LANCASTER COUNTY CAREER AND TECHNOLOGY CENTER LANCASTER COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA PERFORMANCE AUDIT REPORT

JANUARY 2011

The Honorable Edward G. Rendell Governor Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17120 Mr. Michael Rowen
Joint Operating Committee Chairperson
Lancaster County Career and Technology Center
1730 Hans Herr Drive
P.O. Box 527
Willow Street, Pennsylvania 17584

Dear Governor Rendell and Mr. Rowen:

We conducted a performance audit of the Lancaster County Career and Technology Center (LCCTC) to determine its compliance with applicable state laws, regulations, contracts, grant requirements and administrative procedures. Our audit covered the period September 25, 2008 through August 20, 2010, except as otherwise indicated in the report. Additionally, compliance specific to state subsidy and reimbursements was determined for the school years ended June 30, 2008 and June 30, 2007. Our audit was conducted pursuant to 72 P.S. § 403 and in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

Our audit found that the LCCTC complied, in all significant respects, with applicable state laws, regulations, contracts, grant requirements, and administrative procedures.

We appreciate the LCCTC's cooperation during the conduct of the audit.

Sincerely,

/s/ JACK WAGNER Auditor General

January 4, 2011

cc: LANCASTER COUNTY CAREER AND TECHNOLOGY CENTER Joint Operating Committee Members



Table of Contents

	Page
Executive Summary	. 1
Audit Scope, Objectives, and Methodology	. 3
Findings and Observations	. 6
Status of Prior Audit Findings and Observations	. 7
Distribution List	. 9



Executive Summary

Audit Work

The Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General conducted a performance audit of the Lancaster County Career and Technology Center (LCCTC). Our audit sought to answer certain questions regarding the Center's compliance with applicable state laws, regulations, contracts, grant requirements, and administrative procedures; and to determine the status of corrective action taken by the LCCTC in response to our prior audit recommendations.

Our audit scope covered the period September 25, 2008 through August 20, 2010, except as otherwise indicated in the audit scope, objectives, and methodology section of the report. Compliance specific to state subsidy and reimbursements was determined for school years 2007-08 and 2006-07.

Background

According to Center officials, in school year 2007-08 the LCCTC provided educational services to 1,309 secondary pupils through the employment of 110 teachers, 58 full-time and part-time support personnel, and 17 administrators. The operation, administration and management of the Center are directed by a joint operating committee (JOC) which comprises 16 members from the following school districts:

Cocalico Lampeter-Strasburg
Columbia Borough School District of
Conestoga Valley Lancaster
Donegal Manheim Central

Eastern Lancaster
County
Penn Manor
Elizabethtown Area
Ephrata Area
Hempfield

Manheim Township
Penn Manor
Pequea Valley
Solanco
Warwick

The JOC members are appointed by the individual school boards at the December meeting, each to serve a two-year term. Lastly, the LCCTC received more than \$4.3 million in state funding in school year 2007-08.

Audit Conclusion and Results

Our audit found that the LCCTC complied, in all significant respects, with applicable state laws, regulations, contracts, grant requirements, and administrative procedures. We report no findings or observations in this report.

Status of Prior Audit Findings and

Observations. With regard to the status of our prior audit recommendations to the LCCTC from an audit we conducted of the 2005-06 and 2004-05 school years, we found the LCCTC had taken appropriate corrective action in implementing our recommendations pertaining to the incorrect method of calculating instructional hours for practical nursing students (see page 7) and unmonitored vendor system access and logical access control weaknesses (see page 8).



Audit Scope, Objectives, and Methodology

Scope

What is a school performance audit?

School performance audits allow the Department of the Auditor General to determine whether state funds, including school subsidies, are being used according to the purposes and guidelines that govern the use of those funds. Additionally, our audits examine the appropriateness of certain administrative and operational practices at each Local Education Agency (LEA). The results of these audits are shared with LEA management, the Governor, the PA Department of Education, and other concerned entities.

Objectives

What is the difference between a finding and an observation?

Our performance audits may contain findings and/or observations related to our audit objectives. Findings describe noncompliance with a law, regulation, contract, grant requirement, or administrative procedure. Observations are reported when we believe corrective action should be taken to remedy a potential problem not rising to the level of noncompliance with specific criteria.

