# MILLVILLE AREA SCHOOL DISTRICT COLUMBIA COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA PERFORMANCE AUDIT REPORT

NOVMEMBER 2011

The Honorable Tom Corbett Governor Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17120 Mr. Frank Suchwala, Board President Millville Area School District P.O. Box 260 Millville, Pennsylvania 17846

Dear Governor Corbett and Mr. Suchwala:

We conducted a performance audit of the Millville Area School District (MASD) to determine its compliance with applicable state laws, regulations, contracts, grant requirements, and administrative procedures. Our audit covered the period October 30, 2008 through June 24, 2011, except as otherwise indicated in the report. Additionally, compliance specific to state subsidy and reimbursements was determined for the school years ended June 30, 2010, 2009, 2008 and 2007. Our audit was conducted pursuant to 72 P.S. § 403 and in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

Our audit found that the MASD complied, in all significant respects, with applicable state laws, regulations, contracts, grant requirements, and administrative procedures. However, we identified one matter unrelated to compliance that is reported as an observation. A summary of these results is presented in the Executive Summary section of the audit report.

Our audit observation and recommendations have been discussed with MASD's management and their responses are included in the audit report. We believe the implementation of our recommendations will improve MASD's operations and facilitate compliance with legal and administrative requirements. We appreciate the MASD's cooperation during the conduct of the audit and their willingness to implement our recommendations.

Sincerely,

/s/ JACK WAGNER Auditor General

November 9, 2011

cc: MILLVILLE AREA SCHOOL DISTRICT Board Members



# **Table of Contents**

	Page
Executive Summary	. 1
Audit Scope, Objectives, and Methodology	. 3
Findings and Observations	. 6
Observation – Memorandums of Understanding Not Updated Timely	. 6
Status of Prior Audit Findings and Observations	. 8
Distribution List	11



# **Executive Summary**

### **Audit Work**

The Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General conducted a performance audit of the Millville Area School District (MASD). Our audit sought to answer certain questions regarding the District's compliance with applicable state laws, regulations, contracts, grant requirements, and administrative procedures; and to determine the status of corrective action taken by the MASD in response to our prior audit recommendations.

Our audit scope covered the period October 30, 2008 through June 24, 2011, except as otherwise indicated in the audit scope, objectives, and methodology section of the report. Compliance specific to state subsidy and reimbursements was determined for school years 2009-10, 2008-09, 2007-08 and 2006-07.

### **District Background**

The MASD encompasses approximately 91 square miles. According to 2000 federal census data, it serves a resident population of 5,500. According to District officials, in school year 2009-10 the MASD provided basic educational services to 736 pupils through the employment of 76 teachers, 66 full-time and part-time support personnel, and 7 administrators. Lastly, the MASD received more than \$5.6 million in state funding in school year 2009-10.

# **Audit Conclusion and Results**

Our audit found that the MASD complied, in all significant respects, with applicable state laws, regulations, contracts, grant requirements, and administrative procedures; however, as noted below, we identified one matter unrelated to compliance that is reported as an observation.

Observation: Memorandums of Understanding Not Updated Timely. Our audit of the MASD records found that the Memorandums of Understanding between the MASD and its local police departments were signed December 18, 2007 and February 11, 2008 and have not been updated (see page 6).

### **Status of Prior Audit Findings and**

Observations. With regard to the status of our prior audit recommendations to the MASD from an audit we conducted of the 2005-06 and 2004-05 school years, we found the MASD had taken appropriate corrective action in implementing our recommendations pertaining to their unmonitored intermediate unit system access and logical access control weaknesses (see page 8).



# Audit Scope, Objectives, and Methodology

### Scope

What is a school performance audit?

School performance audits allow the Department of the Auditor General to determine whether state funds, including school subsidies, are being used according to the purposes and guidelines that govern the use of those funds. Additionally, our audits examine the appropriateness of certain administrative and operational practices at each Local Education Agency (LEA). The results of these audits are shared with LEA management, the Governor, the PA Department of Education, and other concerned entities.

### **Objectives**

What is the difference between a finding and an observation?

Our performance audits may contain findings and/or observations related to our audit objectives. Findings describe noncompliance with a law, regulation, contract, grant requirement, or administrative procedure. Observations are reported when we believe corrective action should be taken to remedy a potential problem not rising to the level of noncompliance with specific criteria.

