ATTESTATION ENGAGEMENT

Prothonotary

Schuylkill County, Pennsylvania For the Period January 1, 2012 to December 31, 2016

December 2018



Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General

Eugene A. DePasquale • Auditor General



Commonwealth of Pennsylvania
Department of the Auditor General
Harrisburg, PA 17120-0018
Facebook: Pennsylvania Auditor General
Twitter: @PAAuditorGen
www.PaAuditor.gov

EUGENE A. DEPASQUALE AUDITOR GENERAL

Independent Auditor's Report

The Honorable C. Daniel Hassell Secretary Pennsylvania Department of Revenue Harrisburg, PA 17128

We have examined the accompanying statement of receipts and disbursements (Statement) of the Prothonotary, Schuylkill County, Pennsylvania (County Officer), for the period January 1, 2012 to December 31, 2016, pursuant to the requirements of Sections 401(b) and 401(d) of *The Fiscal Code*, 72 P.S. § 401(b) and § 401(d). The County Office's management is responsible for presenting this Statement in accordance with the criteria set forth in Note 1. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on this Statement based on our examination.

Our examination was conducted in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants and the standards applicable to attestation engagements contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the examination to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the Statement is presented in accordance with the criteria described above, in all material respects. An examination involves performing procedures to obtain evidence about the statement of receipts and disbursements. The nature, timing and extent of the procedures selected depend on our judgement, including an assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the Statement, whether due to fraud or error. We believe that the evidence we obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

We are mandated by Sections 401(b) and 401(d) of *The Fiscal Code* to audit the accounts of each county officer to determine whether all moneys collected on behalf of the Commonwealth have been correctly assessed, reported and promptly remitted. *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States include attestation engagements as a separate type of audit. An attestation engagement performed pursuant to *Government Auditing Standards* involves additional standards that exceed the standards provided by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. Accordingly, this attestation engagement complies with both *Government Auditing Standards* and Sections 401(b) and 401(d) of *The Fiscal Code*.

<u>Independent Auditor's Report (Continued)</u>

In our opinion, the Statement referred to above, for the period January 1, 2012 to December 31, 2016, is presented in accordance with the criteria set forth in Note 1, in all material respects.

In accordance with Government Auditing Standards, we are required to report all deficiencies that are considered to be significant deficiencies or material weaknesses in internal control; fraud and noncompliance with provisions of laws or regulations that have a material effect on the Statement; and any other instances that warrant the attention of those charged with governance; noncompliance with provisions of contracts or grant agreements, and abuse that has a material effect on the Statement. We are also required to obtain and report the views of responsible officials concerning the findings, conclusions, and recommendations, as well as any planned corrective actions. We performed our examination to express an opinion on whether the Statement is presented in accordance with the criteria described above and not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on internal control over reporting on the Statement or on compliance and other matters; accordingly, we express no such opinions.

Our consideration of internal control over reporting on the Statement was for the limited purpose of expressing an opinion on whether the Statement is presented in accordance with the criteria described above and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over reporting on the Statement that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies and therefore, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies may exist that were not identified. However, as described below, we identified certain deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the Statement will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. We consider the deficiencies listed below to be material weaknesses:

- Bank Deposit Slips Were Not Validated.
- Inadequate Accountability Over Funds Held In Escrow.

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the Statement is free from material misstatement, we performed tests of the County Office's compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of Statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our engagement, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

<u>Independent Auditor's Report (Continued)</u>

The purpose of this report is to determine whether all moneys collected on behalf of the Commonwealth have been correctly assessed, reported and promptly remitted. This report is not suitable for any other purposes.

We appreciate the courtesy extended by the Prothonotary, Schuylkill County, to us during the course of our examination. If you have any questions, please feel free to contact Michael B. Kashishian, CPA, CGAP, CFE, Director, Bureau of County Audits, at 717-787-1363.