Our audit, conducted under authority of 72 P.S. § 403, is not a substitute for the local annual audit required by the Public School Code of 1949, as amended. We conducted our audit in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

Our audit covered the period September 25, 2008 through August 20, 2010, except for the verification of professional employee certification which was performed for the period June 18, 2008 through July 2, 2010.

Regarding state subsidy and reimbursements, our audit covered school years 2007-08 and 2006-07.

While all LEAs have the same school years, some have different fiscal years. Therefore, for the purposes of our audit work and to be consistent with Department of Education reporting guidelines, we use the term school year rather than fiscal year throughout this report. A school year covers the period July 1 to June 30.

Performance audits draw conclusions based on an evaluation of sufficient, appropriate evidence. Evidence is measured against criteria, such as, laws, regulations, and defined business practices. Our audit focused on assessing the LCCTC's compliance with applicable state laws, regulations, contracts, grant requirements and administrative procedures. However, as we conducted our audit procedures, we sought to determine answers to the following questions, which serve as our objectives:

- ✓ Were professional employees certified for the positions they held?
- ✓ Is the School's pupil transportation department, including any contracted vendors, in compliance with applicable state laws and procedures?
- ✓ Does the School ensure that Joint Operating Committee members appropriately comply with the Public Official and Employee Ethics Act?

- ✓ Are there any declining fund balances which may impose risk to the fiscal viability of the Center?
- ✓ Did the School pursue a contract buyout with an administrator and if so, what was the total cost of the buy-out, reasons for the termination/settlement, and do the current employment contract(s) contain adequate termination provisions?
- ✓ Were there any other areas of concern reported by local auditors, citizens, or other interested parties which warrant further attention during our audit?
- ✓ Is the School taking appropriate steps to ensure school safety?
- ✓ Did the School use an outside vendor to maintain its membership data and if so, are there internal controls in place related to vendor access?
- ✓ Did the School take appropriate corrective action to address recommendations made in our prior audits?

Government Auditing Standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain sufficient, appropriate evidence to provide a reasonable basis for our findings, observations and conclusions based on our audit objectives. We believe that the evidence obtained provides a reasonable basis for our findings and conclusions based on our audit objectives.

LCCTC management is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal controls to provide reasonable assurance that the School is in compliance with applicable laws, regulations, contracts, grant requirements, and administrative procedures. Within the context of our audit objectives, we obtained an understanding of internal controls and assessed whether those controls were properly designed and implemented.

Any significant deficiencies found during the audit are included in this report.

In order to properly plan our audit and to guide us in possible audit areas, we performed analytical procedures in the area of pupil transportation.

Methodology

What are internal controls?

Internal controls are processes designed by management to provide reasonable assurance of achieving objectives in areas such as:

- Effectiveness and efficiency of operations;
- Relevance and reliability of operational and financial information;
- Compliance with applicable laws, regulations, contracts, grant requirements and administrative procedures.

Our audit examined the following:

- Records pertaining to bus driver qualifications, professional employee certification, state ethics compliance, and financial stability.
- Items such as Board meeting minutes and reimbursement applications.

Additionally, we interviewed selected administrators and support personnel associated with LCCTC operations.

Lastly, to determine the status of our audit recommendations made in a prior audit report released on July 23, 2009, we performed audit procedures targeting the previously reported matters.

Findings and Observations

For the audited period, our audit of the Lancaster County Career and Technology Center resulted in no findings or observations.

Status of Prior Audit Findings and Observations

Our prior audit of the Lancaster County Career and Technology Center (LCCTC) for the school years 2005-06 and 2004-05 resulted in one reported finding and one observation. The finding pertained to an incorrect method of calculating instructional hours for practical nursing students, and the observation pertained to unmonitored vendor system access and logical control weaknesses. As part of our current audit, we determined the status of corrective action taken by the LCCTC to implement our prior recommendations. We performed audit procedures, and questioned LCCTC personnel regarding the prior finding and observation. As shown below, we found that the LCCTC did implement recommendations related to the incorrect method of calculating instructional hours for practical nursing students and largely complied with our recommendations pertaining to the unmonitored vendor system access and logical access control weaknesses.

