## ATTESTATION ENGAGEMENT

Borough of Munhall Allegheny County, Pennsylvania 02-448 Liquid Fuels Tax Fund For the Period January 1, 2020 to December 31, 2021

# December 2022





Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General Harrisburg, PA 17120-0018 Facebook: Pennsylvania Auditor General Twitter: @PAAuditorGen www.PaAuditor.gov

TIMOTHY L. DEFOOR AUDITOR GENERAL

## Independent Auditor's Report

The Honorable Yassmin Gramian, P.E. Secretary Department of Transportation Harrisburg, PA 17120

We examined the accompanying Forms MS-965 With Adjustments for the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund of the Borough of Munhall, Allegheny County, for the period January 1, 2020 to December 31, 2021. The municipality's management is responsible for presenting the Forms MS-965 in accordance with the criteria described in the Background section of this report and the Department of Transportation's *Publication 9*. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Forms MS-965 With Adjustments based on our examination.

Our examination was conducted in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants and the standards applicable to attestation engagements contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the examination to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the Forms MS-965 are presented in accordance with the criteria described above, in all material respects. An examination involves performing procedures to obtain evidence about the Forms MS-965. The nature, timing and extent of the procedures selected depend on our judgement, including an assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the Forms MS-965, whether due to fraud or error. We believe that the evidence we obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

We are required to be independent and to meet our other ethical responsibilities in accordance with relevant ethical requirements relating to the engagement.

As described in the Auditor Description Of Select Transactions section of this report, the adjustments included on the Forms MS-965 With Adjustments are made by the Department of the Auditor General.

## Independent Auditor's Report (Continued)

As discussed in the Findings And Recommendations section of this report, the borough:

- Was overcharged a total of \$600.00 during 2020 for vactor truck services (see Finding No. 1).
- Expended \$17,761.39 during 2020 from the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund for nonpermissible items (see Finding No. 2).
- Expended \$12,053.55 during 2020 from the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund for the purchase of crack sealer without obtaining price quotations (see Finding No. 3).

In our opinion, except for the bulleted matters discussed above, the Forms MS-965 With Adjustments present, in all material respects, the information required by the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation for the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund of the Borough of Munhall, Allegheny County, for the period January 1, 2020 to December 31, 2021, in accordance with the criteria described in the Background section of this report and the Department of Transportation's *Publication 9*.

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we are required to report all deficiencies that are considered to be significant deficiencies or material weaknesses in internal control; fraud and noncompliance with provisions of laws or regulations that have a material effect on the Forms MS-965, and any other instances that warrant the attention of those charged with governance; noncompliance with provisions of contracts or grant agreements, and abuse that has a material effect on the Forms MS-965. We are also required to obtain and report the views of responsible officials concerning the findings, conclusions, and recommendations, as well as any planned corrective actions. We performed our examination to express an opinion on whether the Forms MS-965 are presented in accordance with the criteria described above and not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the internal control over reporting on the Forms MS-965 or on compliance and other matters; accordingly, we express no such opinions.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the Forms MS-965 will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

## Independent Auditor's Report (Continued)

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose of expressing an opinion on whether the Forms MS-965 is presented in accordance with the criteria described above and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies and therefore, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies may exist that were not identified. We did identify a certain deficiency in internal control, described in the finding listed below, that we consider to be a material weakness in internal control:

• Vendor Overcharge.

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the Forms MS-965 are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of the Borough of Munhall, Allegheny County's compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of amounts on the Forms MS-965. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our engagement, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed the following instances of noncompliance that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*:

- Nonpermissible Expenditures.
- Documentation For Price Quotations Was Not Available For Examination Recurring.

The purpose of this report is to determine whether the municipality's Liquid Fuels Tax Fund money is spent in accordance with the laws and regulations identified in the Background section of this report and the Department of Transportation's *Publication 9*. This report is not suitable for any other purpose.

We appreciate the courtesy extended by the Borough of Munhall, Allegheny County, to us during the course of our examination. If you have any questions, please feel free to contact the Bureau of County Audits at 717-787-1363.

