# PLEASANT VALLEY SCHOOL DISTRICT MONROE COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA PERFORMANCE AUDIT REPORT

MARCH 2010

The Honorable Edward G. Rendell Governor Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17120

Ms. Susan Kresge, Board President Pleasant Valley School District One School Lane Brodheadsville, Pennsylvania 18322

Dear Governor Rendell and Ms. Kresge:

We conducted a performance audit of the Pleasant Valley School District (PVSD) to determine its compliance with applicable state laws, regulations, contracts, grant requirements, and administrative procedures. Our audit covered the period August 28, 2008 through December 1, 2009, except as otherwise indicated in the report. Additionally, compliance specific to state subsidy and reimbursements was determined for the school years ended June 30, 2008 and June 30, 2007. Our audit was conducted pursuant to 72 P.S. § 403 and in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

Our audit found that the PVSD complied, in all significant respects, with applicable state laws, regulations, contracts, grant requirements, and administrative procedures. We appreciate the PVSD's cooperation during the conduct of the audit and their willingness to implement our recommendations.

Sincerely,

/<sub>S</sub>/
JACK WAGNER
Auditor General

March 25, 2010

cc: PLEASANT VALLEY SCHOOL DISTRICT Board Members



# **Table of Contents**

	Page
Executive Summary	. 1
Audit Scope, Objectives, and Methodology	. 3
Findings and Observations	. 6
Status of Prior Audit Findings and Observations	. 7
Distribution List	. 9



# **Executive Summary**

#### Audit Work

The Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General conducted a performance audit of the Pleasant Valley School District (PVSD). Our audit sought to answer certain questions regarding the District's compliance with applicable state laws, regulations, contracts, grant requirements, and administrative procedures.

Our audit scope covered the period August 28, 2008 through December 1, 2009, except as otherwise indicated in the audit scope, objectives, and methodology section of the report. Compliance specific to state subsidy and reimbursements was determined for school years 2007-08 and 2006-07.

## **District Background**

The PVSD encompasses approximately 114 square miles. According to 2000 federal census data, it serves a resident population of 29,051. According to District officials, in school year 2007-08 the PVSD provided basic educational services to 6,621 pupils through the employment of 498 teachers, 508 full-time and part-time support personnel, and 31 administrators. Lastly, the PVSD received more than \$31.5 million in state funding in school year 2007-08.

# **Audit Conclusion and Results**

Our audit found that the PVSD complied, in all significant respects, with applicable state laws, regulations, contracts, grant requirements, and administrative procedures. We report no findings or observations in this report.

## Status of Prior Audit Findings and

Observations. With regard to the status of our prior audit recommendations to the PVSD from an audit we conducted of the 2005-06 and 2004-05 school years, we found the PVSD had taken appropriate corrective action in implementing our recommendations pertaining to their student accounting applications (see page 7).



# Audit Scope, Objectives, and Methodology

## Scope

What is a school performance audit?

School performance audits allow the Department of the Auditor General to determine whether state funds, including school subsidies, are being used according to the purposes and guidelines that govern the use of those funds. Additionally, our audits examine the appropriateness of certain administrative and operational practices at each Local Education Agency (LEA). The results of these audits are shared with LEA management, the Governor, the PA Department of Education, and other concerned entities.

#### **Objectives**

What is the difference between a finding and an observation?

Our performance audits may contain findings and/or observations related to our audit objectives. Findings describe noncompliance with a law, regulation, contract, grant requirement, or administrative procedure. Observations are reported when we believe corrective action should be taken to remedy a potential problem not rising to the level of noncompliance with specific criteria.

Our audit, conducted under authority of 72 P.S. § 403, is not a substitute for the local annual audit required by the Public School Code of 1949, as amended. We conducted our audit in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

Our audit covered the August 28, 2008 through December 1, 2009, except for the verification of professional employee certification which was performed for the period July 1, 2008 through November 4, 2009.

Regarding state subsidy and reimbursements, our audit covered school years 2007-08 and 2006-07.

While all districts have the same school years, some have different fiscal years. Therefore, for the purposes of our audit work and to be consistent with Department of Education (DE) reporting guidelines, we use the term school year rather than fiscal year throughout this report. A school year covers the period July 1 to June 30.

Performance audits draw conclusions based on an evaluation of sufficient, appropriate evidence. Evidence is measured against criteria, such as, laws, regulations, and defined business practices. Our audit focused on assessing the PVSD's compliance with applicable state laws, regulations, contracts, grant requirements, and administrative procedures. However, as we conducted our audit procedures, we sought to determine answers to the following questions, which serve as our audit objectives:

- ✓ Were professional employees certified for the positions they held?
- ✓ Did the District follow applicable laws and procedures in areas dealing with pupil membership and ensure that adequate provisions were taken to protect the data?
- ✓ Is the District's pupil transportation department, including any contracted vendors, in compliance with applicable state laws and procedures?

- ✓ Are there any declining fund balances which may impose risk to the fiscal viability of the District?
- ✓ Did the District pursue a contract buyout with an administrator and if so, what was the total cost of the buy-out, reasons for the termination/settlement, and do the current employment contract(s) contain adequate termination provisions?
- ✓ Were there any other areas of concern reported by local auditors, citizens, or other interested parties which warrant further attention during our audit?
- ✓ Is the District taking appropriate steps to ensure school safety?
- ✓ Did the District take appropriate corrective action to address recommendations made in our prior audits?

