gov

EUGENE A. DEPASQUALE AUDITOR GENERAL

Independent Auditor's Report

The Honorable C. Daniel Hassell Secretary Pennsylvania Department of Revenue Harrisburg, PA 17128

We have examined the accompanying statement of receipts and disbursements (Statement) of the Clerk of the Court of Common Pleas, Fayette County, Pennsylvania (County Officer), for the period January 1, 2014 to December 31, 2017, pursuant to the requirements of Section 401(b) of *The Fiscal Code*, 72 P.S. § 401(b). The County Office's management is responsible for presenting this Statement in accordance with the criteria set forth in Note 1. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on this Statement based on our examination.

Our examination was conducted in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants and the standards applicable to attestation engagements contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the examination to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the Statement is presented in accordance with the criteria described above, in all material respects. An examination involves performing procedures to obtain evidence about the statement of receipts and disbursements. The nature, timing and extent of the procedures selected depend on our judgement, including an assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the Statement, whether due to fraud or error. We believe that the evidence we obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

We are mandated by Section 401(b) of *The Fiscal Code* to audit the accounts of each county officer to determine whether all moneys collected on behalf of the Commonwealth have been correctly assessed, reported and promptly remitted. *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States include attestation engagements as a separate type of audit. An attestation engagement performed pursuant to *Government Auditing Standards* involves additional standards that exceed the standards provided by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. Accordingly, this attestation engagement complies with both *Government Auditing Standards* and Section 401(b) of *The Fiscal Code*.

<u>Independent Auditor's Report (Continued)</u>

In our opinion, the Statement referred to above, for the period January 1, 2014 to December 31, 2017, is presented in accordance with the criteria set forth in Note 1, in all material respects.

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we are required to report all deficiencies that are considered to be significant deficiencies or material weaknesses in internal control; fraud and noncompliance with provisions of laws or regulations that have a material effect on the Statement; and any other instances that warrant the attention of those charged with governance; noncompliance with provisions of contracts or grant agreements, and abuse that has a material effect on the Statement. We are also required to obtain and report the views of responsible officials concerning the findings, conclusions, and recommendations, as well as any planned corrective actions. We performed our examination to express an opinion on whether the Statement is presented in accordance with the criteria described above and not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on internal control over reporting on the Statement or on compliance and other matters; accordingly, we express no such opinions.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the Statement will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over reporting on the Statement was for the limited purpose of expressing an opinion on whether the Statement is presented in accordance with the criteria described above and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over reporting on the Statement that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our engagement we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the Statement is free from material misstatement, we performed tests of the County Office's compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of Statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our engagement, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*. However, we did note another matter that, while not required to be included in this report by *Government Auditing Standards*, has been included in the finding below:

• Inadequate Assessment of Fines, Costs, Fees and Surcharges.

<u>Independent Auditor's Report (Continued)</u>

The purpose of this report is to determine whether all moneys collected on behalf of the Commonwealth have been correctly assessed, reported and promptly remitted. This report is not suitable for any other purposes.

We appreciate the courtesy extended by the Clerk of the Court of Common Pleas, Fayette County, to us during the course of our examination. If you have any questions, please feel free to contact Michael B. Kashishian, CPA, CGAP, CFE, Director, Bureau of County Audits, at 717-787-1363.

August 23, 2018

Eugene A. DePasquale

Eugraf: O-Pasyer

Auditor General

CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
Financial Section:	
Statement Of Receipts And Disbursements	1
Notes To The Statement Of Receipts And Disbursements	2
Finding And Recommendation:	
Finding - Inadequate Assessment Of Fines, Costs, Fees and Surcharges	3
Summary Of Prior Examination Recommendation	6
Report Distribution	7

CLERK OF THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS FAYETTE COUNTY

STATEMENT OF RECEIPTS AND DISBURSEMENTS FOR THE PERIOD

JANUARY 1, 2014 TO DECEMBER 31, 2017

Receipts:

Department of Transportation	
Title 75 Fines	\$ 348,808
Overweight Fines	425
Department of Revenue Court Costs	77,487
Crime Victims' Compensation Costs	255,784
Crime Commission Costs/Victim Witness Services Costs	173,214
Domestic Violence Costs	13,631
Emergency Medical Services Fines	12,511
DUI - ARD/EMS Fees	22,952
CAT/MCARE Fund Surcharges	61,322
Judicial Computer System/Access to Justice Fees	217,407
Offender Supervision Fees	1,177,465
Constable Service Surcharges	1,883
Criminal Laboratory Users' Fees	93,278
Probation and Parole Officers' Firearm Education Costs	14,631
Substance Abuse Education Costs	190,934
Office of Victims' Services Costs	23,405
Miscellaneous State Fines and Costs	 443,879
Total receipts (Note 2)	3,129,016
Disbursements to Commonwealth (Note 3)	 (3,129,016)
Balance due Commonwealth (County) per settled reports (Note 4)	-
Examination adjustments	
Adjusted balance due Commonwealth (County) for the period January 1, 2014 to December 31, 2017	\$

Notes to the Statement of Receipts and Disbursements are an integral part of this report.