Timothy L. Detaor

Timothy L. DeFoor Auditor General December 1, 2022

## CONTENTS

## <u>Page</u>

Background1
Financial Section:
2020 Form MS-965 With Adjustments
2021 Form MS-965 With Adjustments
Auditor Description Of Select Transactions11
Findings And Recommendations:
Finding No. 1 - Vendor Overcharge12
Finding No. 2 - Nonpermissible Expenditures
Finding No. 3 - Documentation For Price Quotations Was Not Available For Examination - Recurring15
Summary Of Prior Examination Recommendations17
Summary Of Exit Conference
Report Distribution

## Background

The Liquid Fuels Tax Municipal Allocation Law, Act 655 of 1956, as amended, see also 72 P.S. § 2615.5, et sec., provides municipalities other than counties (i.e., townships, boroughs, cities, towns, home rule, and optional plan governments) with an annual allocation of liquid fuels taxes from the state's Motor License Fund to be used for the maintenance and repair of streets, roads, and bridges for which the municipality is responsible. The allocation of these funds to municipalities is based upon: (1) 50 percent on the municipality's proportion of local road mileage to the total local road mileage in the state; and (2) 50 percent on the proportion of a municipality's population to the total population in the state.

Title 75 Pa.C.S. § 9511 of the Pennsylvania Vehicle Code provides municipalities with annual maintenance payments to be received from the Motor License Fund for functionally local highways that were transferred to a municipality from the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.

Each municipality must deposit the allocation of Liquid Fuels Tax funds and annual maintenance payments that it receives into a special fund called either the Municipal Liquid Fuels Tax Fund or State Fund. A municipality may not deposit any other monies into this fund except when the municipality does not have enough money in the special fund to meet the payments called for by its current annual budget for road and bridge purposes. In such a case, the municipality may borrow money or transfer money from its General Fund to its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund.

The Department of Transportation has been given the regulatory authority for the administration of these funds. Department of Transportation's *Publication 9* includes the policies and procedures for the administration of Act 655, as amended, and the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund money. However, if there is a difference between *Publication 9* and any legislation, the legislation shall govern.

To qualify for the annual allocation of Liquid Fuels Tax funds, *Publication 9* indicates that each municipality shall:

- 1. Submit annual reports (MS-965, Actual Use Report, MS-965P, Project and Miscellaneous Receipts, and MS-965S, Record of Checks).
- 2. Make deposits and payments or expenditures in compliance with Act 655 of 1956, as amended. Failure to do so may result in not receiving allocations from PennDOT until all discrepancies are resolved. *Publication 9*, Section 2.6, includes information about investing Liquid Fuels Tax monies, using loan or bond proceeds, and types of receipts into the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund.

## Background (Continued)

- 3. Submit the Pennsylvania Department of Community and Economic Development's (DCED) Report of Elected and Appointed Officials by January 31<sup>st</sup> and the Survey of Financial Condition By March 15<sup>th</sup>.
- 4. Ensure resolution of all Contractor Responsibility Program (CRP) holds and blocks imposed by the Department of Revenue and the Department of Labor and Industry.
- 5. Ensure resolution of all reimbursements required as a result of audits performed by the Department of the Auditor General or monitoring reviews performed by the Department of Transportation's Financial Consultants.

## Criteria

The criteria for the Form MS-965 With Adjustment are described below.

Section 1 of Form MS-965 With Adjustments provides a summary of Liquid Fuels Tax Fund expenditures by category. Categories requiring explanation include:

• Major equipment purchases are purchases of road machinery and road equipment with varying yearly costs in excess of the amounts indicated below:

2018	2019	2020	2021
\$10,900.00	\$11,100.00	\$11,300.00	\$11,500.00

• Minor equipment purchases are purchases of road machinery and road equipment with varying yearly costs that are less than or equal to the amounts indicated below:

2018	2019	2020	2021
\$10,900.00	\$11,100.00	\$11,300.00	\$11,500.00

• Agility projects are exchanges of services with the Department of Transportation.