Our audit, conducted under authority of 72 P.S. § 403, is not a substitute for the local annual audit required by the Public School Code of 1949, as amended. We conducted our audit in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

Our audit covered the period October 30, 2008 through June 24, 2011.

Regarding state subsidy and reimbursements, our audit covered school years 2009-10, 2008-09, 2007-08, and 2006-07.

While all districts have the same school years, some have different fiscal years. Therefore, for the purposes of our audit work and to be consistent with Department of Education reporting guidelines, we use the term school year rather than fiscal year throughout this report. A school year covers the period July 1 to June 30.

Performance audits draw conclusions based on an evaluation of sufficient, appropriate evidence. Evidence is measured against criteria, such as, laws, regulations, and defined business practices. Our audit focused on assessing the MASD's compliance with applicable state laws, regulations, contracts, grant requirements, and administrative procedures. However, as we conducted our audit procedures, we sought to determine answers to the following questions, which serve as our audit objectives:

- ✓ Were professional employees certified for the positions they held?
- ✓ In areas where the District receives state subsidy and reimbursements based on pupil membership (e.g. basic education, special education, and vocational education), did it follow applicable laws and procedures?
- ✓ Is the District's pupil transportation department, including any contracted vendors, in compliance with applicable state laws and procedures?

- ✓ Are there any declining fund balances which may impose risk to the fiscal viability of the District?
- ✓ Did the District pursue a contract buyout with an administrator and if so, what was the total cost of the buy-out, reasons for the termination/settlement, and do the current employment contract(s) contain adequate termination provisions?
- ✓ Were there any other areas of concern reported by local auditors, citizens, or other interested parties which warrant further attention during our audit?
- ✓ Is the District taking appropriate steps to ensure school safety?
- ✓ Did the District use an outside vendor to maintain its membership data and if so, are there internal controls in place related to vendor access?
- ✓ Did the District take appropriate corrective action to address recommendations made in our prior audits?

Government Auditing Standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain sufficient, appropriate evidence to provide a reasonable basis for our observation and conclusions based on our audit objectives. We believe that the evidence obtained provides a reasonable basis for our observation and conclusions based on our audit objectives.

MASD management is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal controls to provide reasonable assurance that the District is in compliance with applicable laws, regulations, contracts, grant requirements, and administrative procedures. Within the context of our audit objectives, we obtained an understanding of internal controls and assessed whether those controls were properly designed and implemented.

Any significant deficiencies found during the audit are included in this report.

# Methodology

What are internal controls?

Internal controls are processes designed by management to provide reasonable assurance of achieving objectives in areas such as:

- Effectiveness and efficiency of operations;
- Relevance and reliability of operational and financial information;
- Compliance with applicable laws, regulations, contracts, grant requirements and administrative procedures.

In order to properly plan our audit and to guide us in possible audit areas, we performed analytical procedures in the areas of state subsidies/reimbursement, pupil membership, pupil transportation, and comparative financial information.

Our audit examined the following:

- Records pertaining to pupil transportation, bus driver qualifications, professional employee certification, and financial stability.
- Items such as Board meeting minutes and pupil membership records.

Additionally, we interviewed selected administrators and support personnel associated with MASD operations.

Lastly, to determine the status of our audit recommendations made in a prior audit report released on April 23, 2009. We then performed additional audit procedures targeting the previously reported matters.

# **Findings and Observations**

### **Observation**

Public School Code section and criteria relevant to the observation:

Section 13-1303-A (c) provides:

All school entities shall develop a memorandum of understanding with local law enforcement which sets forth procedures to be followed when an incident involving an act of violence or possession of a weapon by any person occurs on school property. Law enforcement protocols shall be developed in cooperation with local law enforcement and the Pennsylvania State Police.

Additionally, a Basic Educational Circular (BEC) issued by the Department of Education entitled Safe Schools and Possession of Weapons contains a sample MOU to be used by school entities. Section VI, General Provisions item B of this sample states:

This Memorandum may be amended, expanded or modified at any time upon written consent of the parties, but in any event must be reviewed and re-executed within two years of the date of its original execution and every two years thereafter.

