ATTESTATION ENGAGEMENT

City of Pittston

Luzerne County, Pennsylvania 40-303

Liquid Fuels Tax Fund
For the Period
January 1, 2017 to December 31, 2017

March 2019



Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General

Eugene A. DePasquale • Auditor General



Commonwealth of Pennsylvania
Department of the Auditor General
Harrisburg, PA 17120-0018
Facebook: Pennsylvania Auditor General
Twitter: @PAAuditorGen
www.PaAuditor.gov

EUGENE A. DEPASQUALE AUDITOR GENERAL

Independent Auditor's Report

The Honorable Leslie Richards Secretary Department of Transportation Harrisburg, PA 17120

We examined the accompanying Form MS-965 With Adjustments for the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund of the City of Pittston, Luzerne County, for the period January 1, 2017 to December 31, 2017. The municipality's management is responsible for presenting the Form MS-965 in accordance with the criteria set forth in Note 1. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Form MS-965 With Adjustments based on our examination.

Our examination was conducted in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants and the standards applicable to attestation engagements contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the examination to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the Form MS-965 is presented in accordance with the criteria described above, in all material respects. An examination involves performing procedures to obtain evidence about the Form MS-965. The nature, timing and extent of the procedures selected depend on our judgement, including an assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the Form MS-965, whether due to fraud or error. We believe that the evidence we obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

We are mandated by Section 403 of *The Fiscal Code*, 72 P.S. § 403, to audit each municipality's Liquid Fuels Tax Fund to ensure that funds received are expended in accordance with applicable laws and regulations. *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States include attestation engagements as a separate type of audit. An attestation engagement performed pursuant to *Government Auditing Standards* involves additional standards that exceed the standards provided by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. Accordingly, this attestation engagement complies with both *Government Auditing Standards* and Section 403 of *The Fiscal Code*.

<u>Independent Auditor's Report (Continued)</u>

As discussed in the Finding No. 1, the city expended \$20,768.00 during 2017 from the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund for repairs to storm water drains without advertising for bids. Additionally, as discussed in the Summary Of Prior Examination Recommendations section of this report, in our prior report the city expended \$20,888.00 during 2016 from the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund for repairs to storm water drains without advertising for bids. We noted that the municipality reimbursed \$20,888.00 to its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund on December 13, 2018, which was subsequent to our examination period.

In our opinion, except for the matters discussed in the preceding paragraph, the Form MS-965 With Adjustments presents, in all material respects, the information required by the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation for the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund of the City of Pittston, Luzerne County, for the period January 1, 2017 to December 31, 2017, in accordance with the criteria set forth in Note 1.

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we are required to report all deficiencies that are considered to be significant deficiencies or material weaknesses in internal control; fraud and noncompliance with provisions of laws or regulations that have a material effect on the Form MS-965; and any other instances that warrant the attention of those charged with governance; noncompliance with provisions of contracts or grant agreements, and abuse that has a material effect on the Form MS-965. We are also required to obtain and report the views of responsible officials concerning the findings, conclusions, and recommendations, as well as any planned corrective actions. We performed our examination to express an opinion on whether the Form MS-965 is presented in accordance with the criteria described above and not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on internal control over reporting on the Form MS-965 or on compliance and other matters; accordingly, we express no such opinions.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the Form MS-965 will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over reporting on the Form MS-965 was for the limited purpose of expressing an opinion on whether the Form MS-965 is presented in accordance with the criteria described above and would not necessarily identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our engagement we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

Independent Auditor's Report (Continued)

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the Form MS-965 is free from material misstatement, we performed tests of the City of Pittston, Luzerne County's compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of amounts on the Form MS-965. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our engagement, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed the following instance of noncompliance that is required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*:

• Noncompliance With Advertising And Bidding Requirements - Recurring.

We also noted a matter that, while not required to be included in this report by *Government Auditing Standards*, has been included in the finding below:

• Late Receipt Of Allocation.

The examination finding for noncompliance with advertising and bidding requirements contained in this report cites a condition that existed in the operation of the municipality during the previous engagement period and was not corrected during the current examination period. The municipality should strive to comply with the recommendations noted in this report.

The purpose of this report is to determine whether the municipality's Liquid Fuels Tax Fund money is spent in accordance with the laws and regulations identified in the Background section of this report and the Department of Transportation's *Publication 9*. This report is not suitable for any other purpose.