November 19, 2018

Eugene A. DePasquale Auditor General

Eugnat: O-Pasyer

CONTENTS

	Page
Financial Section:	
Statement Of Receipts And Disbursements	L
Notes To The Statement Of Receipts And Disbursements	2
Findings And Recommendations:	
Finding No. 1 - Bank Deposit Slips Were Not Validated	ŀ
Finding No. 2 - Inadequate Accountability Over Funds Held In Escrow	;
Report Distribution6	,

PROTHONOTARY SCHUYLKILL COUNTY STATEMENT OF RECEIPTS AND DISBURSEMENTS FOR THE PERIOD JANUARY 1, 2012 TO DECEMBER 31, 2016

Receipts:

Writ Taxes	\$ 7,538
Divorce Complaint Surcharges	18,850
Judicial Computer System/Access To Justice Fees	351,520
Protection From Abuse Surcharges and Contempt Fines	11,876
Criminal Charge Information System Fees	 7,238
Total Receipts (Note 2)	397,022
Commissions (Note 3)	(226)
Net Receipts	396,796
Disbursements to Commonwealth (Note 4)	 (396,796)
Balance due Commonwealth (County) per settled reports (Note 5)	-
Examination adjustments	
Adjusted balance due Commonwealth (County) for the period January 1, 2012 to December 31, 2016	\$ _

Notes to the Statement of Receipts and Disbursements are an integral part of this report.

PROTHONOTARY SCHUYLKILL COUNTY NOTES TO THE STATEMENT OF RECEIPTS AND DISBURSEMENTS FOR THE PERIOD JANUARY 1, 2012 TO DECEMBER 31, 2016

1. Criteria

The Statement of Receipts and Disbursements provides a summary of receipts and disbursements by category. The categories and the amounts of taxes, surcharges, fines, and fees assessed are based on Pennsylvania laws and regulations.

The Statement was prepared in accordance with reporting requirements prescribed by the Pennsylvania Department of Revenue. Under this method, only the Commonwealth portion of cash receipts and disbursements are presented, revenues are recognized when received, and expenditures are recognized when paid.

2. Receipts

Receipts consist of monies collected on behalf of the Department of Revenue and the Administrative Office of Pennsylvania Courts. These include monies collected for the following taxes, surcharges, fees, and fines:

- Writ Taxes represent a \$.50 or \$.25 tax imposed on taxable instruments filed with the Prothonotary.
- Divorce Complaint Surcharges represent a \$10 surcharge imposed on all divorce decrees.
- Judicial Computer System/Access To Justice Fees represent a \$23.50 fee imposed for the filing of any legal paper to initiate a civil action or proceeding. Effective July 10, 2014, Act 126 increased the fee to \$33.50. Effective August 8, 2014, Act 113 increased the fee to \$35.50.
- Protection From Abuse Surcharges represent a \$100 surcharge imposed against defendants when a protection order is granted as a result of a hearing. Protection From Abuse Contempt Fines represent fines of not less than \$300 nor more than \$1,000 imposed against a defendant who is found to be in violation of a protection from abuse order.
- Criminal Charge Information System Fees represent a fee imposed on all custody cases. Of the fee imposed, 80% is payable to the Administrative Office of Pennsylvania Courts (AOPC) and 20% is payable to the County in which the action took place. The fee was \$7.50 for the period January 1, 2011 to December 31, 2014. The fee was increased to \$8.00 for the period January 1, 2015 to December 31, 2016. The statement of receipts and disbursements only reflects the portion collected on behalf of the AOPC.

PROTHONOTARY SCHUYLKILL COUNTY NOTES TO THE STATEMENT OF RECEIPTS AND DISBURSEMENTS FOR THE PERIOD JANUARY 1, 2012 TO DECEMBER 31, 2016

3. <u>Commissions</u>

Acting in the capacity of an agent for the Commonwealth, the Prothonotary is authorized to collect a commission of 3 percent on the Commonwealth portion of Writ Taxes. Accordingly, commissions owed the county are not included in the balance due the Commonwealth.

4. Disbursements

Total disbursements are comprised as follows:

Prothonotary checks issued to:

Department of Revenue	\$ 389,558
Adminstrative Office of Pennsylvania Courts	 7,238
Total	\$ 396,796

5. <u>Balance Due Commonwealth (County) For The Period January 1, 2012 To December 31, 2016</u>

This balance reflects a summary of monthly transmittal reports as settled by the Department of Revenue. The balance also reflects a summary of receipts disbursed directly to the Administrative Office of Pennsylvania Courts.