### Recommendations

# **Memorandums of Understanding Not Updated Timely**

Our audit of the Millville Area School District (MASD) records found that the Memorandums of Understanding (MOU) between the MASD and its local police departments were signed December 18, 2007 and February 11, 2008 and have not been updated.

The failure to update the MOU with the local law enforcement agencies could result in a lack of cooperation, direction, and guidance between MASD employees and law enforcement agencies if an incident occurs on school property, at any school-sponsored activity, or on any public conveyance providing transportation to or from a school or school-sponsored activity. This internal control weakness could have an impact on law enforcement notification and response, and ultimately the resolution of a problem situation.

During our current audit, the MASD and the local police departments signed their MOUs on May 3, 2011 and May 26, 2011

The Millville Area School District should:

- 1. In consultation with the solicitor, continue to review, update and re-execute the current MOU between the MASD and its local police department.
- 2. Adopt a policy requiring the administration to review and re-execute the MOU every two years.

# **Management Response**

Management stated the following

The MOU was updated after receipt of the revised template.

# **Status of Prior Audit Findings and Observations**

Our prior audit of the Millville Area School District (MASD) for the school years 2005-06 and 2004-05 resulted in one observation. The observation pertained to their unmonitored intermediate unit (IU) system access and logical access control weaknesses. As part of our current audit, we determined the status of corrective action taken by the MASD to implement our prior recommendations. We performed audit procedures, and questioned MASD personnel regarding the prior observation. As shown below, we found that the MASD did implement recommendations related to their unmonitored IU system access and logical access control weaknesses.

### School Years 2005-06 and 2004-05 Auditor General Performance Audit Report

Observation: Unmonitored IU System Access and Logical Access Control

Weaknesses

Observation Summary:

The MASD uses software purchased from the Central Susquehanna Intermediate Unit #16 (CSIU) for its critical student accounting applications (membership and attendance). Additionally, the District's entire computer system, including all its data and the CSIU's software are maintained on CSIU servers which are physically located at CSIU. The District has remote access into CSIU's network servers. CSIU also provides the District with system maintenance and support.

### Recommendations: Our audit observation recommended that the MASD:

- 1. Require the employees to sign the policy.
- 2. Establish separate information technology policies and procedures for controlling the activities of vendors/consultants and have the CSIU sign this policy, or the District should require the CSIU to sign the District's Acceptable Use Policy.
- 3. Develop policies and procedures to require written authorization when adding, deleting, or changing a userID.
- 4. Maintain documentation to evidence that terminated employees are properly removed from the system in a timely manner.
- 5. Allow access to its system only when the CSIU needs access to make pre-approved changes/updates or requested assistance. This access should be removed when the CSIU has completed its work. This procedure would also enable the monitoring of CSIU changes.

- 6. Generate monitoring reports (including firewall logs) of the CSIU and employee access and activity on their system. Monitoring reports should include the date, time, and reason for access, change(s) made and who made the change(s). The District should review these reports to determine that the access was appropriate and that data was not improperly altered. The District should also ensure it is maintaining evidence to support this monitoring and review.
- 7. Encrypt the District's remote connections.

# **Current Status:**

During our current audit procedures we found that the MASD did implement the recommendations.



## **Distribution List**

This report was initially distributed to the superintendent of the school district, the board members, our website address at www.auditorgen.state.pa.us, and the following:

The Honorable Tom Corbett Governor Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Harrisburg, PA 17120

The Honorable Ronald J. Tomalis Secretary of Education 1010 Harristown Building #2 333 Market Street Harrisburg, PA 17126

The Honorable Robert M. McCord State Treasurer Room 129 - Finance Building Harrisburg, PA 17120

Ms. Nichole Duffy Director, Bureau of Budget and Fiscal Management Department of Education 4th Floor, 333 Market Street Harrisburg, PA 17126

Dr. David Wazeter Research Manager Pennsylvania State Education Association 400 North Third Street - Box 1724 Harrisburg, PA 17105

Dr. David Davare
Director of Research Services
Pennsylvania School Boards Association
P.O. Box 2042
Mechanicsburg, PA 17055

This report is a matter of public record. Copies of this report may be obtained from the Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General, Office of Communications, 318 Finance Building, Harrisburg, PA 17120. If you have any questions regarding this report or any other matter, you may contact the Department of the Auditor General by accessing our website at www.auditorgen.state.pa.us.