We appreciate the courtesy extended by the City of Pittston, Luzerne County, to us during the course of our examination. If you have any questions, please feel free to contact Michael B. Kashishian, CPA, CGAP, CFE, Director, Bureau of County Audits, at 717-787-1363.

March 12, 2019

Eugene A. DePasquale Auditor General

Eugnet: O-Pagur

CONTENTS

| | <u>Page</u> |
|---|-------------|
| Background | 1 |
| Financial Section: | |
| 2017 Form MS-965 With Adjustments | 3 |
| Notes To Form MS-965 With Adjustments | 6 |
| Findings And Recommendations: | |
| Finding No. 1 - Noncompliance With Advertising And Bidding Requirements - Recurring | 12 |
| Finding No. 2 - Late Receipt Of Allocation | 14 |
| Summary Of Prior Examination Recommendations | 16 |
| Summary Of Exit Conference | 17 |
| Report Distribution | 18 |

CITY OF PITTSTON LUZERNE COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND BACKGROUND FOR THE PERIOD JANUARY 1, 2017 TO DECEMBER 31, 2017

Background

The Liquid Fuels Tax Municipal Allocation Law, Act 655 of 1956, as amended, (72 P.S. § 2615.5 et sec.), provides municipalities other than counties (townships, boroughs, cities, towns, home rule, and optional plan governments) with an annual allocation of liquid fuels taxes from the state's Motor License Fund to be used for the maintenance and repair of streets, roads, and bridges for which the municipality is responsible. The allocation of these funds to municipalities is based: (1) 50 percent on the municipality's proportion of local road mileage to the total local road mileage in the state, and (2) 50 percent on the proportion of a municipality's population to the total population in the state.

The Vehicle Code, Title 75 P.S. § 9511, provides municipalities with annual maintenance payments to be received from the Motor License Fund for functionally local highways that were transferred to a municipality from the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.

Each municipality must deposit the allocation of Liquid Fuels Tax funds and annual maintenance payments that it receives into a special fund called either the Municipal Liquid Fuels Tax Fund or State Fund. A municipality may not deposit any other monies into this fund except when the municipality does not have enough money in the special fund to meet the payments called for by its current annual budget for road and bridge purposes. In such a case, the municipality may borrow money or transfer money from its General Fund to its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund.

The Department of Transportation has been given the regulatory authority for the administration of these funds. Department of Transportation's *Publication 9* includes the policies and procedures for the administration of Act 655, as amended, and the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund money. However, if there is a difference between *Publication 9* and any legislation, the legislation shall govern.

To qualify for the annual allocation of Liquid Fuels Tax funds, *Publication 9* indicates that each municipality shall:

- 1. Submit annual reports (MS-965, Actual Use Report, MS-965P, Project and Miscellaneous Receipts, and MS-965S, Record of Checks).
- 2. Make deposits and payments or expenditures in compliance with Act 655 of 1956, as amended. Failure to do so may result in not receiving allocations from PennDOT until all discrepancies are resolved. *Publication 9*, Section 2.6, includes information about investing Liquid Fuels Tax monies, using loan or bond proceeds, and types of receipts into the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund.

CITY OF PITTSTON LUZERNE COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND BACKGROUND FOR THE PERIOD JANUARY 1, 2017 TO DECEMBER 31, 2017

Background (Continued)

- 3. Submit the Pennsylvania Department of Community and Economic Development's (DCED) Report of Elected and Appointed Officials by January 31st and the Survey of Financial Condition By March 15th.
- 4. Ensure resolution of all Contractor Responsibility Program (CRP) holds and blocks imposed by the Department of Revenue and the Department of Labor and Industry.
- 5. Ensure resolution of all reimbursements required as a result of audits performed by the Department of the Auditor General or monitoring reviews performed by the Department of Transportation's Financial Consultants.

CITY OF PITTSTON LUZERNE COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND 2017 FORM MS-965 – SECTION 1 WITH ADJUSTMENTS

| Expenditure Summary | Reported | | Adjustments | | Adjusted Amount | |
|------------------------------------|----------|------------|-------------|---|-----------------|------------|
| Major equipment purchases | \$ | 30,571.37 | \$ | - | \$ | 30,571.37 |
| Minor equipment purchases | | - | | - | | - |
| Computer/Computer related training | | - | | - | | - |
| Agility projects | | - | | - | | - |
| Cleaning streets and gutters | | 3,837.17 | | - | | 3,837.17 |
| Winter maintenance services | | 36,816.12 | | - | | 36,816.12 |
| Traffic control devices | | 19,971.06 | | _ | | 19,971.06 |
| Street lighting | | 23,695.97 | | - | | 23,695.97 |
| Storm sewers and drains | | 25,092.00 | | _ | | 25,092.00 |
| Repairs of tools and machinery | | 8,891.55 | | - | | 8,891.55 |
| Maintenance and repair of | | | | | | |
| roads and bridges | | 17,981.60 | | - | | 17,981.60 |
| Highway construction and | | | | | | |
| rebuilding projects | | - | | _ | | - |
| Miscellaneous | | | | | | - |
| Total (To Section 2, Line 5) | \$ | 166,856.84 | \$ | | \$ | 166,856.84 |