6. <u>County Officer Serving During Examination Period</u>

David Dutcavich served as Prothonotary during the period January 1, 2012 to December 31, 2016.

PROTHONOTARY SCHUYLKILL COUNTY FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS FOR THE PERIOD JANUARY 1, 2012 TO DECEMBER 31, 2016

Finding No. 1 - Bank Deposit Slips Were Not Validated

Our examination of the office's accounting records disclosed that the office copy of the bank deposit slip was not validated by the bank in 40 of the 54 deposits tested. The office received a receipt from the bank, but this only confirmed the total amount deposited and not the actual makeup of the deposit (i.e. cash and check mix). The remaining 14 deposit slips were unavailable for review.

Good internal accounting controls require that the amount of each check and the total amount of cash deposited are identified on the deposit slip. The office copy of each deposit should be brought to the bank to be validated. If the bank cannot validate the deposit slip, the office should obtain a deposit ticket from the bank that validates total cash and the total deposit. After the office receives the validation from the bank, it should be reconciled to the receipts by someone other than the person preparing or making the deposit. Additionally, all returned deposit slips should be retained to ensure an adequate examination trail.

Without a good system of internal controls over funds received by the office, the possibility of funds being lost or misappropriated increases significantly.

The office was not aware of the potential internal control weaknesses caused by not having a validated deposit slip.

Recommendations

We recommend that the office obtain a validation from the bank as to the mix of cash and checks deposited. We further recommend that the validation is reconciled to receipts by someone other than the person preparing or making the deposit. Finally, we recommend that the office retain all validated deposit slips to ensure an adequate examination trail.

Management's Response

The County Officer responded as follows:

Findings noted. [I] will seek resolution of validation of deposit slips with bank.

Auditor's Conclusion

During our next examination, we will determine if the office complied with our recommendations.

PROTHONOTARY SCHUYLKILL COUNTY FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS FOR THE PERIOD JANUARY 1, 2012 TO DECEMBER 31, 2016

Finding No. 2 - Inadequate Accountability Over Funds Held In Escrow

Our examination disclosed that there was inadequate accountability over funds held in escrow. At December 31, 2016, recorded obligations exceeded cash on hand in the checking account by \$26,811.43.

Good internal accounting control procedures ensure that the ending adjusted bank balance is reconciled with liabilities on a monthly basis and any discrepancies are immediately investigated and resolved. Since the office bank account is essentially an escrow account on behalf of the Commonwealth, County, and other participating entities, all available funds on hand should equal unpaid obligations.

Without a good system of internal controls over funds held in escrow, the possibility of funds being lost or misappropriated increases significantly.

This condition existed because the office failed to establish and implement an adequate system of internal controls over funds held in escrow.

Recommendations

We recommend that the office attempt to identify the existing shortage associated with the office bank account and take appropriate action. Furthermore, we recommend that the office should ensure that reconciled cash equals unpaid obligations monthly.

Management's Response

The County Officer responded as follows:

Findings noted. [I] will seek assistance of external auditor/accountant to correct deficiencies.

Auditor's Conclusion

During our next examination, we will determine if the office complied with our recommendations.

PROTHONOTARY SCHUYLKILL COUNTY REPORT DISTRIBUTION FOR THE PERIOD JANUARY 1, 2012 TO DECEMBER 31, 2016

This report was initially distributed to:

The Honorable Eileen H. McNulty

Secretary Pennsylvania Department of Revenue

The Honorable Thomas B. Darr

Court Administrator of Pennsylvania Supreme Court of Pennsylvania Administrative Office of Pennsylvania Courts

The Honorable David Dutcavich
Prothonotary

The Honorable Christy Joy Controller

The Honorable George F. Halcovage, Jr. Chairman of the Board of Commissioners

This report is a matter of public record and is available online at www.PaAuditor.gov. Media questions about the report can be directed to the Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General, Office of Communications, 229 Finance Building, Harrisburg, PA 17120; via email to: news@PaAuditor.gov.