# LIMITED PROCEDURES ENGAGEMENT

# West Pikeland Township Police Pension Plan

Chester County, Pennsylvania
For the Period
January 1, 2014 to December 31, 2017

November 2018



Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General

Eugene A. DePasquale • Auditor General





Commonwealth of Pennsylvania
Department of the Auditor General
Harrisburg, PA 17120-0018
Facebook: Pennsylvania Auditor General
Twitter: @PAAuditorGen
www.PaAuditor.gov

EUGENE A. DEPASQUALE AUDITOR GENERAL

Board of Township Supervisors West Pikeland Township Chester County Chester Springs, PA 19425

We conducted a Limited Procedures Engagement (LPE) of the West Pikeland Township Police Pension Plan for the period January 1, 2014 to December 31, 2017 to determine its compliance with applicable state laws, regulations, contracts, administrative procedures, and local ordinances and policies. We also evaluated compliance with some requirements subsequent to that period when possible. The LPE was conducted pursuant to authority derived from Section 402(j) of the Municipal Pension Plan Funding Standard and Recovery Act (P.L. 1005, No. 205, as amended, 53 P.S. § 895.101 et seq.) but was not conducted in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. The act established mandatory actuarial reporting and funding requirements and a uniform basis for the distribution of state aid to Pennsylvania's public pension plans. We believe that the evidence obtained provides a reasonable basis to support our LPE results.

#### Our LPE was limited to determining the following:

- · Whether state aid was properly determined and deposited in accordance with Act 205 requirements by verifying the annual deposit date of state aid and determining whether deposits were made within 30 days of receipt for all years within the engagement period.
- Whether annual employer contributions were calculated and deposited in accordance with the plan's governing document and applicable laws and regulations by examining the municipality's calculation of the plan's annual financial requirements and minimum municipal obligation (MMO) and comparing these calculated amounts to amounts actually budgeted and deposited into the pension plan as evidenced by supporting documentation.
- Whether annual employee contributions were required during the engagement period and, if so, were calculated, deducted, and deposited into the pension plan in accordance with the plan's governing document and applicable laws and regulations by testing total members' contributions on an annual basis using the rates obtained from the plan's governing document in effect for all years within the engagement period and examining documents evidencing the deposit of these employee contributions into the pension plan.

- Whether retirement benefits calculated for plan members who retired during the engagement period represent payments to all (and only) those entitled to receive them and were properly determined and disbursed in accordance with the plan's governing document, applicable laws and regulations by recalculating the amount of the monthly pension benefit due to retired individuals and comparing these amounts to supporting documentation evidencing amounts determined and actually paid to recipients.
- Whether the January 1, 2013, January 1, 2015 and January 1, 2017 actuarial valuation reports were prepared and submitted by March 31, 2014, 2016 and 2018, respectively, in accordance with Act 205 and whether selected information provided on these reports is accurate, complete, and in accordance with plan provisions to ensure compliance for participation in the state aid program by comparing selected information to supporting source documentation.

Based on the results of our procedures performed during our LPE, nothing came to our attention indicating that the West Pikeland Township Police Pension Plan was not being administered in compliance with applicable state laws, regulations, contracts, administrative procedures, and local ordinances and policies.

Our determination to perform a LPE for this engagement period does not preclude the Department from conducting an audit in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* of the pension plan in subsequent periods. The township should continue to maintain documentation related to this pension plan.

The accompanying supplementary information is presented for purposes of additional analysis. We did not audit the information or conclude on it and, accordingly, express no form of assurance on it.

The contents of this report were discussed with officials of West Pikeland Township and, where appropriate, their responses have been included in this report.

October 25, 2018

EUGENE A. DEPASQUALE

Eugnat: O-Pagur

Auditor General

#### CONTENTS

<u>Pa</u>	ge
Supplementary Information	. 1
Report Distribution List	. 7

The supplementary information contained on Pages 1 and 2 reflects the implementation of GASB Statement No. 67, *Financial Reporting for Pension Plans*. The objective of this statement is to improve financial reporting by state and local governmental pension plans.

#### SCHEDULE OF CHANGES IN THE NET PENSION LIABILITY AND RELATED RATIOS FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014, 2015, AND 2016

	<u>2014</u>	<u>2015</u>	<u>2016</u>
Total Pension Liability			
Service cost	\$ 68,706	\$ 65,309	\$ 52,773
Interest	56,013	60,425	63,258
Difference between expected and actual experience	(41,103)	-	(103,108)
Changes of assumptions		(61,685)	40,478
Net Change in Total Pension Liability	83,616	64,049	53,401
Total Pension Liability – Beginning	949,712	1,033,328	1,097,377
Total Pension Liability - Ending (a)	\$ 1,033,328	\$ 1,097,377	\$ 1,150,778
Plan Fiduciary Net Position			
Contributions – employer	\$ 75,000	\$ 76,299	\$ 75,216
Contributions – PMRS assessment	- -	80	100
Contributions – member	17,596	17,235	17,411
PMRS investment income	39,845	47,380	54,724
Market value investment income	(4,114)	(57,424)	14,620
PMRS administrative expense	(80)	(80)	(80)
Additional administrative expense	(1,528)	(1,975)	(2,681)
Net Change in Plan Fiduciary Net Position	126,719	81,515	159,310
Plan Fiduciary Net Position – Beginning	696,508	823,227	904,742
Plan Fiduciary Net Position - Ending (b)	\$ 823,227	\$ 904,742	\$ 1,064,052
Net Pension Liability - Ending (a-b)	\$ 210,101	\$ 192,635	\$ 86,726
Plan Fiduciary Net Position as a Percentage of the Total			
Pension Liability	79.67%	82.45%	92.46%
Estimated Covered Employee Payroll	\$ 364,979	\$ 344,640	\$ 348,210
Net Pension Liability as a Percentage of Covered Employee Payroll	57.57%	55.89%	24.91%