Notes to Form MS-965 With Adjustments are an integral part of this report.

CITY OF PITTSTON LUZERNE COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND 2017 FORM MS-965 – SECTION 2 WITH ADJUSTMENTS

| Fund Balance | Reported | | Adjustments | | Adjusted Amount | |
|--------------------------------------|----------|------------|-------------|---|-----------------|------------|
| 1. Balance, January 1, 2017 | \$ | 169,655.73 | \$ | - | \$ | 169,655.73 |
| Receipts: | | | | | | |
| 2. State allocation | | 224,432.30 | | - | | 224,432.30 |
| 2a. Turnback allocation | | - | | - | | - |
| 2b. Interest on investments (Note 3) | | 1,155.24 | | - | | 1,155.24 |
| 2c. Miscellaneous (Note 4) | | 45.00 | | | | 45.00 |
| 3. Total receipts | | 225,632.54 | | | | 225,632.54 |
| 4. Total funds available | | 395,288.27 | | | | 395,288.27 |
| 5. Expenditures (Section 1) | | 166,856.84 | | | | 166,856.84 |
| 6. Balance, December 31, 2017 | \$ | 228,431.43 | \$ | | \$ | 228,431.43 |

Notes to Form MS-965 With Adjustments are an integral part of this report.

CITY OF PITTSTON LUZERNE COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND 2017 FORM MS-965 – SECTION 3 WITH ADJUSTMENTS

| Equipment Balance | Reported | Adjustments | Adjusted Amount | |
|--|---------------|--------------|-----------------|--|
| 1. Prior year equipment balance | \$ 169,655.73 | \$ - | \$ 169,655.73 | |
| 2. Add: Current year equipment allocation (20% of Lines 2 + 2a, Section 2) | 44,886.46 | - | 44,886.46 | |
| 3. PENNDOT approved adjustments | | | | |
| 4. Total funds available for equipment acquisition | 214,542.19 | - | 214,542.19 | |
| 5. Less: Major equipment expenditures | 30,571.37 | - | 30,571.37 | |
| 6. Remainder | 183,970.82 | | 183,970.82 | |
| 7. Equipment balance available for subsequent year (Lesser of Line 6 or Section 2 balance, but not less than zero) | \$ 183,970.82 | \$ - | \$ 183,970.82 | |

1. Criteria

Section 1

This section of Form MS-965 With Adjustments provides a summary of Liquid Fuels Tax Fund expenditures by category. Categories requiring explanation include:

• Major equipment purchases are purchases of road machinery and road equipment with varying yearly costs in excess of the amounts indicated below:

| 2013 | 2014 | 2015/2016 | 2017 | |
|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|--|
| | | | | |
| \$10,200.00 | \$10,300.00 | \$10,500.00 | \$10,700.00 | |

• Minor equipment purchases are purchases of road machinery and road equipment with varying yearly costs that are less than or equal to the amounts indicated below:

| 2013 | 2014 | 2015/2016 | 2017 | |
|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|--|
| \$10,200,00 | \$10,200,00 | \$10,500,00 | ¢10.700.00 | |
| \$10,200.00 | \$10,300.00 | \$10,500.00 | \$10,700.00 | |

• Agility projects are exchanges of services with the Department of Transportation.

Section 2

This section of Form MS-965 With Adjustments provides information on the fund balance. Categories requiring explanation include:

- The state allocation is available from the Department of Transportation in March of each year. The amount the municipality receives is based half on its population and half on its road mileage.
- Municipalities that transferred roads from the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania to the municipality through the Highway Transfer Program receive annual turnback allocations in March of each year from the Department of Transportation. Turnback allocations are based on the mileage of the roads transferred.

1. <u>Criteria (Continued)</u>

Section 2 (Continued)

• Expenditures include the total transferred from Section 1.