Government Auditing Standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain sufficient, appropriate evidence to provide a reasonable basis for our conclusions based on our audit objectives. We believe that the evidence obtained provides a reasonable basis for our conclusions based on our audit objectives.

PVSD management is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal controls to provide reasonable assurance that the District is in compliance with applicable laws, regulations, contracts, grant requirements, and administrative procedures. Within the context of our audit objectives, we obtained an understanding of internal controls and assessed whether those controls were properly designed and implemented.

Any significant deficiencies found during the audit are included in this report.

In order to properly plan our audit and to guide us in possible audit areas, we performed analytical procedures in the areas of state subsidies/reimbursement, pupil membership and pupil transportation.

# Methodology

What are internal controls?

Internal controls are processes designed by management to provide reasonable assurance of achieving objectives in areas such as:

- Effectiveness and efficiency of operations;
- Relevance and reliability of operational and financial information;
- Compliance with applicable laws, regulations, contracts, grant requirements and administrative procedures.

Our audit examined the following:

- Records pertaining to bus driver qualifications, professional employee certification, and financial stability.
- Board meeting minutes.
- Deposited state funds.

Additionally, we interviewed selected administrators and support personnel associated with PVSD operations.

Lastly, to determine the status of our audit recommendations made in a prior audit report released on January 30, 2009, we reviewed the PVSD's response to DE dated May 29, 2009. We then performed additional audit procedures targeting the previously reported matters.

# **Findings and Observations**

For the audited period, our audit of Pleasant Valley School District resulted in no findings or observations.

# **Status of Prior Audit Findings and Observations**

Our prior audit of the Pleasant Valley School District (PVSD) for the school years 2005-06 and 2004-05 resulted in one reported observation. The observation pertained to their student accounting applications. As part of our current audit, we determined the status of corrective action taken by the District to implement our prior recommendations. We analyzed the PVSD Board's written response provided to the Department of Education (DE), performed audit procedures, and questioned District personnel regarding the prior observation. As shown below, we found that the PVSD did implement recommendations related to their student accounting applications.

Ilamantation Ctat			
Implementation Status			
Dur prior audit of District records found that the District uses software purchased from an outside wendor for its critical student accounting applications (membership and attendance). The software vendor has remote access into the District's network servers. We determined that a risk exists that unauthorized changes to the District's data could occur and not be detected because the District was unable to provide supporting evidence that they are adequately monitoring all vendor activity in their system.	Current Status:  We followed up on the PVSD student accounting applications software and found that PVSD did take appropriate corrective action in monitoring vendor activity in their system.  1. PVSD's policies and procedures for controlling the activities of vendors/consultants now requires them to sign that they abided by the Acceptable Use Policy.  2. PVSD has included a security policy and system parameter settings where all users, including the vendor, are required to change their passwords on a regular basis. In addition, the District maintains a password history; lastly the system locks out users after three unsuccessful attempts and logs users off the system after a period of inactivity.		
Di Di ve ap So Di cis Di	ackground:  ur prior audit of District records found that the istrict uses software purchased from an outside endor for its critical student accounting oplications (membership and attendance). The oftware vendor has remote access into the istrict's network servers. We determined that a sk exists that unauthorized changes to the istrict's data could occur and not be detected ecause the District was unable to provide apporting evidence that they are adequately		

log users off the system	3. PVSD has implemented
after a period of inactivity	-
	procedures to generate
(i.e., 60 minutes	monitoring reports
maximum).	(including firewall logs)
	of vendor access and
3. Generate monitoring	activity on their system,
reports (including firewall	including who made the
logs) of vendor access and	change(s).
activity on their system,	_
including who made the	
change(s). The District	
should review these	
reports to determine that	
the access was appropriate	
and that data was not	
improperly altered. The	
District should also ensure	
it is maintaining evidence	
to support this monitoring	
and review.	

# **Distribution List**

This report was initially distributed to the superintendent of the school district, the board members, our website address at www.auditorgen.state.pa.us, and the following:

The Honorable Edward G. Rendell Governor Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Harrisburg, PA 17120

The Honorable Gerald Zahorchak, D.Ed. Secretary of Education 1010 Harristown Building #2 333 Market Street Harrisburg, PA 17126

The Honorable Robert M. McCord State Treasurer Room 129 - Finance Building Harrisburg, PA 17120

Senator Jeffrey Piccola Chair Senate Education Committee 173 Main Capitol Building Harrisburg, PA 17120

Senator Andrew Dinniman Democratic Chair Senate Education Committee 183 Main Capitol Building Harrisburg, PA 17120

Representative James Roebuck Chair House Education Committee 208 Irvis Office Building Harrisburg, PA 17120

Representative Paul Clymer Republican Chair House Education Committee 216 Ryan Office Building Harrisburg, PA 17120 Ms. Barbara Nelson Director, Bureau of Budget and Fiscal Management Department of Education 4th Floor, 333 Market Street Harrisburg, PA 17126

Dr. David Wazeter Research Manager Pennsylvania State Education Association 400 North Third Street - Box 1724 Harrisburg, PA 17105

Dr. David Davare Director of Research Services Pennsylvania School Boards Association P.O. Box 2042 Mechanicsburg, PA 17055



This report is a matter of public record. Copies of this report may be obtained from the Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General, Office of Communications, 318 Finance Building, Harrisburg, PA 17120. If you have any questions regarding this report or any other matter, you may contact the Department of the Auditor General by accessing our website at www.auditorgen.state.pa.us.