School Years 2005-06 and 2004-05 Auditor General Performance Audit Report					
Prior Recommendations	Implementation Status				
I. Finding: Incorrect	Background:	Current Status:			
Method of Calculating					
Instructional Hours for	Our prior audit of the licensed practical nursing	Our current audit found that			
<u>Practical Nursing Students</u>	reimbursement for the years ended June 30, 2006	the LCCTC implemented a			
Resulted in Questionable	and 2005 found that the LCCTC used an incorrect	new child accounting system			
Reimbursements of	method of calculating actual student hours	in July 2008 for the 2008-09			
<u>\$850,402</u>	supporting reimbursements of \$407,541 and \$442,861, for the respective school years.	school year, which accounts for all actual practical nursing			
Implement a child	\$442,801, for the respective school years.	student instructional hours			
accounting system to	The LCCTC administrative personnel incorrectly	reported to DE. The LCCTC			
accurately account for	used a formula to determine the hours reported to	determined that the 2006-07			
each student's	DE, rather than maintaining membership and	and 2007-08 practical nursing			
membership and	attendance records that would have provided the	student instructional reports			
attendance data.	actual hours for the students. Without these records,	were incorrectly calculated.			
	the auditors could not determine what effect, if any,	In July 2010, the LCCTC			
2. Once implemented, the	the error had on the reimbursement. Therefore, no	submitted revised reports to			
child accounting system	recommendation was made that DE adjust the	DE for the 2007-08 and			
should be used as the	funding for the prior audit years.	2006-07 school years based			
basis for determining the		on actual practical nursing			
actual student hours to		student instructional hours.			
be reported to the					
Department of Education		Based on our current audit			
(DE) for reimbursement.		procedures, we determined			
		that the District complied			
3. Review the hours		with all of our			
reported for years		recommendations.			
subsequent to the audit					
period and, if errors are					
noted, submit revised					
reports to DE.					

II. Observation:
Unmonitored Vendor
System Access and Logical
Access Control Weaknesses

- Have the solicitor review the contract with the vendor.
- 2. Implement a security policy and system parameter settings to require all users, including the vendor, to change their passwords on a regular basis (i.e., every 30 days) and maintain a password history that will prevent the use of a repetitive password (i.e., last ten passwords).
- 3. Upgrade the remote access software to the most current version.
- 4. Establish a process for defining, raising, testing, documenting, assessing and authorizing emergency changes to systems or programs that do not follow the established change process.
- 5. Install fire suppression equipment in the computer room.

Background:

Our prior audit found the LCCTC uses software purchased from an outside vendor for its critical student accounting applications. The software vendor has remote access into the LCCTC's network servers.

We determined that a risk existed that unauthorized changes to the LCCTC's data could occur and not be detected because the LCCTC was unable to provide supporting evidence that it was adequately monitoring all vendor activity in its system.

Current Status:

Our current audit found that the LCCTC complied with all of our recommendations with the exception of recommendation number 4.

However, the LCCTC is currently developing procedures to address emergency changes that align with the new systems and access policies.

We will follow-up on the LCCTC's implementation of these procedures in our next audit.

Distribution List

This report was initially distributed to the technology center superintendent of record, the joint operating committee members, our website address at www.auditorgen.state.pa.us, and the following:

The Honorable Edward G. Rendell Governor Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Harrisburg, PA 17120

The Honorable Thomas E. Gluck Acting Secretary of Education 1010 Harristown Building #2 333 Market Street Harrisburg, PA 17126

The Honorable Robert M. McCord State Treasurer Room 129 - Finance Building Harrisburg, PA 17120

Ms. Barbara Nelson
Director, Bureau of Budget and Fiscal
Management
Department of Education
4th Floor, 333 Market Street
Harrisburg, PA 17126

Dr. David Wazeter Research Manager Pennsylvania State Education Association 400 North Third Street - Box 1724 Harrisburg, PA 17105

Dr. David Davare Director of Research Services Pennsylvania School Boards Association P.O. Box 2042 Mechanicsburg, PA 17055 This report is a matter of public record. Copies of this report may be obtained from the Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General, Office of Communications, 318 Finance Building, Harrisburg, PA 17120. If you have any questions regarding this report or any other matter, you may contact the Department of the Auditor General by accessing our website at www.auditorgen.state.pa.us.