#### Sensitivity of the Net Pension Liability to Changes in the Discount Rate

The following presents the net pension liability of the township as of December 31, 2014 and 2015, calculated using the discount rate of 5.5%, as well as what the township's net pension liability would be if it were calculated using a discount rate that is 1 percentage-point lower or 1 percentage-point higher than the current rate:

	1%	Decrease (4.5%)	Disc	Current count Rate (5.5%)	Increase (6.5%)
Net Pension Liability - 12/31/14	\$	371,668	\$	210,101	\$ 75,958
Net Pension Liability - 12/31/15	\$	367,234	\$	192,635	\$ 48,014

The following presents the net pension liability of the township as of December 31, 2016, calculated using the discount rate of 5.25%, as well as what the township's net pension liability would be if it were calculated using a discount rate that is 1 percentage-point lower or 1 percentage-point higher than the current rate:

	Current					
	1% Decrease (4.25%)		Discount Rate (5.25%)		1% Increase (6.25%)	
Net Pension Liability- 12/31/16	\$	269,906	\$	86,726	\$	(64,290)

#### SCHEDULE OF FUNDING PROGRESS

Historical trend information about the plan is presented herewith as supplementary information. It is intended to help users assess the plan's funding status on a going-concern basis, assess progress made in accumulating assets to pay benefits when due, and make comparisons with other state and local government retirement systems.

The actuarial information is required by Act 205 biennially. The historical information, beginning as of January 1, 2013, is as follows:

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
			Unfunded	
		Actuarial	(Assets in	
		Accrued	Excess of)	
	Actuarial	Liability	Actuarial	
Actuarial	Value of	(AAL) -	Accrued	Funded
Valuation	Assets	Entry Age	Liability	Ratio
Date	(a)	(b)	(b) - (a)	(a)/(b)
01-01-13	\$ 584,911	\$ 834,264	\$ 249,353	70.1%
01-01-15	832,977	1,033,328	200,351	80.6%
01-01-17	1,116,701	1,150,778	34,077	97.0%

The comparability of trend information is affected by changes in actuarial assumptions, benefit provisions, actuarial funding methods, accounting policies, and other changes. Those changes usually affect trends in contribution requirements and in ratios that use the actuarial accrued liability as a factor.

Analysis of the dollar amount of the actuarial value of assets, actuarial accrued liability, and unfunded (assets in excess of) actuarial accrued liability in isolation can be misleading. Expressing the actuarial value of assets as a percentage of the actuarial accrued liability (Column 4) provides one indication of the plan's funding status on a going-concern basis. Analysis of this percentage, over time, indicates whether the system is becoming financially stronger or weaker. Generally, the greater this percentage, the stronger the plan.

## SCHEDULE OF CONTRIBUTIONS FROM EMPLOYER AND OTHER CONTRIBUTING ENTITIES

Year Ended December 31	Annual Required Contribution	Percentage Contributed
2012	\$ 59,091	116.9%
2013	58,747	119.3%
2014	58,494	128.2%
2015	76,379	100.0%
2016	75,296	100.0%
2017	72,639	100.0%

#### WEST PIKELAND TOWNSHIP POLICE PENSION PLAN SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION NOTES TO SUPPLEMENTARY SCHEDULES (UNAUDITED)

The information presented in the supplementary schedules was determined as part of the actuarial valuation at the date indicated. Additional information as of the latest actuarial valuation date follows:

Actuarial valuation date January 1, 2017

Actuarial cost method Entry age normal

Amortization method Level dollar

9 years Remaining amortization period

Asset valuation method The Actuarial Value of Assets equals the

plan's member, municipal, DROP (if applicable) reserve accounts plus the retiree actuarial liability. This asset smoothing is based on the unique legislative structure of PMRS and the administrative rules adopted by the PMRS Board in conjunction with Pennsylvania Municipal Retirement Law, all of which are subject to comply with the Actuarial Standards of Practice No. 44, Selection and Use of Asset Valuation Methods when

defining the actuarial Value of Assets.

Actuarial assumptions:

Investment rate of return 5.25%, compounded annually, net of

investment and administration expenses

Salary scale Total rate (including inflation) (e.g.

> age 25 - 7.05%; age 35 - 4.55%; age 45 -3.97%; age 55 - 3.44%; age 65 - 2.80%)

Cost-of-living adjustments 2.8% per year, subject to plan limitations

### WEST PIKELAND TOWNSHIP POLICE PENSION PLAN REPORT DISTRIBUTION LIST

This report was initially distributed to the following:

The Honorable Tom W. Wolf

Governor Commonwealth of Pennsylvania

Mr. Charlie Humphreys

Chairman, Board of Township Supervisors

Mr. Jim Wendelgass

Township Manager

This report is a matter of public record and is available online at <a href="www.PaAuditor.gov">www.PaAuditor.gov</a>. Media questions about the report can be directed to the Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General, Office of Communications, 229 Finance Building, Harrisburg, PA 17120; via email to: news@PaAuditor.gov.