

MOON AREA SCHOOL DISTRICT

ALLEGHENY COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA

PERFORMANCE AUDIT REPORT

**OCTOBER 2013** 

# **COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA**

**EUGENE A. DEPASQUALE - AUDITOR GENERAL** 

**DEPARTMENT OF THE AUDITOR GENERAL** 





# Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General Harrisburg, PA 17120-0018 Facebook: Pennsylvania Auditor General

Twitter: @PAAuditorGen

### EUGENE A. DEPASQUALE AUDITOR GENERAL

The Honorable Tom Corbett Governor Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17120 Ms. Sandra McCurdy, Board President Moon Area School District 8353 University Boulevard Moon Township, Pennsylvania 15108

Dear Governor Corbett and Ms. McCurdy:

We conducted a performance audit of the Moon Area School District (District) to determine its compliance with certain relevant state laws, regulations, contracts, grant requirements, and administrative procedures (relevant requirements). Our audit covered the period March 25, 2011 through May 7, 2013, except as otherwise indicated in the report. Additionally, compliance specific to state subsidies and reimbursements was determined for the school years ended June 30, 2012, 2011, 2010, and 2009. Our audit was conducted pursuant to Section 403 of The Fiscal Code, 72 P.S. § 403, and in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

Our audit found that the District complied, in all significant respects, with relevant requirements.

We appreciate the District's cooperation during the conduct of the audit.

Sincerely,

EUGENE A. DEPASQUALE

Eugent J-Pugur

**Auditor General** 

October 11, 2013

cc: MOON AREA SCHOOL DISTRICT Board of School Directors

# **Table of Contents**

	Page
Executive Summary	. 1
Audit Scope, Objectives, and Methodology	. 2
Findings and Observations	. 5
Status of Prior Audit Findings and Observations	. 6
Distribution List	. 8

# **Audit Work**

The Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General conducted a performance audit of the Moon Area School District (District). Our audit sought to answer certain questions regarding the District's compliance with certain relevant state laws, regulations, contracts, grant requirements, and administrative procedures and to determine the status of corrective action taken by the District in response to our prior audit recommendations.

Our audit scope covered the period March 25, 2011 through May 7, 2013, except as otherwise indicated in the audit scope, objectives, and methodology section of the report. Compliance specific to state subsidies and reimbursements was determined for 2011-12, 2010-11, 2009-10, and 2008-09 school years.

### **District Background**

The District encompasses approximately 23 square miles. According to 2010 local census data, it serves a resident population of 26,825. According to District officials, the District provided basic educational services to 3,646 pupils through the employment of 289 teachers, 176 full-time and part-time support personnel, and 19 administrators during the 2011-12 school year. Lastly, the District received \$12 million in state funding in the 2011-12 school year.

# **Audit Conclusion and Results**

Our audit found that the District complied, in all significant respects, with certain relevant state laws, regulations, contracts, grant requirements, and administrative procedures. Our audit resulted in no findings or observations.

# Status of Prior Audit Findings and

Observations. With regard to the status of our prior audit recommendations to the District from an audit released on December 22, 2011, we found that the District had taken appropriate corrective action in implementing our recommendations pertaining to the unmonitored vendor system access and logical access control weaknesses (see page 6).

# Scope

What is a school performance audit?

School performance audits allow the Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General to determine whether state funds, including school subsidies, are being used according to the purposes and guidelines that govern the use of those funds. Additionally, our audits examine the appropriateness of certain administrative and operational practices at each local education agency (LEA). The results of these audits are shared with LEA management, the Governor, the Pennsylvania Department of Education, and other concerned entities.

### **Objectives**

What is the difference between a finding and an observation?

Our performance audits may contain findings and/or observations related to our audit objectives. Findings describe noncompliance with a statute, regulation, policy, contract, grant requirement, or administrative procedure. Observations are reported when we believe corrective action should be taken to remedy a potential problem not rising to the level of noncompliance with specific criteria.

Our audit, conducted under authority of Section 403 of The Fiscal Code, 72 P.S. § 403, is not a substitute for the local annual audit required by the Public School Code of 1949, as amended. We conducted our audit in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

Our audit covered the period March 25, 2011 through May 7, 2013, except for the verification of professional employee certification which was performed for the period July 1, 2011 through June 30, 2012.

Regarding state subsidies and reimbursements, our audit covered the 2011-12, 2010-11, 2009-10, and 2008-09 school years.

While all districts have the same school years, some have different fiscal years. Therefore, for the purposes of our audit work and to be consistent with Pennsylvania Department of Education (PDE) reporting guidelines, we use the term school year rather than fiscal year throughout this report. A school year covers the period July 1 to June 30.

Performance audits draw conclusions based on an evaluation of sufficient, appropriate evidence. Evidence is measured against criteria, such as laws and defined business practices. Our audit focused on assessing the District's compliance with certain relevant state laws, regulations, contracts, grant requirements, and administrative procedures. However, as we conducted our audit procedures, we sought to determine answers to the following questions, which serve as our audit objectives:

- ✓ Were professional employees certified for the positions they held?
- ✓ Did the District, and any contracted vendors, ensure that their current bus drivers were properly qualified, and did they have written policies and procedures governing the hiring of new bus drivers?

- ✓ Were there any declining fund balances that may impose a risk to the District's fiscal viability?
- ✓ Did the District have sufficient internal controls to ensure that the membership data it reported to PDE through the Pennsylvania Information Management System was complete, accurate, valid, and reliable?
- ✓ Did the District take appropriate steps to ensure school safety?
- ✓ Did the District have a properly executed and updated Memorandum of Understanding with local law enforcement?
- ✓ Were there any other areas of concern reported by independent auditors, citizens, or other interested parties?
- ✓ Did the District take appropriate corrective action to address recommendations made in our prior audit?