## Background (Continued)

Section 2 of Form MS-965 With Adjustments provides information on the fund balance. Categories requiring explanation include:

- The state allocation is available from the Department of Transportation in March of each year. The amount the municipality receives is based half on its population and half on its road mileage.
- Municipalities that transferred roads from the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania to the municipality through the Highway Transfer Program receive annual turnback allocations in March of each year from the Department of Transportation. Turnback allocations are based on the mileage of the roads transferred.
- Expenditures include the total transferred from Section 1.

Section 3 of Form MS-965 With Adjustments determines if the municipality expended Liquid Fuels Tax Fund money in excess of the permissible amount for equipment and the balance that the municipality may carry forward for the purchase of equipment to the subsequent year.

Department of Transportation *Publication 9* requires that the amount expended for equipment purchases in a given year not exceed the sum of the equipment balance carried forward from the previous year and 20 percent of the current year's Liquid Fuels Tax Fund allocation and, if applicable, 20 percent of the turnback allocation plus other Department of Transportation approved adjustments.

If the municipality spent in excess of the amount listed on Line 4, the excess must be reimbursed to the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund.

The equipment balance to be carried forward for the subsequent year is the lesser of the amount on Line 6 or the ending fund balance on Line 6 of Section 2, but not less than zero.

## Background (Continued)

#### Basis of Presentation

The financial activities of the municipality are accounted for in separate funds. The Liquid Fuels Tax Fund is used to account for state aid revenues from the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation used primarily for building and improving local roads and bridges. The Form MS-965 has been prepared in accordance with reporting requirements prescribed by the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation as a result of the Fuels Tax Act 655, dated 1956 and as amended, which does not constitute a complete presentation of the entity's assets, liabilities, expenses, and fund balance. Accordingly, the presentation of Form MS-965 With Adjustments is restricted to the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund, which represents a segment of the entity.

## Basis Of Accounting

The accompanying Form MS-965 With Adjustments is prepared in accordance with reporting requirements prescribed by the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation. Under this method, revenues are recognized when received and expenditures are recorded when paid.

## General Fixed Assets

General fixed assets are recognized as expenditures at the time of purchase. No depreciation has been provided on the heavy equipment used to maintain and repair roads and bridges.

## BOROUGH OF MUNHALL ALLEGHENY COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND 2020 FORM MS-965 – SECTION 1 WITH ADJUSTMENTS

Expenditure Summary	Reported		Adjustments		Adjusted Amount	
Minor equipment purchases	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Computer/Computer related training		-		-		-
Major equipment purchases		-		-		-
Agility projects		-		-		-
Cleaning streets and gutters	2	20,160.00		-		20,160.00
Winter maintenance services	18	33,459.12		(17,744.50)		165,714.62
Traffic control devices		-		-		-
Street lighting		6,186.85		-		6,186.85
Storm sewers and drains	2	49,587.49		(510.09)		49,077.40
Repairs of tools and machinery		8,035.49		-		8,035.49
Maintenance and repair of						
roads and bridges	7	74,014.33		18,754.59		92,768.92
Highway construction and						
rebuilding projects		-		-		-
Miscellaneous		500.00		(500.00)		
Total (To Section 2, Line 5)	\$ 34	41,943.28	\$		\$	341,943.28

## BOROUGH OF MUNHALL ALLEGHENY COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND 2020 FORM MS-965 – SECTION 2 WITH ADJUSTMENTS

Fund Balance	Reported		Reported Adjustments		Adjusted Amount	
1. Balance, January 1, 2020	\$	7.41	\$	-	\$	7.41
Receipts:						
2. State allocation	3	322,717.51		-		322,717.51
2a. Turnback allocation		-		-		-
2b. Interest on investments		379.83		-		379.83
2c. Miscellaneous		18,888.91				18,888.91
3. Total receipts	3	341,986.25				341,986.25
4. Total funds available	3	341,993.66				341,993.66
5. Expenditures (Section 1)	3	341,943.28				341,943.28
6. Balance, December 31, 2020	\$	50.38	\$		\$	50.38

## BOROUGH OF MUNHALL ALLEGHENY COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND 2020 FORM MS-965 – SECTION 3 WITH ADJUSTMENTS