Section 3

This section of Form MS-965 With Adjustments determines if the municipality expended Liquid Fuels Tax Fund money in excess of the permissible amount for equipment and the balance that the municipality may carry forward for the purchase of equipment to the subsequent year.

Department of Transportation *Publication 9* requires that the amount expended for equipment purchases in a given year not exceed the sum of the equipment balance carried forward from the previous year and 20 percent of the current year's Liquid Fuels Tax Fund allocation and, if applicable, 20 percent of the turnback allocation plus other Department of Transportation approved adjustments.

If the municipality spent in excess of the amount listed on Line 4, the excess must be reimbursed to the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund.

The equipment balance to be carried forward for the subsequent year is the lesser of the amount on Line 6 or the ending fund balance on Line 6 of Section 2, but not less than zero.

Basis Of Presentation

The financial activities of the municipality are accounted for in separate funds. The Liquid Fuels Tax Fund is used to account for state aid revenues from the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation used primarily for building and improving local roads and bridges. The Form MS-965 has been prepared in accordance with reporting requirements prescribed by the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation as a result of the Fuels Tax Act 655, dated 1956 and as amended, which does not constitute a complete presentation of the entity's assets, liabilities, expenses, and fund balance. Accordingly, the presentation of Form MS-965 With Adjustments is restricted to the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund, which represents a segment of the entity.

1. <u>Criteria (Continued)</u>

Basis Of Accounting

The accompanying Form MS-965 With Adjustments is prepared in accordance with reporting requirements prescribed by the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation. Under this method, revenues are recognized when received and expenditures are recorded when paid.

General Fixed Assets

General fixed assets are recognized as expenditures at the time of purchase. No depreciation has been provided on the heavy equipment used to maintain and repair roads and bridges.

2. Deposits

The Third Class City Code, Title 53 P.S. § 36804.1, authorizes the city to deposit its funds in the following:

- Deposits in savings accounts or time deposits, other than certificates of deposit or share accounts, of institutions having their principal place of business in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) or other like insurance. For any amount above the insured maximum, the depository shall pledge approved collateral.
- Certificates of deposit purchased from institutions insured by the FDIC or other like insurance to the extent that such accounts are so insured. For any amounts in excess of the insured maximum, such deposits shall be collateralized by a pledge or assignment of assets. Certificates of deposit may not exceed 20 percent of a bank's total capital surplus or 20 percent of a savings and loan or savings bank's assets minus liabilities.

Deposits consist of receipts and deposits in a financial institution. Pennsylvania statutes require all deposits to be insured and, for any amount above the insured maximum, to be secured with approved collateral as defined.

2. <u>Deposits (Continued)</u>

There were no deposits exposed to custodial credit risk as of December 31, 2017. Custodial credit risk, as defined by GASB No. 40, as amended, includes deposits that are not covered by depository insurance and the deposits are uncollateralized, collateralized with securities held by the pledging financial institution, or collateralized with securities held by the pledging financial institution's trust department or agent but not in the municipality's name.

Fund Balance

The fund balance as of December 31, 2017, consists of the following:

Cash \$228,431.43

3. Interest On Investments

Our examination disclosed that the municipality deposited idle liquid fuels tax money in an interest-bearing account which earned \$1,155.24 during 2017, thus providing additional funds for road maintenance and repairs.

4. Overpayment Of Invoice

On December 27, 2016, the municipality expended \$90.00 from its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund to pay a vendor for the purchase of street signs. However, the invoice was only for \$45.00. On January 4, 2017, the municipality deposited \$45.00 into its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund that was received from the vendor to correct the overpayment of the invoice.

5. <u>Lease-Purchase Agreement</u>

On February 19, 2015, the municipality entered into a lease-purchase agreement with Susquehanna Commercial Finance, Inc. to purchase a Stewart Amos street sweeper for \$185,444.99. The agreement was for a term of five years. Principal and interest payments of \$39,534.72 are due annually. Prior years' principal and interest payments from the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund were \$19,534.72. Additionally, the municipality paid principal of \$37,340.18 and interest of \$2,427.18 from the General Fund, as well as principal of \$17,373.57 and interest of \$2,393.79 from the Sewer Fund.

During the current examination period the municipality paid principal of \$19,717.36 from the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund. This amount is reflected in major equipment purchases on the 2017 Form MS-965 - Section 1. Additionally, the municipality paid principal of \$16,169.37 and interest of \$3,647.99 from the General Fund. The outstanding balance of the lease-purchase agreement as of December 31, 2017 was \$75,309.79, plus interest.