CLERK OF THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS FAYETTE COUNTY

NOTES TO THE STATEMENT OF RECEIPTS AND DISBURSEMENTS FOR THE PERIOD

JANUARY 1, 2014 TO DECEMBER 31, 2017

1. <u>Criteria</u>

The Statement of Receipts and Disbursements provides a summary of receipts and disbursements by category. The categories and the amounts of fines, costs, fees, and surcharges assessed are based on Pennsylvania laws and regulations.

The Statement was prepared in accordance with reporting requirements prescribed by the Pennsylvania Department of Revenue. Under this method, only the Commonwealth portion of cash receipts and disbursements are presented, revenues are recognized when received, and expenditures are recognized when paid.

2. Receipts

Receipts are comprised of fines, costs, fees, and surcharges collected on behalf of the Commonwealth. These fines, costs, fees, and surcharges represent collections made on summary and criminal cases filed with the Clerk of the Court of Common Pleas' Office.

3. Disbursements

Total disbursements are comprised as follows:

Clerk of the Court checks issued to:

Department of Revenue	\$ 3,080,340
Game Commission	78
State Police	48,556
Department of Welfare	42
Total	\$ 3,129,016

4. <u>Balance Due Commonwealth (County) For The Period January 1, 2014 To December 31, 2017</u>

This balance reflects a summary of monthly transmittal reports as settled by the Department of Revenue. The balance also reflects a summary of any receipts disbursed directly to other state agencies.

5. <u>County Officer Serving During Examination Period</u>

Janice Snyder served as the Clerk of the Court of Common Pleas for the period January 1, 2014 to December 31, 2017.

CLERK OF THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS FAYETTE COUNTY FINDING AND RECOMMENDATION FOR THE PERIOD JANUARY 1, 2014 TO DECEMBER 31, 2017

Finding - Inadequate Assessment Of Fines, Costs, Fees and Surcharges

Our examination disclosed that the office did not assess certain fines, costs, fees and surcharges as mandated by law. Of 63 cases tested, we noted the following discrepancies:

- There were 3 cases in which the Judicial Computer System/Access To Justice (JCS/ATJ) Fees were not assessed properly.
- There were 2 cases in which Crime Victims Compensation Costs and Victim Witness Services Costs were not assessed.
- There were 4 cases in which the Domestic Violence Costs were not assessed.
- There were 2 cases in which the Substance Abuse Education Cost were over assessed.
- There was 1 case in which the Offender Supervision Fee was assessed in error.
- There was 1 case in which the Catastrophic Fund Surcharge was under assessed.
- There were 4 cases in which the Limited Expungement Fee was assessed but no petition for expungement was filed.
- There was 1 case in which the Amber Alert System Cost was not assessed.
- There were 4 cases in which the Criminal Justice Enhancement Account Fee was not properly assessed.

The following state statutes address the assessment of fines, costs, fees, and surcharges that were not properly assessed:

• Title 42 Pa. C.S.A. § 3733 provides for the collection of Judicial Computer System/Access to Justice (JCS/ATJ) Fees. It should be noted that these fees should not be assessed on Accelerated Rehabilitative Disposition (ARD) cases prior to December 8, 2009.

CLERK OF THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS FAYETTE COUNTY FINDING AND RECOMMENDATION FOR THE PERIOD JANUARY 1, 2014 TO DECEMBER 31, 2017

Finding - Inadequate Assessment Of Fines, Costs, Fees And Surcharges (Continued)