Equipment Balance	Reported		Adjustments		Adjusted Amount	
1. Prior year equipment balance	\$	7.41	\$	-	\$	7.41
<ol> <li>Add: Current year equipment allocation (20% of Lines 2 + 2a, Section 2)</li> </ol>		64,543.50		-		64,543.50
3. PENNDOT approved adjustments				_		
4. Total funds available for equipment acquisition		64,550.91		-		64,550.91
5. Less: Major equipment expenditures						
6. Remainder		64,550.91		-		64,550.91
<ol> <li>Equipment balance available for subsequent year (Lesser of Line 6 or Section 2 balance, but not less than zero)</li> </ol>	\$	50.38	\$		\$	50.38

## BOROUGH OF MUNHALL ALLEGHENY COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND 2021 FORM MS-965 – SECTION 1 WITH ADJUSTMENTS

Expenditure Summary	Reported		Adjustments		Adjusted Amount	
Minor equipment purchases	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Computer/Computer related training		-		-		-
Major equipment purchases		-		-		-
Agility projects		-		-		-
Cleaning streets and gutters		-		-		-
Winter maintenance services		284,181.18		-		284,181.18
Traffic control devices		-		-		-
Street lighting		-		-		-
Storm sewers and drains		12,576.96		-		12,576.96
Repairs of tools and machinery		-		-		-
Maintenance and repair of						
roads and bridges		5,423.62		-		5,423.62
Highway construction and						
rebuilding projects		-		-		-
Miscellaneous						
Total (To Section 2, Line 5)	\$	302,181.76	\$		\$	302,181.76

## BOROUGH OF MUNHALL ALLEGHENY COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND 2021 FORM MS-965 – SECTION 2 WITH ADJUSTMENTS

Fund Balance	Reported		ReportedAdjustments		Adjusted Amount	
1. Balance, January 1, 2021	\$	50.38	\$ -	\$	50.38	
Receipts: 2. State allocation 2a. Turnback allocation 2b. Interest on investments 2c. Miscellaneous	3	02,436.75 - 1.78 -	 - - - -		302,436.75 - 1.78 -	
3. Total receipts	3	302,438.53	 		302,438.53	
4. Total funds available	3	302,488.91	 _	. <u> </u>	302,488.91	
5. Expenditures (Section 1)	3	302,181.76	 		302,181.76	
6. Balance, December 31, 2021	\$	307.15	\$ 	\$	307.15	

## BOROUGH OF MUNHALL ALLEGHENY COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND 2021 FORM MS-965 – SECTION 3 WITH ADJUSTMENTS

Equipment Balance	Reported		Adjustments		Adjusted Amount	
1. Prior year equipment balance	\$	50.38	\$	-	\$	50.38
<ol> <li>Add: Current year equipment allocation (20% of Lines 2 + 2a, Section 2)</li> </ol>		60,487.35		-		60,487.35
3. PENNDOT approved adjustments						
4. Total funds available for equipment acquisition		60,537.73		-		60,537.73
5. Less: Major equipment expenditures						
6. Remainder		60,537.73				60,537.73
<ol> <li>Equipment balance available for subsequent year (Lesser of Line 6 or Section 2 balance, but not less than zero)</li> </ol>	\$	307.15	\$		\$	307.15

## BOROUGH OF MUNHALL ALLEGHENY COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND AUDITOR DESCRIPTION OF SELECT TRANSACTIONS FOR THE PERIOD JANUARY 1, 2020 TO DECEMBER 31, 2021

The following information relates to certain types of transactions for which the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation requested that we provide additional detail:

#### Adjustments

#### 2020 - Section 1

Adjustments were made to "Winter maintenance services," "Storm sewers and drains," "Maintenance and repair of roads and bridges," and "Miscellaneous" because expenditures of \$18,754.49 were misclassified.