6. <u>Lease-Purchase Agreement</u>

On November 15, 2015, the municipality entered into a lease-purchase agreement with F.N.B. to purchase a 2016 Ford F-250 XL Truck with a stainless steel spreader and snow plow for \$39,006.83. The agreement was for a term of five years. The total amount to be repaid, including principal and interest, is \$42,329.00. Principal and interest payments of \$2,116.45 are due quarterly. The total amount to be repaid, including principal and interest, is \$42,329.00. Prior years' principal and interest payments from the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund were \$6,349.35. Additionally, the municipality paid principal and interest of \$4,232.90 from the General Fund.

During the current examination period the municipality paid principal and interest of \$8,465.80 and documentation fees of \$423.30 from the General Fund. The outstanding balance of the lease-purchase agreement as of December 31, 2017 was \$23,280.95, plus interest.

7. <u>Lease-Purchase Agreement</u>

On October 1, 2017, the municipality entered into a lease-purchase agreement with Altec Capital Leasing to purchase a Dodge 5500 truck for \$64,320.00. The agreement was for a term of 5 years. Principal and interest payments of \$7,011.17 are due semi-annually.

During the current examination period the municipality paid principal of \$7,011.17 and a documentation fee of \$400.00 from the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund. These amounts are reflected in major equipment purchases on the 2017 Form MS-965 - Section 1. The outstanding balance of the lease-purchase agreement as of December 31, 2017 was \$57,308.83, plus interest.

8. Lease-Purchase Agreement

On October 15, 2017, the municipality entered into a lease-purchase agreement with Community First National Bank to purchase a Ford F-350 Truck with a plow for \$50,719.20. The agreement was for a term of 4 years at an interest rate of 3.5 percent. Principal and interest payments of \$3,442.84 are due quarterly.

During the current examination period the municipality paid principal of \$2,798.87 and interest of \$643.97 from the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund. These amounts are reflected in major equipment purchases on the 2017 Form MS-965 - Section 1. The outstanding balance of the lease-purchase agreement as of December 31, 2017 was \$47,920.33, plus interest.

Finding No. 1 - Noncompliance With Advertising And Bidding Requirements - Recurring

We cited the municipality for noncompliance with advertising and bidding requirements in our prior report for the period January 1, 2016 to December 31, 2016. Our current examination disclosed that the city expended \$20,768.00 during 2017 from the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund for repairs to storm water drains without advertising for bids. These expenditures were as follows:

| Invoice <u>Number</u> | Invoice <u>Date</u> | Check <u>Number</u> | Check <u>Date</u> | Amount |
|--------------------------|---------------------|------------------------|----------------------|-------------|
| 16-3037 | 03/10/17 | 280 | 03/28/17 | \$ 2,569.00 |
| 17-7048 | 07/13/17 | 306 | 07/27/17 | 2,963.00 |
| 17-8060 | 08/15/17 | 319 | 09/05/17 | 3,915.00 |
| 17-10007 | 10/02/17 | 334 | 11/07/17 | 6,365.00 |
| 17-10008 | 10/02/17 | 328 | 10/17/17 | 4,956.00 |
| Total | | | | \$20,768.00 |

The above expenditures were not made in compliance with the advertising, bidding, and contract requirements of *The Third Class City Code*, 53 P.S. § 36901(b), (also found at § 1901 of *The Third Class City Code* as published by the Local Government Commission), which requires that purchases over \$18,500.00 during 2012 must be advertised, bid, and awarded by contract. The bidding threshold increased to purchases over \$19,700 for 2017, \$20,100.00 for 2018, and \$20,600.00 for 2019. *The Third Class City Code*, 53 P.S. § 36902, and § 1902 of *The Third Class City Code* as published by the Local Government Commission further states that advertising, bidding and contract requirements should not be evaded through piecemeal purchases.

The failure to follow *The Third Class City Code* could result in the city having to reimburse \$20,768.00 to its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund.

Recommendations

We recommend that the city reimburse \$20,768.00 to its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund upon official notification by the Department of Transportation.

We again recommend that, in the future, the city complies with *The Third Class City Code* as noted in this finding.

<u>Finding No. 1 - Noncompliance With Advertising And Bidding Requirements - Recurring (Continued)</u>

Management's Response

The city officials stated:

Internal controls to prevent recurrence were instituted in January 2018 following review of 2016 Liquid Fuels audit. This new protocol eliminated the recurrence of this matter from January 2018.