- Title 18 P.S. § 11.1101 authorizes a \$35 Crime Victim's Compensation Cost to be assessed
 for use by the Crime Victim's Compensation Board for payment to victims and technical
 assistance and a \$25 Victim Witness Services Cost for use by the Commission on Crime
 and Delinquency for victim witness service grants and technical assistance in non-victim
 compensation related areas.
- Title 71 P.S. § 611.13 (b) authorizes a \$10 Domestic Violence Cost to be assessed against any person who pleads guilty or nolo contendere or who is convicted of a crime as defined in 71 P.S. § 611.13 (e). It should be noted that these fees should not be assessed on Accelerated Rehabilitative Disposition (ARD) cases.
- Substance Abuse Education Costs amended Title 18 by adding Section 7508. This section imposed a \$100 cost on driving under the influence (DUI) offenses and on all drug related offenses covered in the Controlled Substance, Drug, Device and Cosmetic Act. Also, effective February 1, 2004, DUI offenses in which the offender's blood alcohol level is greater than .16% require an additional \$200 cost. The cost is distributed 50/50 between the County and Commonwealth.
- Title 18 P.S. § 11.1102 provides for the collection of the Offender Supervision Fee.
- Title 75 Pa. C.S.A. § 6506(a) provides for the collection of the Catastrophic Fund Surcharge.
- Effective November 14, 2016, Title 18 P.S. § 9122 amendment authorizes a \$132 Petition for Expungement fee to be assessed upon a person who files a petition for expungement at the time of filing.
- Title 35 P.S. § 7025.4 provides for the collection of the Amber Alert System Cost. Unless the court finds that undue hardship would result, in addition to any other cost imposed by law, a cost of \$25 shall automatically be assessed on each person convicted, adjudicated delinquent or granted accelerated rehabilitative disposition (ARD) of the offenses in 18 Pa.C.S. § 2901 -2910.
- Effective November 10, 2007, Title 42 Pa.C.S. § 3575 (b) provides for the collection of a \$50 Criminal Justice Enhancement Account (CJEA) Fee if a defendant accepts Accelerated Rehabilitative Disposition, is convicted of or enters a plea of guilt or nolo contendere for a felony, misdemeanor of the first degree or misdemeanor of the second degree as set forth in Title 18 PA.C.S. (relating to crimes and offenses), or is convicted of or enters a plea of guilt or nolo contendere for a violation of Title 35, Section 780-113(a)(16), known as The Controlled Substance, Drug, Device and Cosmetic Act.

CLERK OF THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS FAYETTE COUNTY FINDING AND RECOMMENDATION FOR THE PERIOD JANUARY 1, 2014 TO DECEMBER 31, 2017

Finding - Inadequate Assessment Of Fines, Costs, Fees And Surcharges (Continued)

The improper assessing of these costs and fees resulted in the defendant not being assessed the proper amount of costs and fees associated with the violation; and a loss of revenue to the Commonwealth and/or the County.

These incorrect assessments occurred because the office was not aware or up-to-date on laws and regulations regarding the proper assessment of Commonwealth fines, costs, fees and surcharges.

Recommendation

We recommend that the office review the laws noted above to ensure that fines, costs, fees and surcharges are assessed as mandated by law.

Management's Response

The County Officer responded as follows:

Those cases have been corrected and I have addressed proper accounting procedures with my staff to ensure we address such questionable issues at the time and not let them snowball.

I will continue to work diligently with your office to maintain adequate records. It is always a pleasure working with the auditors.

Auditor Conclusion

During our next examination, we will determine if the office complied with our recommendation.

CLERK OF THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS FAYETTE COUNTY SUMMARY OF PRIOR EXAMINATION RECOMMENDATION FOR THE PERIOD JANUARY 1, 2014 TO DECEMBER 31, 2017

Summary Of Prior Examination Recommendation

During our prior examination, we recommended that the office:

• Establish and implement a system of internal controls over the bank account.

During our current examination, we noted that the office complied with our recommendation.

CLERK OF THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS FAYETTE COUNTY REPORT DISTRIBUTION FOR THE PERIOD JANUARY 1, 2014 TO DECEMBER 31, 2017

This report was initially distributed to:

The Honorable C. Daniel Hassell

Secretary Pennsylvania Department of Revenue

The Honorable Thomas B. Darr

Court Administrator of Pennsylvania Supreme Court of Pennsylvania Administrative Office of Pennsylvania Courts

Mr. Thomas J. Dougherty

Director
Division of Grants and Standards
Pennsylvania Board of Probation and Parole

The Honorable Janice SnyderClerk of the Court of Common Pleas

The Honorable Scott AbrahamController

The Honorable Vincent A. Vicites

Chairperson of the Board of Commissioners

This report is a matter of public record and is available online at www.PaAuditor.gov. Media questions about the report can be directed to the Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General, Office of Communications, 229 Finance Building, Harrisburg, PA 17120; via email to: news@PaAuditor.gov.