## Finding No. 1 - Vendor Overcharge

Our examination disclosed that the municipality was overcharged a total of \$600 during 2020 for the purchase of vactor truck services as follows:

Product	Quantity	Contract	Invoice	Unit Price	Total Price
	Purchased	Price	Price	Difference	Difference
Vactor Truck Service	5 days	\$875.00/day	\$995.00/day	\$120.00/day	\$600.00

Good internal controls ensure that invoice prices are compared to contract prices before payments are made on vendor invoices. The failure to follow this procedure increases the possibility that overpayments can be made on vendor invoices and go undetected for long periods of time.

We could not determine the cause of this condition the borough manager was not employed by the municipality at the time of the expenditure.

#### Recommendations

We recommend that the municipality reimburse \$600.00 to its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund upon official notification of the Department of Transportation. We also recommend that the municipal officials contact the vendor as soon as possible to obtain reimbursement.

We further recommend that the municipality compare invoice prices to contract prices prior to making payments on vendor invoices

#### Management's Response

The borough manager stated:

The management representative responding to the findings was not an employee during the audit period of January 1, 2020 to December 31, 2021, and therefore cannot comment on the action or findings from the prior administration.

#### Auditor's Conclusion

During our next examination, we will determine if the municipality complied with our recommendations.

## Finding No. 2 - Nonpermissible Expenditures

We cited the municipality for nonpermissible expenditures in the prior examination period dated January 1, 2019 to December 31, 2019. Our examination disclosed that the borough expended \$17,761.39 during 2020 from the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund for the following nonpermissible items:

Description	Amount
Council of Government Dues	\$12,500.00
Office Cleaning Supplies	956.39
Borough Building Repairs	1,350.00
Fire Department Electrical Service	2,955.00
2020 Total	17,761.39

The Liquid Fuels Tax Municipal Allocation Law, 72 P.S. § 2615.4, provides, in part, that monies herein allocated may be used only for construction, reconstruction, maintenance, and repairs of such public roads or streets, including bridges, culverts and drainage structures, for which they are legally responsible. Also permitted are expenditures involving acquisition, maintenance, repairs and operation of street signs, traffic signs, traffic signal control systems, road equipment, and snow fences.

The Department of Transportation has been statutorily authorized to promulgate regulations concerning the administration of Liquid Fuels Tax Fund money and has determined that certain items, including dues, office cleaning supplies, borough building repairs, and fire department electrical services, are outside the scope of permissible expenditures.

We could not determine the cause of this condition because the borough manager was not employed by the municipality at the time of the expenditures.

The failure to follow the Liquid Fuels Tax Municipal Allocation Law and the Department of Transportation's Regulations as noted above could result in the municipality having to reimburse \$17,761.39 to its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund.

## Finding No. 2 - Nonpermissible Expenditures (Continued)

#### Recommendations

We recommend that the borough reimburse \$17,761.39 to its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund upon official notification by the Department of Transportation.

We further recommend that, in the future, the municipality complies with the Liquid Fuels Tax Municipal Allocation Law and the Department of Transportation's Regulations regarding permissible expenditures.

#### Management's Response

The borough manager stated:

The management representative responding to the findings was not an employee during the audit period of January 1, 2020 to December 31, 2021, and therefore cannot comment on the action or findings from the prior administration.

#### Auditor's Conclusion

During our next examination, we will determine if the municipality complied with our recommendations.

## <u>Finding No. 3 - Documentation For Price Quotations Was Not Available For Examination -</u> <u>Recurring</u>

We cited the municipality for documentation for price quotations not being available in our prior examination for the period January 1, 2019 to December 31, 2019. Our examination disclosed that the borough expended \$12,053.55 during 2020 from the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund for the purchase of crack sealer. The borough was required to obtain three written or telephonic price quotations for these expenditures. However, documentation for price quotations was not available for examination. The crack sealer purchases were as follows:

Invoice	Invoice	Check	Check	Amount
<u>Number</u>	<u>Date</u>	<u>Number</u>	<u>Date</u>	
38883	07/28/2020	16238	08/04/2020	\$ 4,967.30
39657	10/15/2020	16677	10/27/2020	7,086.25
2020 Total				\$12,053.55

The above expenditures were not made in compliance with the contract requirements of *The Borough Code*, 53 P.S. § 46402(a.1), (also found at § 1402(a.1) of *The Borough Code* as published by the Local Government Commission), which states, in part:

Written or telephonic price quotations from at least three qualified and responsible contractors shall be requested for all contracts [during 2012] that exceed ten thousand dollars (\$10,000.00) but are less than the amount [in excess of \$18,500.00] requiring advertisement and competitive bidding or, in lieu of price quotations, a memorandum shall be kept on file showing that fewer than three qualified contractors exist in the market area within which it is practicable to obtain quotations. A written record of telephonic price quotations shall be made and shall contractor's representative, the construction, reconstruction, repair, maintenance, or work which was the subject of the quotation and the price. Written price quotations, written records of telephonic price quotations and memoranda shall be retained for a period of three years.

The thresholds for obtaining price quotations increased to purchases between \$11,300.00 and \$21,000.00 for 2020, \$11,500.00 and \$21,300.00 for 2021, and \$11,800.00 and \$21,900.00 for 2022.

## <u>Finding No. 3 - Documentation For Price Quotations Was Not Available For Examination -</u> <u>Recurring (Continued)</u>

The failure to comply with *The Borough Code* could result in the borough having to reimburse \$12,053.55 to its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund.

We could not determine the cause of this condition the borough manager was not employed by the municipality at the time of the expenditures.

The cause of this finding was unknown due to the current manager not being an employee during the audit period.

## Recommendations

We recommend that the borough reimburse \$12,053.55 to its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund upon official notification by the Department of Transportation.

We further recommend that, in the future, the borough complies with *The Borough Code* as noted in this finding.

## Management's Response

The borough manager stated:

The management representative responding to the findings was not an employee during the audit period of January 1, 2020 to December 31, 2021, and therefore cannot comment on the action or findings from the prior administration.

## Auditor's Conclusion

This is a recurring finding. We strongly recommend the borough comply with our recommendations. During our next examination, we will determine if the municipality complied with our recommendations.

## BOROUGH OF MUNHALL ALLEGHENY COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND SUMMARY OF PRIOR EXAMINATION RECOMMENDATIONS FOR THE PERIOD JANUARY 1, 2020 TO DECEMBER 31, 2021

#### Summary Of Prior Examination Recommendations

In our prior report, we recommended that the Department of Transportation review our examination finding to determine if the municipality should reimburse \$18,888.91 to its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund for failure to maintain price quotations.

During our current examination, we reviewed a letter dated October 21, 2020, from the Department of Transportation directing the municipality to reimburse \$18,888.91 to its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund. We noted that the municipality reimbursed this amount to its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund on October 22, 2020.

In our prior report, we also recommended that the municipality comply with *The Borough Code* by obtaining price quotations for all purchases between \$11,100.00 and \$20,600.00. The thresholds for obtaining price quotations increased to purchases between \$11,300.00 and \$21,000.00 for 2020, \$11,500.00 and \$21,300.00 for 2021, and \$11,800.00 to \$21,900.00 for 2022.

During our current examination, we noted that the municipality did not comply with our recommendation (see Finding No. 3).

## BOROUGH OF MUNHALL ALLEGHENY COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND SUMMARY OF EXIT CONFERENCE FOR THE PERIOD JANUARY 1, 2020 TO DECEMBER 31, 2021

An exit conference was held November 2, 2022. Those participating were:

## BOROUGH OF MUNHALL

Mr. Seth Abrams, Borough Manager

## DEPARTMENT OF THE AUDITOR GENERAL

Mr. Nicholas Slagle, Auditor

The results of the examination were presented and discussed in their entirety.

This report was initially distributed to:

## The Honorable Yassmin Gramian, P.E.

Secretary Department of Transportation

#### **Borough of Munhall**

Allegheny County 1900 West Street Munhall, PA 15120

## The Honorable Richard Brennan

President of Council

#### Mr. Seth Abrams Borough Manager

This report is a matter of public record and is available online at <u>www.PaAuditor.gov</u>. Media questions about the report can be directed to the Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General, Office of Communications, 229 Finance Building, Harrisburg, PA 17120; via email to: <u>news@PaAuditor.gov</u>.