Government Auditing Standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain sufficient, appropriate evidence to provide a reasonable basis for our results and conclusions based on our audit objectives. We believe that the evidence obtained provides a reasonable basis for our results and conclusions based on our audit objectives.

The District's management is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal controls to provide reasonable assurance that the District is in compliance with certain relevant state laws, regulations, contracts, grant requirements, and administrative procedures (relevant requirements). In conducting our audit, we obtained an understanding of the District's internal controls, including any information technology controls, as they relate to the District's compliance with relevant requirements that we consider to be significant within the context of our audit objectives. We assessed whether those controls were properly designed and implemented. Any deficiencies in internal control that were identified during the conduct of our audit and determined to be significant within the context of our audit objectives are included in this report.

# Methodology

What are internal controls?

Internal controls are processes designed by management to provide reasonable assurance of achieving objectives in areas such as:

- Effectiveness and efficiency of operations.
- Relevance and reliability of operational and financial information.
- Compliance with certain relevant state laws, contracts, grant requirements, and administrative procedures.

In order to properly plan our audit and to guide us in possible audit areas, we performed analytical procedures in the areas of state subsidies and reimbursements, pupil transportation, pupil membership, and comparative financial information.

Our audit examined the following:

- Records pertaining to pupil transportation, pupil membership, bus driver qualifications, professional employee certification, state ethics compliance, financial stability, reimbursement applications, tuition receipts, and deposited state funds.
- Items such as board meeting minutes and policies and procedures.

Additionally, we interviewed select administrators and support personnel associated with the District's operations.

Lastly, to determine the status of our audit recommendations made in a prior audit report released on December 22, 2011, we reviewed the District's response to PDE dated February 27, 2012. We then performed additional audit procedures targeting the previously reported matters.

# Findings and Observations Por the audited period, our audit of the Moon Area School District resulted in no findings or observations.

# **Status of Prior Audit Findings and Observations**

Our prior audit of the Moon Area School District (District) released on December 22, 2011, resulted in one finding. The finding pertained to their unmonitored vendor system access and logical access control weaknesses. As part of our current audit, we determined the status of corrective action taken by the District to implement our prior recommendations. We analyzed the District's written response provided to the Pennsylvania Department of Education, performed audit procedures, and interviewed District personnel regarding the prior finding. As shown below, we found that the District did implement our recommendations related to unmonitored vendor system access and logical access control weaknesses.

# Auditor General Performance Audit Report Released on December 22, 2011

# Finding: Unmonitored Vendor System Access and Logical Access Control Weaknesses

# Finding Summary:

Our prior audit found that a risk exists that unauthorized changes to the District's data could occur and not be detected because the District was unable to provide supporting evidence that it is monitoring all remote activity in its system. Further, the District does not perform formal, documented reconciliations between manual records and computerized records for membership and attendance.

# **Recommendations:**

Our audit finding recommended that the District:

- 1. Develop policies and procedures to require written authorization when adding, deleting, or changing a userID.
- 2. Maintain documentation to evidence that terminated employees are properly removed from the system in a timely manner.
- 3. Implement a security policy and system parameter settings to require all users, including the vendor, to change their passwords on a regular basis (i.e., every 30 days). Passwords should be a minimum length of eight characters and include alpha, numeric, and special characters. Also, the District should log off users after a period of inactivity (i.e., 60 minutes maximum).
- 4. Require the vendor to assign unique userIDs and passwords to vendor employees authorized to access the District system. Further, the District should obtain a list of vendor employees with remote access to its data and ensure that changes to the data are made only by authorized vendor representatives.

- 5. Allow access to the system only when the vendor needs access to make pre-approved changes/updates or requested assistance. The access should be removed when the vendor has completed its work. This procedure would also enable the monitoring of vendor changes.
- 6. To mitigate information technology control weaknesses, the District should have manual compensating controls that would allow the District to detect unauthorized changes to the membership database in a timely manner.

# **Current Status:**

During our current audit, we found that the District did implement the recommendations by updating policies, documenting procedures, implementing password security, and monitoring firewalls.

# **Distribution List**

This report was initially distributed to the Superintendent of the District, the Board of School Directors, our website at www.auditorgen.state.pa.us, and the following stakeholders:

The Honorable Tom Corbett Governor Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Harrisburg, PA 17120

The Honorable Carolyn Dumaresq Secretary of Education 1010 Harristown Building #2 333 Market Street Harrisburg, PA 17126

The Honorable Robert M. McCord State Treasurer Room 129 - Finance Building Harrisburg, PA 17120

Ms. Lori Graham Acting Director Bureau of Budget and Fiscal Management Pennsylvania Department of Education 4th Floor, 333 Market Street Harrisburg, PA 17126

Dr. David Wazeter Research Manager Pennsylvania State Education Association 400 North Third Street - Box 1724 Harrisburg, PA 17105

Mr. Tom Templeton Assistant Executive Director School Board and Management Services Pennsylvania School Boards Association P.O. Box 2042 Mechanicsburg, PA 17055

This report is a matter of public record and is available online at <a href="www.auditorgen.state.pa.us">www.auditorgen.state.pa.us</a>. Media questions about the report can be directed to the Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General, Office of Communications, 231 Finance Building, Harrisburg, PA 17120; via email to: news@auditorgen.state.pa.us.