Auditor's Conclusion

During our next examination period we will determine if the municipality complied with our recommendations.

Finding No. 2 - Late Receipt Of Allocation

Our examination disclosed that the 2017 Liquid Fuels Tax Fund allocation of \$224,432.30, which should have been distributed from the Department of Transportation to the municipality during the first week of March of that year, was not received until May 2, 2017, because the municipality failed to comply with the Department of Transportation's *Publication 9*, Chapter Two, Section 2.4, which states:

To qualify for the annual liquid fuels tax allocation, a municipality shall:

- Submit annual reports (MS-965, Actual Use Report, MS-965P, Project and Miscellaneous Receipts, and MS-965S, Record of Checks).
- Make deposits and payments or expenditures in compliance with the Act 655. Failure to do so may result in not receiving allocations from PENNDOT until all discrepancies are resolved.
- Submit the Pennsylvania Department of Community and Economic Development's (DCED) Report of Elected and Appointed Officials by January 31st and the Survey of Financial Condition by March 15th.
- Ensure resolution of all Contractor Responsibility Program (CRP) holds and blocks imposed by the Department of Revenue and the Department of Labor and Industry.
- Ensure resolution of all reimbursements required as a result of audits performed by the Department of the Auditor General or monitoring reviews performed by the Department of Transportation's Financial Consultants.

Because the municipality failed to file documents and information timely as noted above, the municipality did not have use of the 2017 allocation for two months. Furthermore, had the allocation been received timely, money may have been available for investment purposes, potentially earning interest income which could have been used for road maintenance and repairs.

Recommendation

We recommend that, in the future, the municipality complies with the Department of Transportation's *Publication 9* to ensure that the allocations are received during the first week in March as outlined above.

Finding No. 2 - Late Receipt Of Allocation (Continued)

Management's Response

The municipal officials stated:

The City Administrator was on medical leave during the first part of the quarter of 2017. All required reports were submitted upon his return. A new internal protocol has been inserted so that in the future, if an individual is on medical leave, all required reporting will be undertaken on a timely basis by another designated administrative official.

Auditor's Conclusion

During our next examination we will determine if the municipality complied with our recommendation.

CITY OF PITTSTON LUZERNE COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND SUMMARY OF PRIOR EXAMINATION RECOMMENDATIONS FOR THE PERIOD JANUARY 1, 2017 TO DECEMBER 31, 2017

Summary Of Prior Examination Recommendations

In our prior report we recommended that the Department of Transportation review our examination finding to determine if the municipality should reimburse \$20,888.00 to its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund for failure to advertise and bid repairs to storm water drains.

During our current examination we reviewed a letter dated May 14, 2018, from the Department of Transportation informing the municipality to reimburse \$20,888.00 to its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund. We noted that the municipality reimbursed this amount to its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund on December 13, 2018, which was subsequent to our examination period.

In our prior report we also recommended that the municipality comply with *The Third Class City Code* by advertising for bids for all purchases over \$19,400.00. The threshold for advertising for bids increased to purchases over \$19,700.00 for 2017, \$20,100.00 for 2018, and \$20,600.00 for 2019.

During our current examination we noted that the municipality did not comply with our recommendation (see Finding No. 1).

CITY OF PITTSTON LUZERNE COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND SUMMARY OF EXIT CONFERENCE FOR THE PERIOD JANUARY 1, 2017 TO DECEMBER 31, 2017

An exit conference was held December 20, 2018. Those participating were:

CITY OF PITTSTON

Mr. Dave Hines, Operations Coordinator

Mr. Joseph Moskovitz, City Administrator

DEPARTMENT OF THE AUDITOR GENERAL

Mr. Robert A. Bauder, Auditor

The results of the examination were presented and discussed in their entirety.

CITY OF PITTSTON LUZERNE COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND REPORT DISTRIBUTION FOR THE PERIOD JANUARY 1, 2017 TO DECEMBER 31, 2017

This report was initially distributed to:

The Honorable Leslie Richards

Secretary
Department of Transportation

City of Pittston Luzerne County 35 Broad Street Pittston, PA 18640

The Honorable Michael A. Lombardo Mayor

Mr. Dave HinesOperations Coordinator

Mr. Joseph MoskovitzCity Administrator

This report is a matter of public record and is available online at www.PaAuditor.gov. Media questions about the report can be directed to the Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General, Office of Communications, 229 Finance Building, Harrisburg, PA 17120; via email to: news@PaAuditor.gov.